

# Jog

történeti szemle

Vol. 19  
Special issue  
2021

BUDAPEST · DEBRECEN · GYŐR · MISKOLC · PÉCS · SZEGED

## IN THIS ISSUE

The Hungarian Ministry of Justice  
during the World Wars

Jurisdictional Disputes in Hungary 1907–1949

Animal Ornaments of the Court Buildings

Social Media Liability Issues in the USA  
and the European Union

A Brief History of the Danish Royal Titles

Legal Historical Aspects of Alimony

Definitions and Interpretations  
of Stellionatus in the 18th Century


Misconduct as Solid Substantive  
Legal Institution

Architectural Background  
of the Hungarian Justice System

Researching Legal Customs  
in Spain and Hungary

Cultural and Educational Policy  
in Hungary 1932–1944

Foundations of the Regulation  
of the Hungarian Cartel Law of 1931



*Triga on the building of the Royal Curia*

## CONTENT

### ARTICLE

ANTAL, Tamás – Fragments from the Work of the Hungarian Ministry of Justice during the World Wars .....	1
BALOGH, Judit – Settlement of Jurisdictional Disputes in the Civilian Era in Hungary (1907–1949) .....	9
BÓDINÉ BELIZNAI, Kinga – Animal Ornaments of the Court Buildings .....	15
GOSZTONYI, Gergely – Early Regulation of Social Media Liability Issues in the United States of America and the European Union .....	21
KÉPES, György – A Brief History of the Danish Royal Titles .....	26
KONCZ, Ibolya – A Special Field of Hungarian Private Law, i.e., the Legal Historical Aspects of Alimony in the Post-Compromise Era of Hungary .....	30
KORSÓSNÉ DELACASSE, Krisztina – Definitions and Interpretations of <i>Stellionatus</i> in 18th Century Hungarian Law .....	37
MÁTHÉ, Gábor – Misconduct as Solid Substantive Legal Institution .....	41
MEGYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán – The Architectural Background of the Hungarian Justice System in the Age of the Austro–Hungarian Monarchy .....	52
NAGY, Janka Teodóra – MATLA, Gabriella – The Characteristics of Researching Legal Customs in Spain and Hungary in the Light of the Accomplishments of Joaquín Costa Martínez and Ernő Tárkány Szűcs .....	56
NIKLAI, Patrícia Dominika – Hungarian Cultural and Educational Policy (1932–1944) with Special Regard to the Practice of Baranya County .....	61
VARGA, Norbert – Between Public and Private Law: The Foundations of the Regulation of the Hungarian Cartel Law of 1931 .....	65
AUTHORS OF THIS ISSUE .....	72

*On the front page:*

**Triga on the building of the Royal Curia, designed by Alajos Hauszmann**

Sculpture (and this sketch drawing) made by Károly Senyei

Országos Bírósági Hivatal, Műszaki Főosztály Irattára [National Office for the Judiciary, Technical Department Archives]

# Jog

történeti szemle

International Editorial Board:

Dr. Wilhelm Brauner (Vienna), Dr. Izsák Lajos (Chairman of the International Editorial Board),  
Dr. Peeter Järvelaid (Tallinn), Dr. Günter Jerouschek (Jena), Dr. Srđan Šarkić (Novi Sad), Dr. Kurt Seelmann (Basel),  
Dr. Erik Štenpien (Košice), Dr. Martin Löhnig (Regensburg)

Editorial Board: Dr. Barna Attila, Dr. Béli Gábor, Dr. Homoki-Nagy Mária, Dr. Koncz Ibolya, Dr. Máthé Gábor,  
Dr. Mezey Barna, Dr. Révész T. Mihály, Dr. Stipta István, Dr. Szabó Béla, Dr. Szabó István

Editor-in-Chief: Dr. Mezey Barna

Editor: Bódiné dr. Beliznai Kinga



Editorial address: 1053 Budapest, Egyetem tér 1–3, 2nd floor, room No. 211, Tel./Fax: + 36 1 411 6518

ISSN 0237–7284

Published by: Department of Legal History of the University of Debrecen, Department of Hungarian State and Legal History of the Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Legal History, Legal Theory and Canon Law of the Károli Gáspár University of the Reformed Church, Department of Legal History of the University of Miskolc, Department of State and Legal History of the National University of Public Services, Department of Legal History of the Pázmány Péter Catholic University, Department of Legal History of the University of Pécs, Department of Legal History of the Széchenyi István University Győr, Department of Hungarian Legal History of the University of Szeged

Publisher: Gondolat Kiadó

Megyeri-Pálffi, Zoltán

# The Architectural Background of the Hungarian Justice System in the Age of the Austro–Hungarian Monarchy

## 1. Introduction

When speaking of the judicial organisation in its physical reality, two factors shall be mentioned. Firstly, the human resources, particularly the judges. Secondly, the whole of material conditions, of which the court buildings are of utter relevance. This study deals with the latter element: the architectural background of the Hungarian court system in the Austro–Hungarian Monarchy.

When dealing with both legal and architectural issues, generally speaking, the worlds of law and architecture are most clearly interlinked in courthouses. The court as a building comprises three sets of rules, according to Werner Gephart: the regulatory system of the court as an organisation, its impact on the technical rules of construction and many abstract rules that define the character of a legal system.<sup>1</sup> A court building, in fact, is a specific projection of legal norms into physical reality. Therefore, in this context, the most fundamental question is: *What is necessary for the construction of a courthouse?*

The answer can be summarised in a few steps that take us from organisational reforms to an accomplished construction of a courthouse. The definition of the task in this process is the starting point, which, in this case, is to build up the judicial organisation. This is closely linked to the judicial reforms carried out throughout Europe in the second half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century.

### 1. 1. Reform of the justice system

One of the most important tasks of the State after the Compromise of 1867 was the modernisation of the judiciary, which the government soon set about. The process took several decades, the stages of which are reflected in procedural and organisational laws. We are aware that after the Compromise, the Act No. 54 of 1868,<sup>2</sup> which aimed at reforming civil litigation, also affected the justice system and, subsequently, a decisive step was taken by Act No. 4 of 1869, which separated administrative and judicial activities. From that point on, these two activities went their separate ways, which translated into the language of architecture, led to the appearance in

Hungary in the following decades of two different types of public buildings with different functions: court and administrative buildings.<sup>3</sup>

The first organisational laws, which basically determined the later Hungarian court system were passed after 1869, as the courts of first instance were established by the Acts No. 31 and 32 of 1871. The organisational reforms of the following decades (1876, 1881, 1890,<sup>4</sup> 1897, 1912) created the judicial organisation of the dualist era, whose so-called *ordinary courts* are relevant to the present topic. These were courts of general jurisdiction, operating under a hierarchical system, which had fixed location and functioned permanently.<sup>5</sup> These two characteristics did not apply only to their operation, but also their physical existence, which required buildings.

When the state judiciary was set up, new court houses separated from the administrative buildings, as a matter of course, could not be built immediately. It is no coincidence, that the *provisional measures* of the Act No. 31 of 1871 enshrined the question of location and ordered the authorities and municipalities to make their premises available for the courts<sup>6</sup> free of charge. This situation, however, was not satisfactory even then, and the state's financial resources, in addition to the inadequacy of the organisation, preserved the situation for many years in which the state courts had to further operate in municipal-administrative buildings.<sup>7</sup> It was clear that new buildings would have to be erected for the new courts.

### 1. 2. The relationship between organisation and function

Modernisation therefore resulted in a new court organisational system, which also needed physical space provided by a suitable building in these decades. The definition of function is always a primary condition when it comes to the design of a building. The structure of the judicial organisation is one aspect of the functionality of a court building.

The ordinary court organisation of the Dualism had four instances (the Royal District Courts, the Royal Regional Courts, the Royal Courts of Appeal, and the Royal Curia), to each of which a building had to be assigned. This, in principle, would have meant four types of courthouses, i.e., buildings for the district courts, for the regional courts, for the regional courts of appeal and a building for the Curia. Construction projects of the subsequent period implemented these types of buildings, but expediency required each forum to be housed in the same building, thus various activities of the judiciary were provided for by multifunctional facilities.

However, it is not only the design of a courthouse that determines its appearance, but also the procedural law. This factor has the greatest and most general impact on the design of a building. Thus, a court building has specific space requirements.

### 1. 3. The specific space requirements of adjudication

The evolution of the space requirements of legislation is closely linked to the codification of procedural law. This is part of the changes of the 19<sup>th</sup> century leaving a fundamental mark on legal culture since social changes and the emancipation of the bourgeoisie went hand in hand with economic development. Individual liberty became a central political concept and a defining requirement of the constitutional state. This political change and movement has strongly affected the judiciary. The written and secret proceedings were replaced by the independence of judges, the principle of verbalism, the principle of publicity, and the participation of lay people in criminal proceedings. A change in the judicial architecture was also brought by these changes. Oral and public proceedings required a large space,<sup>8</sup> while secret and written proceedings were confined to a small room.

The principles of verbalism, immediacy, and publicity, which were implemented in French law with the Civil Procedure Code of 1806,<sup>9</sup> shaped the court buildings, as these principles required spatial solutions that were unnecessary or even unthought of in the case of earlier written proceedings for many years in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. Hence the experimental period in the development of court buildings to meet the needs of procedural law. Such an exciting period took place, for example, in the Rhineland, where French procedural law prevailed as a result of the Napoleonic invasion and court buildings had to be adapted and designed accordingly.

Konrad Schall, in his treatise, described this period of experimentation, which lasted several years, through the example of the Grand Duchy of Baden.<sup>10</sup> It shows that Baden was one of the first German states to introduce the principle of publicity by its reform of procedural law in 1831–1832, and thus was confronted with the architectural space requirements this entailed. One of the most eye-catching of these was the need to change the building of the higher courts. The building of the *Hofgericht* in Freiburg and its upstairs courtroom were not suitable for public use due to their small size and location, thus the court looked for a new, larger room in the adjoining wing in 1832. Subsequently, the public courtroom provided space for both trials at first instance and appeals.<sup>11</sup>

However, these principles have changed the life not only of the higher, but also of the lower courts. The task had to be tackled at this level too, since suitable buildings were scarce. However, this was difficult, because, while the Baden Building Authority had experience in the design of administrative buildings, it did not have any for the courts. Thus, there was complete uncertainty as to the layout of the buildings to be designed. This was well reflected by a series of questions sent by the Upper Rhineland district government to the Ministry of Justice asking about the space requirements of defendants, witnesses, lawyers, and the audience, and whether there should be separate rooms provided for persons in proceedings near the courtroom. The size of the prison cells was also in

question in which the investigating judge could conduct his proceedings. The response of the ministry showed a lack of experience rather than guidance, referring to the French solution only in regard of the court rooms.<sup>12</sup> The Architecture Office drew up a model plan based on the French model<sup>13</sup> for the design and conversion of the courts in Baden in the following years.

However, it was not only the principle of immediacy and publicity that had influence on the court buildings, but also the emergence of lay judges. This caused a new conundrum also in Baden, after the introduction of sit-in judges in 1864, which required even larger courtrooms. This was met by architectural developments, so the view became widespread that “internal functions should be reflected externally as in the 1850s, by which the independence of the courts is emphasised, their character being different from that of administrative buildings”.<sup>14</sup> The place of first-instance lay judging was the courtroom of the sit-in judges, as the most important room in the courthouse was placed in the central axis of the building, in the central rizalit, as a result of the judicial reform. In addition, the rustication<sup>15</sup> on the ground floor symbolised the foundation of the building also as the new judicial law as the trusted basis for the Baden legislation.<sup>16</sup>

## 2. Developments in Hungary

Codification in Hungary progressed slowly and started decades later in contrast to the development of the Baden and other (German) states, and this, together with the lack of material funds, also hindered the appearance of court buildings that conformed to modern procedural principles. It is also important, however, that this relative backwardness has allowed Hungary to use both modern procedural law and the type of courthouse that serves it as a ready model. The actual establishment of the ready-made model, especially in the field of architecture, occurred in the third or fourth quarter of the century, when the state built a multitude of courthouses across the country in the space of a few years, laying the foundations for the court building stock that is still in operation today.

This *Hungarian model* has often turned towards German solutions, because of our historical connections both in codification and architecture. An architectural scheme adapted to modern procedural law had already been developed in Germany, by the time the building of courthouses was taking off in Hungary in the 1880s and 1890s. In this scheme, the way in which procedural needs could be met by a court building has already been well established. This is well illustrated by architectural textbooks treating courthouses as a separate type of building.<sup>17</sup>

Although the Government’s intentions for modernisation after the Compromise also affected higher education in Hungary, the general attention of our architects, in addition to Austrian developments, turned to German architecture, which was also confirmed by the fact that they learned the basics of the profession not only at the

academy in Vienna but also in Berlin in this period. For example, the legal status of the Joseph Polytechnic, which had been in operation since 1856, changed in the course of this process, and as from 1871, among other things,<sup>18</sup> it provided a framework for the training of architects under the name of Joseph University of Technology. Nevertheless, it was common for architecture students to complete their studies abroad until the turn of the century. Hungarian architecture students were mainly to be found at the Academy of Architecture (*Bauakademie*) in Berlin and the Academy of Fine Arts (*Akademie der Schönen Künste*) in Vienna.

In addition to education, trade press<sup>19</sup> has also made a significant contribution to the culture of our architects. “The *Allgemeine Bauzeitung* in Vienna has been an authoritative source already from 1836 in Central Europe, which was followed by many similar publications in the centres of the German-speaking world during the upcoming decades.”<sup>20</sup> Consequently, it was obvious that the German-speaking area provided the model for the construction of the judiciary in Hungary. We could draw from these models to build the Hungarian district courts, the regional courts, and the higher courts.

### 3. Hungarian courthouses in general

The 1880s marked the beginning of the process that resulted in the establishment of an independent court building in Hungary, i.e., we could no longer talk about judicial bodies operating under the same roof as administrative bodies. Courthouses were firstly built in places where regional courts seated. As the result of the practical approach these also functioned as home for district courts, land registry offices and even prison service institutions. This meant that complex buildings were erected, typically known as palaces of justice or judicial palaces. The complexity arose from the housing of several functions under one roof, and the name ‘palace’ was earned for the size and architectural appearance of these buildings.

#### 3. 1. Palaces of justice

Since function is always a determining factor in the construction of a building, the design of a judicial building depends to a large extent on both the structure of the organisation and the nature of the litigation.<sup>21</sup> As already mentioned, palaces of justice were multifunctional buildings because of their multiple role. Moreover, these complex buildings also met the requirements of fundamental principles of the European development, such as verbalism, publicity, directness, independence of judges<sup>22</sup> and lay participation in (criminal) proceedings. Hungarian procedural law can also be described with all these characteristics, which changes also stimulated the Hungarian judicial architecture, even before the concrete results of codification.

The space requirements of the palaces of justice were made specific by the diversity of tasks and considerations.

A palace of justice, arising from the complexity of its functions, included both lower and appellate courts, i.e., the district and the regional court. The prosecution offices were also organised alongside the courts together with the prison service<sup>23</sup> and the land registry authorities, which all operated in the same building. These were located within the building along practical reasons, considering the specificities of the procedure and operation, thus the ground floor was usually occupied by the bodies with the highest client traffic and wide corridors also used for waiting. These included the district court, where most cases were brought, and the land registry. The investigating judge’s offices were also usually located in the same area.

The regional courts’ offices, the presidency, the groups of prosecution offices and the auxiliary offices were typically located on the floors. Due to the fact that cases with wider publicity were tried there, the jury room, where criminal trials and jury trials were held, has always been a prominent place of the palaces of justice. It was usually accessed by a grand staircase leading up from the atrium connected to the main entrance. The hall was the most representative room of the building.


Some of the jury rooms are a sort of print of legal history of our palaces of justice since jury trials were an integral part of the procedural system when these rooms were built. A panel of three judges and a jury of twelve lay members required additional rooms. For the judicial panel and the jury, separate retire rooms were provided next to the jury room, however participants, such as lawyers, accusers, and defendants, as well as witnesses, were also accommodated in separate rooms reserved for them during the trial.

The penitentiary functions as the last stage of the criminal justice process were hidden from view, as the prisons were typically built behind the main wing of the regional courthouses, in the rear courtyard wing, with a simple exterior largely for functionality. Detention houses were usually built with more stories than the court wings and included both private and shared cells with associated service rooms. The prisoner’s yard was marked out on the rear part of the site behind the detention wing, preferably isolated from the public by both the courthouse and the street.<sup>24</sup>

#### 3. 2. The architecture of the palaces of justice

Due to their several functions in the civilian era, the palaces of justice required a large building with units under one roof yet separate from each other. The centralisation made all the institutions accessible to the public seeking justice, and the construction costs were more affordable. This was a matter of practicality, however, the need for public and judicial representation made the house a palace.

Such a palace was a revival of the notion that architecture is not only functional, but also a carrier of meaning. Therefore, the palace of justice itself had to express the independence of the civil justice system, the power of the judiciary and the power of law.<sup>26</sup> The building showed all this in its design, in its symbolism and in its floor plan that could be read by the public.



*The Royal Central District Court of Budapest<sup>25</sup>*

As in case of other buildings of the period, the architectural appearance of these palaces, as well as the architecture of their facades, reflect different stylistic trends of historicism. The buildings of the judiciary are mainly characterised by neo-Renaissance and neo-Baroque styles, and as from the 1910s the Hungarian Art Nouveau was also introduced. As to architecture, innovation always lagged behind the more traditional forms and conservative approach, which can be understood by the fact that these palaces had to be authoritative and serious rather than fashionable, since the power of the state to administer justice was better expressed by historical and traditional forms, according to the zeitgeist.

The architectural tools of historicism were appropriate to serve this representative intention, thus the articulation of the facades, the plinth zones often accentuated by quadding, the more ornated floor opening frames, the so-called great colonnade of pilasters spanning several stories, the prominent coronation parapets, and the risalites repeatedly accentuated by spectacular domes and mansards. These elements made these buildings monumental. Judicial buildings have fundamentally defined the urban landscape with their elegance and significance thus achieved, in line with the trend towards the important role of newly erected public

buildings in the development of European cities, including Hungary. Since buildings associated with different social factors (ruler, state, municipality, etc.) symbolising both the builder and the function,<sup>27</sup> representativeness was particularly important in the Central European region. This significance was also due to the fact that these multifunctional buildings were often built in the main squares or in prominent locations of settlements, or where good transport facilities were available. This followed with a purpose to facilitate access to justice buildings for the public seeking justice. It was not only the representative palace character that facilitated the orientation, but also inscriptions (e.g., Law House, Royal Regional Court) or plastic display of the state emblem in a prominent place on the facade or, occasionally, the statue of Justitia,<sup>28</sup> the symbol of the goddess of justice.

### 3. 3. *The district courts*

The generalities, i.e., the characteristics of the palaces of justice outlined so far are specific to the complexes built on the sites of the regional and district courts. However, in smaller municipalities “only” district courts were built in

accordance with territorial jurisdiction. These smaller judicial buildings were also complex in their own way, as they included both a land registry office and a detention house in many cases. The construction of these buildings took place rather after the turn of the century, after most of the large palaces of justice had been built. These smaller buildings were of similar importance at the district courts' seats as were the palaces of justice in the large cities: they became an important public building for the settlements and were representatives of the state justice system. Since a district court might have had only one or a few district judges, plus support staff, its relevance was commensurate with the size of the organizational unit.

### 3. 4. Judicial buildings and their architects

The architect and the architectural design are essential elements in the construction of a building, in addition to the definition of its purpose. The sort of the latter is primarily a reflection of the qualities of the designer. Public constructions always offer architects a great opportunity to showcase their talents. To carry out the work, depending on the task and the intention, the State, as the client, either selects the architect through a call for tenders or gives him direct commissions. While the former is always a good way of mobilising the architectural profession at large, launching professional debates and presenting individuals, the latter is usually more definitive, it is about specific people, specific goals, specific tasks. There are several examples of both when it comes to judicial buildings. Prior to the turn of the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, court buildings were designed by the Ministry of Justice by direct commissions, and subsequently the system of tendering for this type of building was introduced.<sup>29</sup>

Since the design of judicial buildings required specific architectural knowledge, a pool of architects specialized

in court buildings could be created. One of the most prolific of these was Gyula Wágner (1851–1937), who became known for his prison service<sup>30</sup> and regional court buildings.<sup>31</sup> Direct commissions from the Ministry of Justice enabled Wágner to become the Ministry's "in-house architect".

Similarly, Ferenc Jablonszky (1864–1945)<sup>32</sup> also played a prominent role, who mainly designed district courts in the period up to the First World War. The court buildings of Sándor Aigner (1857–1912),<sup>33</sup> István Kiss (1857–1902) and Pál Tóásó (1870–1927) are also significant from this period, and Alajos Hauszmann (1847–1926) also made his name among judicial architects<sup>34</sup> with the Royal Regional Court of Budapest and the Royal Curia.<sup>35</sup>

### 4. Epilogue

The stock of court buildings in Hungary built in the civil period are an integral part of the wider geographical context of judicial buildings in Central Europe, reflecting the period of construction in terms of both judicial organisation and architectural stylistic changes. The judicial forums of first instance, the district courts and the regional courts appeared during the Dualism, thanks to the organisational reform of the Kingdom of Hungary, which, together with the Royal Courts of Appeal and the Royal Curia, which had been established earlier, formed the backbone of the Hungarian judicial system of the time.

These courts were successively given independent buildings from the 1880s onwards, so that large cities were enriched with palaces of justice, while smaller court seats were enriched with a district court building. Preserving their original function and recalling the specificities of an earlier period, in most places, these buildings still serve the administration of justice today.<sup>36</sup>

### Notes and references

<sup>1</sup> GEPHART, Werner: Versteinerte Rechtskultur. Zur kultursoziologischen Analyse von Gerichtsbauten. In MOHNHAUPT, Heinz – SIMON, Dieter (Hrsg.): *Vorträge zur Justizforschung. Geschichte und Theorie*. Bd. 1. Frankfurt am Main, 1992. Vittorio Klostermann, 411.

<sup>2</sup> Cf. MÁTHÉ, Gábor: *A magyar burzsoá igazságszolgáltatási rendszer kialakulása 1867–1875 [The Development of the Hungarian Bourgeois Judicial System 1867–1875]*. Budapest, 1982. Akadémiai Kiadó, 26–31.; BÓNIS, György – DEGRÉ, Alajos – VARGA, Endre: *A magyar bírósági szervezet és perjog története [History of the Hungarian Court System and Trial Law]*. Zalaegerszeg, 1996. Zala Megyei Bíróság–Magyar Jogász Egylet Zala Megyei Szervezete, 213.; STIPTA, István: *A magyar bírósági rendszer története [History of the Hungarian Court System]*. Debrecen, 1998. Multiplex Media–Debrecen University Press, 121.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. MEGYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán: Vármegyeházák a történeti Magyarországon az 1870-es közigazgatási reform után [County Halls in historical Hungary after the administrative reform of 1870]. In RAJNAI, Zoltán – FREGAN, Beatrix – MAROSNÉ KUNA, Zsuzsanna – OZSVÁTH, Judit (eds.): *Tanulmánykötet a 6. Báthory-Brassai nemzetközi konferencia előadásából [A study of papers presented at the 6<sup>th</sup> Báthory-*

*Brassai International Conference]*. Vol. 12. Budapest, 2015. Óbudai Egyetem Biztonságtudományi Doktori Iskola, 446–461.

<sup>4</sup> 1890 is a key year for organisational reforms, as it was the year when the system of the courts was reformed. Cf. ANTAL, Tamás: *Törvénykezési reformok Magyarországon (1890–1900). Ítéltáblák, bírói jogviszony, esküdtszék [Law Reforms in Hungary (1890–1900). Courts of Appeal, Judicial Status, Jury]*. Szeged, 2006. Csongrád Megyei Levéltár, 21–22.; Idem: A Debreceni Ítéltábla rövid története [Brief History of the Debrecen Court of Appeal]. In SZILÁGYINÉ KARSAI, Andrea (ed.): *10 éves a Debreceni Ítéltábla [10 years of the Debrecen Court of Appeal]*. Debrecen, 2015. Debreceni Ítéltábla, 14–26; BALOGH, Judit: Város és bíraskodás [City and Jurisdiction]. In SZILÁGYINÉ KARSAI, Andrea (ed.): *Legyen ez az épület mindenkor az igazság temploma [Let this building be a temple of justice at all times]*. Debrecen, 2016. Debreceni Ítéltábla, 16.

<sup>5</sup> Cf. STIPTA 1998. 125.

<sup>6</sup> § 32 and 34 of the Act No. 31 of 1871.

<sup>7</sup> Such transience characterised this period in Debrecen as well. Cf. PAPP, József: *Törvénykezési helyszínek Debrecenben a 20. századig [Places of jurisdiction in Debrecen until the 20<sup>th</sup> century]*. In

- MEGYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán (ed.): *Jogszolgáltatás Debrecenben [Justice in Debrecen]*. Debrecen, 2015. Debreceni Törvényszék, 29–39.
- <sup>8</sup> KLEMMER, Klemens – WASSERMANN, Rudolf – WESSEL, Thomas Michael: *Deutsche Gerichtsgebäude. Von der Dorfllinde über den Justizpalast zum Haus des Rechts*. München, 1993, Verlag C. H. Beck, 28.
- <sup>9</sup> Cf. KENGYEL, Miklós: *A bírói hatalom és a felek rendelkezési joga a polgári perben [Judicial power and the right of the parties to dispose of their property in civil proceedings]*. Budapest, 2003. Osiris Kiadó, 30–39.
- <sup>10</sup> SCHALL, Konrad: *Gerichtsbauwesen 1803–1918 im Spiegel von Gerichtsverfassung und Prozeßordnungen – dargestellt am Beispiel Badens*. Inaugural dissertation, Schwäbisch Hall, 1994.
- <sup>11</sup> Ibid. 52.
- <sup>12</sup> Ibid. 65.
- <sup>13</sup> Ibid. 68–69.
- <sup>14</sup> Ibid. 125.
- <sup>15</sup> Rustic is a rough stone masonry made of roughly or artificially roughened nap-surface stones. In addition to symbolism, it is to be noted that rustication and squaring was an important architectural method of historical styles that were widespread in the period, including the Neo-Renaissance.
- <sup>16</sup> SCHALL 1994. 127.
- <sup>17</sup> Cf. DURM, Josef – ENDE, Hermann – SCHMITT, Eduard – WAGNER, Heinrich (eds.): *Handbuch der Architektur 7. Halbband, Heft 1: Gebäude für Verwaltung, Rechtspflege und Gesetzgebung; Militärbauten. Stadt- und Rathäuser. Gebäude für Ministerien, Botschaften und Gesandtschaften. Geschäftshäuser für staatliche Provinz-, Kreis- und Ortsbehörden. Geschäftshäuser für sonstige öffentlichen u. privaten Verwaltungen. Leichenschauhäuser. Gerichtshäuser, Straf- und Besserungs-Anstalten. Parlamentshäuser und Ständehäuser. Gebäude für militärische Zwecke*. Darmstadt, 1887. Arnold Bergsträsser; KLASSEN, Ludwig (ed.): *Grundrissvorbilder von Gebäuden aller Art. 13. Gebäude für Justizzwecke*. Leipzig, 1891. Baumgärtner's Buchhandlung.
- <sup>18</sup> Cf. SISA, József: A József Műegyetem és az állami Felsőipariszkola [The József University of Technology and the State School of Higher Industry]. In Idem (ed.): *A magyar művészet a 19. században. Építészet és iparművészet [Hungarian art in the 19th century. Architecture and applied arts]*. Budapest, 2013. MTA Bölcsészettudományi Kutatóközpont–Osiris Kiadó, 338–339.
- <sup>19</sup> The most important architectural periodicals from the second half of the 19th century: *Építési Ipar* (later *Építő Ipar, Építő Művészet*) [Construction Industry (later Building Industry, Building Arts)], *Budapester Bauzeitung* (later *Bauzeitung für Ungarn*) [Budapest Building Journal (later Construction Newspaper for Hungary)], *Magyar Mérnök- és Építészegylet Közlönye* [Journal of the Hungarian Society of Engineers and Architects]. Cf. MARÓTYZ, Katalin: Mintakövetés és invenció a XIX. század második felének budapesti építészetében [Pattern following and inspiration in Budapest architecture of the second half of the 19th century]. *Építés–Építészettudomány* [Construction – Architecture], No. 1–2, 2005. 36–37.
- <sup>20</sup> SISA, József: *Építészeti szakfolyóiratok [Architectural journals]*. In Idem (ed.) 2013. 345.
- <sup>21</sup> Cf. PUSZTAI, László: A bírósági épület [The court building]. In KÁLLAY, István – PUSZTAI, László – STIPTA, István: *Bírósági épületek Magyarországon [Courthouses in Hungary]*. Budapest, 1993. HG & Társa Kiadó, 33–51.; KENGYEL, Miklós: *Perkultúra. A bíróságok világa. A világ bíróságai [Culture of litigation. The world of courts. The courts of the world]*. Budapest–Pécs, 2011. Dialóg Campus, 15–137.; MEYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán: Az igazságügyi szervezet a fizikai valóságban [The judicial organization in physical reality]. *Jogtörténeti Szemle* [Review of Legal History], No. 1–2, 2017. 87–96.; Idem: Bíróságok a dualizmus kori Magyarországon. Igazságügyi paloták a polgári korban [Courts in dualist Hungary. Judicial Palaces in the civil era]. *Rubicon*, No. 9–10, 2019. 66–75.; Idem: Bírósági épületek Magyarországon és külföldön [Court buildings in Hungary and abroad]. In TAKÁCS, Péter (ed.): *A jog megjelenése, épített környezete és szimbólumai. A jogi kultúra látható világa [Representation of the law, built environment and symbols of law. The visible world of legal culture]*. Budapest, 2020. Gondolat Kiadó, 177–201.; Idem: Gondolatok a jog (szolgáltatás) ábrázolhatóságáról, tégigényéről és a hatalom architektúrájáról [Thoughts on the illustrability, the space requirements of jurisdiction, and the architecture of state power]. In Idem (ed.): *Ábrázolt és épített jog [Depicted and constructed law]*. *Jogtörténeti Értekezések 53.* [Essays of Legal History 53]. Budapest, 2021. Gondolat Kiadó, 11–25.
- <sup>22</sup> Cf. e.g. KÉPESSY, Imre: A bírói függetlenség kialakulása Magyarországon [The Development of Judicial Independence in Hungary]. In MENYHÁRD, Attila – VARGA, István (eds.): *350 éves az Eötvös Loránd Tudományegyetem Állam- és Jogtudományi Kara. A jubileumi év konferenciasorozatának tanulmányai I–II. [350 years of the Faculty of Law and Political Sciences of Eötvös Loránd University. Studies of the jubilee year conference series I–II]*. Budapest, 2018. ELTE Eötvös Kiadó, 1052–1060.; BÓDINÉ BELIZNAI, Kinga: Gondolatok a bírói függetlenségről [Reflections on Judicial Independence]. *Ítéletábrázoló Határozatok [Decisions of the Court of Appeal]*, No. 2, 2018. cover; Idem: *Hivatás és függetlenség [Profession and independence]*. *Jogtörténeti Értekezések 48.* [Essays of Legal History 48]. Budapest, 2020. Gondolat Kiadó.
- <sup>23</sup> MEZEY, Barna: A börtönrendszerek és a börtönépítészet a 19–20. századforduló idején Prison systems and prison architecture at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries]. *Jogtörténeti Szemle* [Review of Legal History], No. 1, 2006. 50.
- <sup>24</sup> Cf. MEYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán: Wágner Gyula törvényszéki fogházai [The detention houses of Gyula Wágner]. In GOSZTONYI, Gergely – RÉVÉSZ T., Mihály (eds.): *Jogtörténeti parerga II. Ünnepi tanulmányok Mezey Barna 65. születésnapja tiszteletére [Legal history parerga II. Festive studies in honour of Barna Mezey's 65th birthday]*. Budapest, 2018a. ELTE Eötvös Kiadó, 205–210.
- <sup>25</sup> The Royal Central District Court of Budapest (designed by Ferenc Jablonszky). Main façade and cross section. JABLONSKY, Ferenc: A Budapesti Központi Kir. Járásbíróság épülete [The building of the Royal Central District Court of Budapest]. *Építő Ipar – Építő Művészet [Building Industry – Building Arts]*, Vol. 5, 1916. 25.; Vol. 6, 1916. 31.
- <sup>26</sup> Cf. KLEMMER–WASSERMANN–WESSEL 1993. 36.
- <sup>27</sup> Cf. MORAVÁNSZKY, Ákos: *Competing Visions: Aesthetic Invention and Social Imagination in Central European Architecture 1867–1918*. Cambridge, Mass., 1998. MIT Press, 25–26.
- <sup>28</sup> Cf. MEYERI-PÁLFFI 2019. 73–75.
- <sup>29</sup> For more on this topic, see SZÉKELY, Márton – MARÓTYZ, Katalin: A pályázat mint jelenség a késő historizáló építészet korában [The tender as a phenomenon in late historicist architecture]. *Építés–Építészettudomány* [Construction – Architecture], No. 1–2, 2018. 93–116.; Idem: Magyar közigazgatási épületek pályázatai a dualizmus korában. Stílusirányzatok a Földművelésügyi Minisztérium 1907-es átépítési tervpályázatán [Tenders of Hungarian administrative buildings in the dualism era. Stylistic trends in the competition for the Ministry of Agriculture's rebuilding plans in 1907]. *Építés–Építészettudomány* [Construction – Architecture], No. 1–2, 2019. 103–133.; SZÉKELY, Márton: *Építészeti tervpályázatok Magyarországon 1891–1918 között (Doktori disszertáció) [Architectural design competitions in Hungary between 1891–1918 (Doctoral dissertation)]*. Budapest, 2020. BME ÉPK.
- <sup>30</sup> Cf. MEYERI-PÁLFFI 2018a. 207.
- <sup>31</sup> On Wágner, see DOBROTKA, Katalin: A magyar börtönügy arcképcsarnoka. Wágner Gyula (1851–1937) [Hungarian Portrait Gallery. Gyula Wágner (1851–1937)]. *Börtönügyi Szemle* [Prison Review], No. 4, 2012. 93–96.; MEYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán: „Following a Model” as an Element of the Hungarian Legal Culture with Special Regard to Gyula Wágner's Judicial Architecture. In GEPHART, Werner – LEKO, Jure (eds.): *Law and the Arts. Elective Affinities and Relationship of Tension*. Frankfurt am Main, 2017. Vittorio Klostermann, 363–393.; MEYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán: *Az egeri Törvényszék – The Eger Courthouse*. Budapest–Eger, 2018b. Országos Bírósági Hivatal, 28–32.

- <sup>32</sup> On Ferenc Jablonszky, see BARÁTH, Attila: *Élő kövek. Emlékek a Körmenyi Járásbíróság múltjából* [Living Stones. Memories from the past of the Körmenyi District Court]. Szombathely, 2015. Szombathelyi Törvényszék, 26–29; MEGYERI-PÁLFFI, Zoltán: A Püspökladányi Királyi Járásbíróság megszervezésének és elhelyezésének története [History of the organisation and location of the Royal District Court of Püspökladány]. In Idem (ed.): *A jogszolgáltatás története Püspökladányban* [History of Justice in Püspökladány]. Debrecen, 2021. Debreceni Törvényszék, 83–84.
- <sup>33</sup> On the work of Sándor Aigner, see HORVÁTH, Zsuzsa: Aigner Sándor (1854–1907). Az Örökimádás-templom tervezőjének életműve [Sándor Aigner (1854–1907). The life's work of the designer of the Church of the Eternal Adoration]. In ROZSNYAI, József (ed.): *Építőművészek Ybl és Lechner korában* [Architects in the age of Ybl and Lechner]. Budapest, 2015. Terc, 166–195.
- <sup>34</sup> See more in PUSZTAI 1993. 33–49.
- <sup>35</sup> Cf. BÓDINÉ BELIZNAI, Kinga: *120 éves az Igazságügyi Palota* [120 years of the Palace of Justice]. Budapest, 2016. HVG–ORAC Lap-és Könyvkiadó Kft.
- <sup>36</sup> This article is published as part of the research project of the MTA–ELTE Legal History Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences at the Department of Hungarian State and Legal History of Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest. The Research Group is a member of the Eötvös Loránd Research Network (ELKH).



## AUTHORS OF THIS ISSUE

**Univ. Prof. Dr. habil. Antal, Tamás PhD** University of Szeged, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Department of European Legal History; **Dr. habil. Balogh, Judit PhD** associate professor, University of Debrecen, Faculty of Law, Department of Legal History; **Bódiné dr. habil. Beliznai, Kinga PhD** associate professor, **Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Law** and Political Sciences, Department of Hungarian State and Legal History; **Dr. Gosztonyi, Gergely PhD** assistant professor, Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Department of Hungarian State and Legal History; **Dr. habil. Képes, György PhD** associate professor, Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Department of Hungarian State and Legal History; **Dr. habil. Koncz, Ibolya Katalin PhD** associate professor, Head of Department, University of Miskolc, Faculty of Law, Department of Legal History; **Dr. Korsós dr. Delacasse, Krisztina PhD** assistant professor, University of Pécs, Faculty of Law, Department of Legal History; **Matla, Gabriella PhD** student, University of Pécs, Doctoral School of Law and Political Sciences; **Univ. Prof. em. Dr. Máthé, Gábor CSc** Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Department of Hungarian State and Legal History; **Dr. Megyeri-Pálfi, Zoltán PhD** research fellow, MTA–ELTE Legal History Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences at the Department of Hungarian State and Legal History of Eötvös Loránd University; **Univ. Prof. Dr. habil. Nagy, Janka Teodóra PhD** Head of Department, University of Pécs, Faculty of Cultural Sciences, Education and Regional Development, Department of Social Studies; **Dr. Niklai, Patrícia PhD** student, University of Pécs, Doctoral School of Law and Political Sciences; **Dr. habil. Varga, Norbert PhD** associate professor, University of Szeged, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Department of Hungarian Legal History

The publication of this issue was supported  
by the Hungarian National Bank (Magyar Nemzeti Bank).



### ARTICLES

**BOTOS, János** – Az önálló, független magyar jegybank megalakítása, kezdeti tevékenysége [The establishment and initial activities of the independent Hungarian central bank]

**GYURIS, Árpád** – A francia magánjog fejlődése a Canal de Craponne-ítélet tükrében [The development of French private law in the mirror of the Canal de Craponne case]

**STÁL, József** – A zsidók névviselése és a zsidó nevek viselése a nemzetiszocialista Németországban [The naming of Jews and the use of Jewish names in National Socialist Germany]

### WORKSHOP

**BALOGH, Sándor** – A titok (büntető)jogi aspektusának történeti alapjai [Historical foundations of the (criminal) legal aspect of secrecy]

**JUHÁSZ, György** – Az orvos büntetőjogi felelősségének korai előképei hazánkban [Early precursors of the criminal liability of the doctors in Hungary]

**STEINER, Gábor** – A csalárdságtól a bűnös vagyontörésig. A csődbűncselekmény jogintézményének kialakulása és hazai történeti előzményei a Csemegi-kódexig [From fraudulency to criminal pecuniary loss. The development of the legal institution of bankruptcy and its historical antecedents in Hungary up to the Csemegi Code]

### ARTICLES

**FENYVESI, Csaba** – A felismerési eljárások története a kriminalisztika fejlődésének tükrében [History of detection processes in the mirror of the development of forensic science]

**GOSZTONYI, Gergely** – A közösségi média elterjedése és korai szabályozási kérdései [The spread of social media and its early regulatory issues]

**STÁL, József** – A zsidók diszkriminálása a névjog eszközeivel a nemzetiszocialista Németországban [Discrimination against Jews by means of name law in National Socialist Germany]

### WORKSHOP

**GÁSPÁR, Zsolt** – A bűnügyi fényképezés története [History of crime scene photography]

**SERBAKOV, Márton Tibor** – A Dohány utcai zsinagógánál történt két merénylet a korabeli jogszabályok és antiszemita szervezetek tükrében [The two attacks at the Dohány Street synagogue in the mirror of contemporary legislation and anti-Semitic organisations]

**SZÉPLAKI, Valéria** – VÉRTESY, László – A biztosítá felügyelet története Magyarországon a 19. századtól a rendszerváltásig [The history of insurance supervision in Hungary from the 19<sup>th</sup> century to the change of regime]

## ARTICLES

HOLLÓSI, Gábor – A képviselőjelölési rendszer kritikája az 1925. évi választójogi törvény nemzetgyűlési vitájában [Criticism of the nomination system in the national assembly debate on the 1925 electoral law]

IVÁNYOSI-SZABÓ, Tibor – A jogszolgáltatás testületei a hódoltsági mezővárosokban [Bodies for the administration of justice in the Ottoman market towns]

PAP, András László – Innováció és másolás. „Nemzetiségi” és „kisebbségi jogi” konstrukciók 1868 és 2011 között [Innovation and copying. Constructions of “nationality” and “minority rights” between 1868 and 2011]

## WORKSHOP

JUHÁSZ, György – Az 1843. évi büntető anyagi jogi javaslat és az orvoslás [The 1843 proposal on criminal substantive law and the medication]

LIPS, Adrián – A trianoni trauma alkotóművészi reprezentációja Szeleczy Zita filmjeiben [The artistic representation of the Trianon trauma in the films of Zita Szeleczy]

STEINER, Gábor – A csődbűncselekmény hazai fejlődéstörténete a 20. században [The development of bankruptcy crime in Hungary in the 20<sup>th</sup> century]

## ARTICLES

FALUS, Orsolya: Az oszmán korból fennmaradt „régí” típusú török alapítványok. Újabb adalékok a tradicionális iszlám alapítványokhoz [The “old” type of Turkish foundations that survive from the Ottoman period. Recent additions to traditional Islamic foundations]

HAITAS, Daniel: Hollandia és Nyugat-Frízföld 1580. évi *Politieke Ordonnansie*ja és annak egyes hatásai az angolszász világra [The *Politieke Ordonnansie* of the Netherlands and West Friesland of 1580 and some of its effects on the Anglo-Saxon world]


KÉPES, György: A kereskedelmi jog mint önálló diszciplína történeti helye a magyar egyetemi szintű jogi oktatásban. Az államszocialista korszaktól napjainkig [The historical place of commercial law as an independent discipline in Hungarian university-level legal education. From the state socialist era to the present day]

PÉTERVÁRI, Máté: Egy negyedszázados per a két csődtörvény határán [A quarter-century-old trial on the border between two bankruptcy laws]

VARGA, Norbert: Egy választottbírói eljárás kartelljogi relevanciái [The antitrust relevance of an arbitration proceedings]

## WORKSHOP

SZIVÓS, Kristóf: A Tanácsköztársaság után felállított igazolóbizottság körüli vita a Budapesti Tudományegyetem Jogi Karán [The debate about the certification committee set up after the Soviet Republic of Hungary at the Faculty of Law of the University of Budapest]



LANTAJOVÁ, Dagmar – GÁBRIŠ, Tomáš – BULLA, Martin (eds.): *Between Trianon and retribution Slovak-Hungarian relations from a legal point of view. Conference proceedings*. Trnava, 2021. Trnava University, Faculty of Law, 234 p.

ISBN 978 805 680 231 1


**EPIZÓDOK A PESTVIDÉKI KIRÁLYI TÖRVÉNYSZÉK TÖRTÉNETÉBŐL / EPISODES  
FROM THE HISTORY OF THE ROYAL PEST ENVIRONS REGIONAL COURT 1872–1944**  
Megyeri-Pálffy, Zoltán



On the occasion of the 150th anniversary of the royal assent of Act No. 4 of 1869 on the exercise of judicial power, the Budapest Regional Court published its first volume of judicial history in Hungarian and English within the framework of the Ráth György Judicial History and Heritage Care competition announced by the National Office for the Judiciary. The author, a research associate of the MTA–ELTE Legal History Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences at the Department of Hungarian State and Legal History of Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest (member of the Eötvös Loránd Research Network), describes the history of the organisation and buildings of the Royal Pest Environs Regional Court. Thus, the bilingual (Hungarian and English) volume deals with the seat of Pest County, where the royal court started its work in 1872, and the building erected in Buda's Fő Street especially for the Pest Environs Regional Court, its construction history and architectural design. The reader is given a fascinating insight into the presidents of the court and some of the most interesting cases judged at the court.

ISBN 9786150053547, Budapest Környéki Törvényszék, 2019. 120 p.

---




**THE KINGS OF THE HOUSE OF ÁRPÁD AND THE RURIKID PRINCES – COOPERATION  
AND CONFLICT IN MEDIEVAL HUNGARY AND KIEVAN RUS'**  
Font, Márta

The book explores the ties between the Kingdom of Hungary and the Principality of Halych (Galicia), which later became the Principality of Galicia–Volhynia. The focus is on an investigation of cooperation and conflict between the two dynasties, the House of Árpád and the Rurikids. Dynastic interests are revealed in the changing relationships between neighbours in the region, including Lesser Poland, Mazovia, the steppe peoples and Byzantium. Occasionally the discussion turns to the policies of the Holy Roman Empire and the Papacy.

ISBN 9789634162780, Bölcsészettudományi Kutatóközpont, 2021. 354 p.

---



**CROWN AND CORONATION IN HUNGARY 1000–1916 A. D.**  
Bak M., János – Pálffy, Géza

This scholarly but popular book summarizes the most recent research on the Holy Crown of Hungary and the history of Hungarian coronations from the founding of the kingdom (St Stephen, 1000–1038) to the end of the monarchy (Charles IV, 1916–1918). It starts with the issue of succession to the throne, discusses the ecclesiastical and secular ceremonials of accession, their locations and the coronation jewels, and especially the origin of the Holy Crown and its history from the thirteenth to the twenty-first century. It includes as well often overlooked paraphernalia, such as flags and coins. The authors address an intelligent lay readership but believe that foreign historians and art historians would also peruse the volume with profit. No similar overview of all aspects of the subject has hitherto been published in English.

ISBN 9789634162193, Bölcsészettudományi Kutatóközpont, 2020. 264 p.

---



The MTA–ELTE Legal History Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences at the Department of Hungarian State and Legal History of Eötvös Loránd University (Budapest), which examines the legislative, legal and jurisprudential autonomy of Hungary in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, in the context of the regional development of the continent, and in the search for its constitutional place within the Habsburg Empire and the Austro–Hungarian Monarchy, offers a continuously renewed content on its website for those interested in the latest results of legal history research. The Research Group is a member of the Eötvös Loránd Research Network (ELKH).

mtajogtortenet.elte.hu

---