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Executive Summary

This document is generated on each commit of the ingraph repository[1].

Structure. Chapter 1 introduces the theoretical foundations of the openCypher language. Chapter 2 presents incremental relational operators.
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[1] https://github.com/FTSRG/ingraph
Chapter 1

Theoretical Foundations

1.1 Introduction

Context. Graphs are a well-known formalism, widely used for describing and analysing systems. Graphs provide an intuitive formalism for modelling real-world scenarios, as the human mind tends to interpret the world in terms of objects (vertices) and their respective relationships to one another (edges) [17].

The property graph data model [9] extends graphs by adding labels and properties for both vertices and edges. This gives a rich set of features for users to model their specific domain in a natural way. Graph databases are able to store property graphs and query their contents with complex graph patterns, which, otherwise would be are cumbersome to define and/or inefficient to evaluate on traditional relational databases and query technologies.

Neo4j [14], a popular NoSQL property graph database, offers the Cypher [13] query language to specify graph patterns. Cypher is a high-level declarative query language which can be optimised by the query engine. The openCypher project [15] is an initiative of Neo Technology, the company behind Neo4j, to deliver an open specification of Cypher.

Problem and objectives. The openCypher project features a formal specification of the grammar of the query language (Section 1.4) and a set of acceptance tests that define the behaviour of various Cypher features. However, there is no mathematical formalisation for any of the language features. In ambiguous cases, the user is advised to consult Neo4j’s Cypher documentation or to experiment with Neo4j’s Cypher query engine and follow its behaviour. Our goal is to provide a formal specification for the core features of openCypher.

Contributions. In this paper, we use a formal definition of the property graph data model [9] and an extended version of relational algebra, operating on multisets (bags) and featuring additional operators [8]. These allow us to construct a concise formal specification for the core features in the openCypher grammar, which can then serve as a basis for implementing an openCypher-compliant query engine.

1.2 Preliminaries

This section defines the mathematical concepts used in the paper. Our notation closely follows [9] and is similar to [19].

1.2.1 Property Graph Data Model

A property graph is defined as $G = (V, E, \text{src}_\text{trg}, L_v, L_e, l_v, l_e, P_v, P_e)$, where $V$ is a set of vertices, $E$ is a set of directed edges, $\text{src}_\text{trg} : E \rightarrow V \times V$ assigns the source and target vertices to edges. The graph is labelled (or typed):

- $L_v$ is a set of vertex labels, $l_v : V \rightarrow 2^{L_v}$ assigns a set of labels to each vertex.
- $L_e$ is a set of edge labels, $l_e : E \rightarrow L_e$ assigns a single label to each edge.

1 The formalism presented in [19] lacks the notion of vertex labels.
1.3. Operators of Relational Algebra

Furthermore, graph $G$ has properties \textit{(attributed graph)}. Let $D$ be a set of atomic domains.

- $P_v$ is a set of vertex properties. A vertex property $p_i \in P_v$ is a function $p_i: V \rightarrow D_i \cup \{\text{NULL}\}$, which assigns a property value from a domain $D_i \in D$ to a vertex $v \in V$, if $v$ has property $p_i$, otherwise $p_i(v)$ returns NULL.

- $P_e$ is a set of edge properties. An edge property $p_j \in P_e$ is a function $p_j: E \rightarrow D_j \cup \{\text{NULL}\}$, which assigns a property value from a domain $D_j \in D$ to an edge $e \in E$, if $e$ has property $p_j$, otherwise $p_j(e)$ returns NULL.

**Running example.** Figure 1.1 presents an example inspired by the Movie Database dataset\footnote{https://neo4j.com/developer/movie-database/}. The graph can be represented formally as:

\[
V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}; \quad E = \{11, 12, 13, 14, 15\};
\]

\[
\text{src}_v(11) = (1, 2); \quad \text{src}_v(12) = (3, 2); \ldots
\]

\[
L_v = \{\text{Actor, Director, Movie}\};
\]

\[
L_e = \{\text{ACTS\_IN, DIRECTED}\};
\]

\[
l_v(1) = \{\text{Actor, Director}\}; \quad l_v(2) = \{\text{Movie}\}; \ldots;
\]

\[
l_e(11) = \text{ACTS\_IN}; \quad l_e(12) = \text{DIRECTED}; \ldots;
\]

\[
P_v = \{\text{name, title, release}\}; \quad P_e = \{\};
\]

$\text{name}(1) = \text{ClintEastwood'}; \quad \text{name}(2) = \text{NULL}; \ldots$

$\text{title}(1) = \text{NULL}; \quad \text{title}(2) = \text{TheGood, theBadandtheUgly'}; \ldots$

$\text{release}(1) = \text{NULL}; \quad \text{release}(2) = 1966; \ldots$

In the context of this paper, we define a relation as a bag \textit{(multiset)} of tuples: a tuple can occur more than once in the relation \footnote{The GETNODES operator introduced in [9] and did not support labels. We extended it by allowing the specification of vertex labels and renamed it to get-vertices to be consistent with the rest of the definitions. We also extended the EXPANDIN and EXPANDOUT operators to allow it to return a set of edges, and introduced the \textit{expand-both} operator to allow navigation to both directions.}. Given a property graph $G$, relation $r$ is a graph relation if the following holds:

\[
\forall A \in \text{attr}(r) : \text{dom}(A) \subseteq V \cup E \cup D,
\]

where attr($r$) is the set of attributes of $r$, dom($A$) is the domain of attribute $A$. The schema of $r$, sch($r$) is a list containing the attribute names. For schema transformations, the \textit{append} operator is denoted by $\|$, the \textit{remove} operator is denoted by $\dashv$.

1.3 Operators of Relational Algebra

For well-known relational algebra operators (e.g. selection, projection, join) and common extensions (e.g. aggregation, left outer join), we only give a brief summary. A more detailed discussion is available in database textbooks, e.g. \footnote{[8] [4]}.

We also adapted graph-specific operators from \footnote{[9]} and propose new operators.

1.3.1 Nullary Operators

The \textit{get-vertices} nullary operator $\bigcup_{v=1}^{n} (\ast_{v=1}^{n} \bar{t}_v)$ returns a graph relation of a single attribute $v$ that contains the ID of all vertices that have \textit{all} of labels $t_1, \ldots, t_n$. 
The get-edges operator \( \uparrow \text{srcsrcTypestrgtrgTypeselabels} \) operator returns a relation of three attributes. Each row represents an edge and its vertices: the source vertex src (with all types of srcTypes), the edge e (with a single label of labels) and the target vertex trg (with all types of trgTypes).

### 1.3.2 Unary Operators

The projection operator \( \pi \) keeps a specific set of attributes in the relation: \( t = \pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n}(r) \). Note that the tuples are not deduplicated by default, i.e. the results will have the same number of tuples as the input relation \( r \). The projection operator can also rename the attributes, e.g. \( \pi_{v_1 \rightarrow v_2}(r) \) renames \( v_1 \) to \( v_2 \).

The selection operator \( \sigma \) filters the incoming relation according to some criteria. Formally, \( t = \sigma_{\theta}(r) \), where predicate \( \theta \) is a propositional formula. The operator selects all tuples in \( r \) for which \( \theta \) holds.

The duplicate-elimination operator \( \delta \) eliminates duplicate tuples in a bag.

The grouping operator \( \gamma \) groups tuples according to their value in one or more attributes and aggregates the remaining attributes.

The sorting operator \( \tau \) transforms a bag relation of tuples to a list of tuples by ordering them. The ordering is defined by specified attributes of the tuples with an ordering direction (ascending \( \uparrow \)/descending \( \downarrow \)) for each attribute, e.g. \( \tau_{v_1, \uparrow v_2}(r) \).

The top operator \( \lambda \) (adapted from \([12]\)) takes a list as its input, skips the top \( s \) tuples and returns the next \( l \) tuples.

The expand-both operator \( \uparrow (t_1 \vee \ldots \vee t_n = \min \ldots \max : v) \) \([u: t_1 \land \ldots \land t_n = \max] \) \( (r) \) adds \( (1) \) a new attribute \( w \) to \( r \) containing the IDs of vertices having all labels \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \) that can be reached from vertices of attribute \( v \) by traversing edges having any labels \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \), and \( (2) \) a new attribute \( E \) for the edges of the path from \( v \) to \( w \). The operator may use at least \( \min \) and at most \( \max \) hops, both defaulting to \( 1 \) if omitted.

The expand-in \( \downarrow \) and expand-out \( \uparrow \) only consider directed paths from \( w \) to \( v \) and from \( v \) to \( w \), respectively.

The unwind operator \( \omega_{x_1 x_2 \ldots} \) unfolds a list \( x \) to a variable \( x \) by introducing additional rows, each containing a single element of the list.

The all-different operator \( \not\equiv_{E_1 E_2 E_3 \ldots} \) \( (r) \) filters \( r \) to keep tuples where the variables in \( \bigcup E_i \) are pairwise different. It can be expressed as a selection:

\[
\not\equiv_{E_1 E_2 E_3 \ldots}(r) = \sigma_{\bigwedge_{e_1, e_2 \in \bigcup E_i} \land e_1 \neq e_2}(r)
\]

We use the all-different operator to guarantee the uniqueness of edges (see the remark on uniqueness of edges in \([Section 1.2]\)).

### 1.3.3 Binary Operators

The \( \cup \) operator produces the set union of two relations, while the \( \uplus \) operator produces the bag union of two operators, e.g. \( \{1, 2\} \cup \{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \). For both the union and bag union operators, the schema of the operands must have the same number of attributes. Some authors also require that they share a common schema, i.e. have the same set of attributes \([8]\).

The \( \times \) operator produces the Cartesian product:

\[
t = r \times s.
\]

The result of the natural join operator \( \bowtie \) is determined by creating the Cartesian product of the relations, then filtering those tuples which are equal on the attributes that share a common name. The combined tuples are projected: from the attributes present in both of the two input relations, we only keep the ones in \( r \) and drop the ones in \( s \). Thus, the join operator is defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{r.A_1=s.A_1 \land \ldots \land r.A_n=s.A_n} \left( r \times s \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\} \) is the set of attributes that occur both in \( R \) and \( S \), i.e. \( R \cap S = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\} \). Note that if the set of common attributes is empty, the natural join operator is equivalent to the Cartesian product of the relations. The join operator is both commutative and associative: \( r \bowtie s = s \bowtie r \) and \( (r \bowtie s) \bowtie t = r \bowtie (s \bowtie t) \), respectively.

---

\( ^{[8]} \) SQL implementations offer the OFFSET and the LIMIT/TOP keywords.

\( ^{[8]} \) Should e.g. \( E_2 \) be a set of the single variable \( e_2 \), the variable name can be used as a shorthand instead, so \( \not\equiv_{E_1, e_2, E_3 \ldots}(r) \) \( \equiv \not\equiv_{E_1, e_2, E_3 \ldots}(r) \).
The antijoin operator \( \triangleright \) (also known as left anti semijoin) collects the tuples from the left relation \( r \) which have no matching pair in the right relation \( s \):

\[
t = r \triangleright s = r \setminus \pi_R (r \bowtie s),
\]

where \( \pi_R \) denotes a projection operator, which only keeps the attributes of the schema over relation \( r \). The antijoin operator is not commutative and not associative.

The left outer join \( \bowtie \) pads tuples from the left relation that did not match any from the right relation with NULL values and adds them to the result of the natural join [21]:

\[
t = r \bowtie s = (r \bowtie s) \cup (r \triangleright s) \times \{\text{NULL}, \ldots, \text{NULL}\},
\]

where the constant relation \( \{\text{NULL}, \ldots, \text{NULL}\} \) is on the schema \( S \setminus R \).

### 1.3.4 Property Access

Assuming that \( x \) is an attribute of a graph relation, we use the notation \( x.a \) in (1) attribute lists for projections and (2) selection conditions to express the access to the corresponding value of property \( a \) in the property graph [10].

### 1.3.5 Summary of Operators

Table 1.1 provides an overview of the operators of relational graph algebra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ops</th>
<th>operator</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>prop.</th>
<th>output for</th>
<th>schema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \bigcirc_{(v)} )</td>
<td>get-vertices</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \pi_{v_1,v_2,\ldots}(r) )</td>
<td>projection</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>bag</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \sigma_{\text{condition}(r)} )</td>
<td>selection</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \uparrow \downarrow \frac{[e_{\text{max}}]}{[e_{\text{min}}]}(r) )</td>
<td>expand-both</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \mathcal{E}_{\text{variables}(r)} )</td>
<td>all-different</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \delta(r) )</td>
<td>duplicate-elimination</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \tau_{v_1,v_2,\ldots}(r) )</td>
<td>sorting</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>list</td>
<td>list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \gamma_{v_1,v_2,\ldots}(r) )</td>
<td>grouping</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \lambda(r) )</td>
<td>top</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>list</td>
<td>list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( r \cup s ), ( r \setminus s )</td>
<td>union, minus</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r \bowtie s )</td>
<td>bag union</td>
<td>c, a</td>
<td>bag</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r \times s )</td>
<td>Cartesian product</td>
<td>c, a</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r \bowtie s )</td>
<td>natural join</td>
<td>c, a</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r \bowtie s )</td>
<td>left outer join</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r \triangleright s )</td>
<td>antijoin</td>
<td>c, a</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>bag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: Properties of relational graph algebra operators. A unary operator \( \alpha \) is idempotent (i), iff \( \alpha(x) = \alpha(\alpha(x)) \) for all inputs. A binary operator \( \beta \) is commutative (c), iff \( x\beta y = y\beta x \) and associative (a), iff \( (x\beta y)\beta z = x\beta(y\beta z) \).

### 1.4 The openCypher Query Language

**Language.** As the primary query language of Neo4j [14], Cypher [13] was designed to read easily. It allows users to specify the graph pattern by a syntax resembling an actual graph. The goal of the openCypher project [15] is to provide a standardised specification of the Cypher language. Listing 1.1 shows an openCypher query, which returns all people who (1) are both actors and directors and (2) have acted in a movie with Clint Eastwood.

```cypher
1 MATCH (a1:Actor)<-[r:ACTS_IN]-(m:Movie)<-[r:ACTS_IN]-(a2:Actor:Director)
2 WHERE a1.name = 'Clint Eastwood'
3 RETURN a2
```

Listing 1.1: Get people who are both actors and directors and acted in a movie with Clint Eastwood.
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openCypher in case no aggregation has been done.

inputs (see natural join query. The algorithm is as follows. Join operations always use all common variables to match the two

then we give a more detailed listing of the compilation rules for the query language constructs in Table 1.2.

In this section, we first give the mapping algorithm of openCypher queries to relational graph algebra, than one subqueries, their results are joined together using natural join clause, edges are required to be unique. However, matches for multiple MATCH clauses can share edges. This uniqueness criterium can be expressed in a compact way with the all-different operator introduced in Section 1.3.2. For vertices, this restriction does not apply.

Implementation. While Neo4j uses a parsing expression grammar (PEG) [6] for specifying the grammar rules of Cypher, openCypher aims to achieve an implementation-agnostic specification by only providing a context-free grammar. The parser can be implemented using any capable parser technology, e.g. ANTLR4 [16] or Xtext [5].

Legacy grammar rules. It is not a goal of the openCypher project to fully cover the features of Neo4j’s Cypher language: “Not all grammar rules of the Cypher language will be standardised in their current form, meaning that they will not be part of openCypher as-is. Therefore, the openCypher grammar will not include some well-known Cypher constructs; these are called ‘legacy’. The legacy rules include commands (CREATE INDEX, CREATE UNIQUE CONSTRAINT, etc.), pre-parser rules (EXPLAIN, PROFILE) and deprecated constructs (START). A detailed description is provided in the openCypher specification. In our work, we focused on the standard core of the language and ignored legacy rules.

Uniqueness for edges. In an openCypher query, a MATCH clause defines a graph pattern. A query can be composed of multiple patterns spanning multiple MATCH clauses. For the matches of a pattern within a single MATCH clause, edges are required to be unique. However, matches for multiple MATCH clauses can share edges. This uniqueness criterium can be expressed in a compact way with the all-different operator introduced in Section 1.3.2. For vertices, this restriction does not apply.

Aggregation. It indeed makes sense to calculate aggregation over graph pattern matches, though, its result will not necessarily be pattern match with vertices and edges. Based on some grouping criteria, matches are put into categories, and values for the grouping criteria as well as grouping functions over the groups, the aggregations are evaluated in a single tuple for each and every category. For example, count, avg, sum, max, min, stdDev, stdDevP, collect. The collect function is an exception as it does not return a single scalar value but returns a collection (list).

In the SQL query language, grouping criteria is explicitly given by using the GROUP BY clause. In openCypher, however, this is done implicitly in the RETURN as well as in WITH clauses: vertices, edges and their properties that appear outside the grouping functions become the grouping criteria.

Subqueries. One can compose an openCypher query of multiple subqueries. Subqueries, written subsequently, mostly begin by a MATCH clause and end at (including) a RETURN or WITH clause, the latter having an optional WHERE clause to follow. The WITH and RETURN clauses determine the resulting schema of the subquery by specifying the vertices, edges, attributes and aggregates of the result. When WITH has the optional WHERE clause, it applies an other filter on the subquery result. The last subquery must be ended by RETURN, whereas all the previous ones must be ended by WITH. If a query is composed by more than one subqueries, their results are joined together using natural join or left outer join operators.

1.5 Mapping openCypher Queries to Relational Graph Algebra

In this section, we first give the mapping algorithm of openCypher queries to relational graph algebra, then we give a more detailed listing of the compilation rules for the query language constructs in Table 1.2.

We follow the bottom-up approach to build the relational graph algebra tree based on the openCypher query. The algorithm is as follows. Join operations always use all common variables to match the two inputs (see natural join in Section 1.3.3).

1. A single pattern is turned left-to-right to a get-vertices for the first vertex and a chain of expand-in, expand-out or expand-both operators for inbound, outbound or undirected relationships, respectively.

2. Patterns in the same MATCH clause are joined by natural join.

https://github.com/opencypher/openCypher/tree/master/grammar

This approach is also used by some SQL code assistant IDEs generating the GROUP BY clause for a query.

*This is much like the HAVING construct of the SQL language with the major difference that it is also allowed in openCypher in case no aggregation has been done.
3. Append an all-different operator for all edge variables that appear in the MATCH clause because of the non-repeating edges language rule.

4. Process the WHERE clause. Note that according to the grammar, WHERE is bound to a MATCH clause.

5. Several MATCH clauses are connected to a left deep tree of natural join. If MATCH has the OPTIONAL modifier, left outer join is used instead of natural join.

6. If there is a positive or negative pattern deferred from WHERE processing, append it as a natural join or antijoin operator, respectively.

7. Append grouping, if RETURN or WITH clause has grouping functions inside

8. Append projection operator based on the RETURN or WITH clause. This operator will also handle the renaming (i.e. AS).

9. Append duplicate-elimination operator, if the RETURN or WITH clause has the DISTINCT modifier.

10. Append a selection operator in case the WITH had the optional WHERE clause.

11. If this is not the first subquery, join to the relational graph algebra tree using natural join or left outer join.

12. Assemble a union operation from the query parts. As the union operator is technically a binary operator, the union of more than two query parts are represented as a left deep tree of UNION operators.

Example. The example query in Listing 1.1 can be formalized as:

$$
\pi_{a2} \left( \sigma_{a1.name='C. E.'} \{ \neq \_e1..e2 \downarrow (a2: \text{Actor} \land \text{Director}) \}_{(a1)} [\text{e1:} \text{ACTS} \text{IN} (\_1: \text{Movie})] \uparrow (\_e2: \text{ACTS} \text{IN} (\_2: \text{Actor})) \} \right)
$$

Note that the $\neq$ guarantees the uniqueness constraint for the edges (Section 1.4), which prevents the query from returning the vertex ClintEastwood.

Optimisations. Queries with negative conditions for patterns can also be expressed using the antijoin operator. For example, MATCH <v>p1</v> WHERE NOT <v>p2</v> can be formalized as

$$
\neq \text{edgesofp1} (p1) \triangleright \neq \text{edgesofp2} (p2)
$$

Limitations. Our mapping does not completely cover the openCypher language. As discussed in Section 1.4, some constructs are defined as legacy and thus were omitted. Also, we did not formalize expressions (e.g. conditions in selections), collections (arrays and maps), which are required for both path variables and the UNWIND operator. The mapping does not cover parameters and data manipulation operations, e.g. CREATE, DELETE, SET and MERGE.

1.6 Related Work

The TinkerPop framework [1] aims to provide a standard data model for property graphs, along with Gremlin, a high-level graph-traversal language [18] and the Gremlin Structure API, a low-level programming interface.

Besides property graphs, graph queries can be formalized on different graph-like data models and even relational databases.


---

9 In this context, query parts refer to those parts of the query connected by the UNION openCypher keyword.

10 MATCH p=(:Person)-[:FRIEND*1..2]->(:Person)
### 1.6. Related Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language construct</th>
<th>Relational algebra expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vertex, edge and path patterns</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>()</td>
<td>( \bigcirc(v) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(:types)</td>
<td>( \bigcirc(v::types) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]- (\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( \bigcirc(v::types) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\infty\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\infty} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\infty\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\infty} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\infty\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\infty} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\infty\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\infty} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\infty\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\infty} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\infty\min} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt; -[\langle v\rangle E\langle v\rangle:labels\langle v\rangle]*min\langle v\rangle...max\langle v\rangle]-&gt;(\langle v\rangle w/\langle v\rangle :types...))</td>
<td>( \uparrow (v::types) ) ( E::labels^{\max\infty} \langle p \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combining and filtering pattern matches</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH (&lt;v&gt;p&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>#edgesof( p )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH (&lt;v&gt;p1&lt;/v&gt;, &lt;v&gt;p2&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>#edgesof( p1) and #edgesof( p2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH (&lt;v&gt;p1&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>#edgesof( p1 ) (\nRightarrow) #edgesof( p2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH (&lt;v&gt;p2&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>#edgesof( p1 ) (\nRightarrow) #edgesof( p2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH (&lt;v&gt;p1&lt;/v&gt;, &lt;v&gt;p2&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>#edgesof( p1 ) (\nRightarrow) #edgesof( p2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTIONAL MATCH (&lt;v&gt;p2&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>#edgesof( p1 ) (\nRightarrow) #edgesof( p2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH (&lt;v&gt;p&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>#edgesof( p )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHERE (&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>( \sigma_{\text{condition}(\langle r \rangle)} ), where condition may specify patterns and arithmetic constraints on existing variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Result and sub-result operations. Rules for RETURN also apply to WITH.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETURN (&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>( \pi_{\text{variables}}(\langle r \rangle) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETURN (&lt;v&gt;v1&lt;/v&gt;) AS (&lt;v&gt;alias1&lt;/v&gt;) ...</td>
<td>( \pi_{v1\rightarrow alias1...}(\langle r \rangle) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETURN DISTINCT (&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>( \delta(\pi_{\text{variables}}(\langle r \rangle)) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETURN (&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt;), (&lt;v&gt;aggregates&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>( \pi_{\text{variables, aggregates}}(\langle r \rangle) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>List operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORDER BY (&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt;) ASC ...</td>
<td>( \tau_{\text{variables, aggregates}}(\langle r \rangle) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKIP (&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>( \lambda_{\text{variables}}(\langle r \rangle) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKIP (&lt;v&gt;v&lt;/v&gt;) LIMIT (&lt;v&gt;l&lt;/v&gt;)</td>
<td>( \lambda_{\text{variables}}(\langle r \rangle) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combining results

\(<v>query1</v>\) UNI<IActionResult \(<v>query2</v>\) \( r_1 \cup r_2 \)

\(<v>query1</v>\) UNIÓN ALL \(<v>query2</v>\) \( r_1 \uplus r_2 \)

Table 1.2: Mapping from openCypher constructs to relational algebra.
1.7 Conclusion and Future Work

RDF. The Resource Description Framework (RDF) [24] aims to describe entities of the semantic web. RDF assumes sparse, ever-growing and incomplete data stored as triples that can be queried using the SPARQL [25] graph pattern language.

SQL. In general, relational databases offer limited support for graph queries: recursive queries are supported by PostgreSQL using the WITH RECURSIVE keyword and by the Oracle Database using the CONNECT BY keyword. Graph queries are supported in SAP HANA Graph Scale-Out Extension prototype [20], through a SQL-based language [11].

1.7 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we presented a formal specification for a subset of the openCypher query language. This provides the theoretical foundations to use openCypher as a language for graph query engines. Using the proposed mapping, an openCypher-compliant query engine could be built on any relational database engine to (1) store property graphs as graph relations and to (2) efficiently evaluate the extended operators of relational graph algebra.

As a future work, we will give formal specification of the operators for incremental query evaluation, which requires us to define maintenance operations to keep their result in sync with the latest set of changes. Our long-term research objective is to design and prototype a distributed, incremental graph query engine [22] for the property graph data model.
Chapter 2

Incremental Query Evaluation

This chapter is based on our paper [23].

In many use cases, queries are continuously evaluated, while the data only changes rarely and to a small degree. The validation queries in MDE are a typical example of such a workload. The goal of incremental query evaluation is to speed up such queries, using the (partial) results obtained during the previous executions of the query and only computing the effect of the latest set of changes.

Incremental query evaluation algorithms typically use additional data structures for caching interim results. This implies that they usually consume more memory than non-incremental, search-based algorithms. In other words, they trade memory consumption for execution speed. This approach, called space–time tradeoff, is well-known and widely used in computer science.

Numerous algorithms were proposed for incremental pattern matching. Mostly, these algorithms originate from the field of rule-based expert systems. In this paper, we use the Rete algorithm [7], which creates a data flow network for evaluating relational queries.

2.1 Overview of Rete Networks

The Rete algorithm constructs a network of three types of nodes. Following Figure 2.2, from bottom to top:

1. **Input nodes** are responsible for indexing the graph, i.e. they store the appropriate tuples for vertices and edges in the graph. They are also responsible for sending change sets as update messages to worker nodes that are subscribed to them.

2. **Worker nodes** perform a relational algebraic operation on their input and propagate the results to other worker nodes or production nodes. Some worker nodes are stateful: they store partial query results in their memory to allow incremental reevaluation. Worker nodes have two types: unary nodes have a single input slot, binary nodes have two input slots.

![Figure 2.1: Example railway instance model as a labeled graph](image)
2.2 Input Nodes

Figure 2.2: The structure of the Rete propagation network.

3. Production nodes are terminators that provide an interface for fetching the results.

The Rete network operates as follows. First, the network computes the set of pattern matches in the graph. Then upon a change in the graph, the network is incrementally maintained by propagating update messages (also known as deltas, denoted with the Δ character). Adding new graph matches to the result set is expressed as positive update messages, while removing matches results in negative update messages.

In the following, we discuss Rete nodes in detail. For unary and binary nodes, we formulate the maintenance operations, which are performed upon receiving an update message. For these operations, we denote the output relation by \( t \), the updated output relation by \( t' \), and the propagated update message on the output by \( \Delta t \).

### 2.2 Input Nodes

**Input nodes** provide the relations for each label of the graph. For example, the input node for the requires edge label of example the graph (Figure 2.1) returns tuples that are currently in the requires relation: \( \{ \langle 2, d, 6 \rangle, \langle 4, f, 7 \rangle \} \). This input node is also responsible for propagating changes to worker nodes in the network:

- If a requires edge ‘t’ is inserted from vertex 2 to 5, the input node sends a positive update message to its subscriber nodes with the change set \( \{ \langle 2, t, 4 \rangle \} \).
- If the edge ‘d’ between vertices 2 and 6 is deleted, the input node sends a negative update to its subscriber nodes with the change set \( \{ \langle 2, d, 6 \rangle \} \).

The relations contained by input nodes can be defined with nullary operators (Section 1.3.1): input nodes indexing vertices implement the get-vertices operator, while input nodes indexing edges implement the get-edges operator.

### 2.3 Unary Nodes

**Unary nodes** have one input slot. They filter or transform the tuples of the parent node according to certain criteria. In the following, the relation representing the input tuples is denoted with \( r \), the relation representing the output tuples is denoted with \( t \), and the operator processing the input is denoted with \( \alpha \):

\[
t = \alpha (r).
\]

**Maintenance**

In the following, we assume that the \( \alpha \) operator is distributive w.r.t. the union (\( \cup \)) and set minus (\( \setminus \)) operators. If a unary node receives an update \( \Delta r \), it performs the operation and computes the change set.
For positive updates, the result \((t')\) and the changeset \((\Delta t)\) are:

\[
t' \equiv \alpha (r \cup \Delta r) \\
= \alpha (r) \cup \alpha (\Delta r) \\
= t \cup \alpha (\Delta r)
\]

Similarly, for negative updates:

\[
t' \equiv \alpha (r \setminus \Delta r) \\
= \alpha (r) \setminus \alpha (\Delta r) \\
= t \setminus \alpha (\Delta r)
\]

Unary nodes are often implemented as stateless nodes, i.e. they do not store the results of the previous executions. Instead, these results are cached in their subscribers, e.g. indexers of binary nodes (Section 2.4) or production nodes (Section 2.5).

As their name suggests, unary nodes implement unary relational algebraic operators (Section 1.3.2):

- The projection node performs a projection operation on the input relation.
- The selection node performs a selection operation on the input relation.
- The all-different node ...
- The grouping node ...
- The unwind node ...
- The top node ...

As all operators are distributive w.r.t. the union and set minus operators, their results can be maintained by performing the operation for the change set \(\Delta r\).

## 2.4 Binary Nodes

Binary nodes implement binary relational operators (Section 1.3.3) and have two input slots: the primary \((p)\) and the secondary \((s)\). Binary node implementations typically cache both their input relations in indexers.

### 2.4.1 Natural Join Node

Maintenance

In the following, we define the maintenance operations for natural join nodes. If a natural join node receives a positive update \(\Delta p\) on its primary input slot, the result \((t')\) and the change set \((\Delta t)\) are determined as follows:

\[
t' \equiv (p \cup \Delta p) \bowtie s \\
= (p \bowtie s) \cup (\Delta p \bowtie s) \\
= t \cup (\Delta p \bowtie s)
\]

If the node receives a positive update \(\Delta s\) on its secondary input slot, the result \((t')\) and the change set \((\Delta t)\) are the following:

\[
t' \equiv p \bowtie (s \cup \Delta s) \\
= (p \bowtie s) \cup (p \bowtie \Delta s) \\
= t \cup (p \bowtie \Delta s)
\]
For negative updates, the changeset is the same, but it is propagated as a negative update. The result is \( t' = t \setminus (\Delta p \bowtie s) \) and \( t' = t \setminus (p \bowtie \Delta s) \), for updates messages on the primary and the secondary input slots, respectively.

### 2.4.2 Antijoin Node

#### Maintenance

As the antijoin operator is not commutative, handling update messages requires us to distinguish between the following cases:

- **Update on the primary slot.**
  - Positive update: send a positive update for each incoming tuple for which there is no match on the secondary indexer.

\[
\begin{align*}
  t' &\equiv (p \cup \Delta p) \bowtie s \\
  &= (p \bowtie s) \cup (\Delta p \bowtie s) \\
  &= t \cup (\Delta p \bowtie s)
\end{align*}
\]

- Negative update: send a negative update with the following tuples:

\[
\Delta t = \Delta p \bowtie s
\]

- **Update on the secondary slot.** This case is more difficult to handle, so we recall the definition of the antijoin operator from Section 1.3.3 for relations \( p \) and \( s \):

\[
t \equiv p \bowtie s = p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s)),
\]

- For positive updates, the result set can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
  t' &\equiv p \bowtie (s \cup \Delta s) \\
  &= p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s \cup \Delta s))
\end{align*}
\]

Positive updates on the secondary indexer result in negative updates on the result set, so that \( t' = t \setminus \Delta t \), hence \( \Delta t = t \setminus t' \).

For sets \( A, B \subseteq C \), the following equality holds: \((C \setminus A) \setminus (C \setminus B) = B \setminus A\). Applying this with \( C = p \) and using the distributive property of the natural join operator, the change set can be determined as:

\[
\Delta t = t \setminus t' = \left[ \left[ \left[ p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s)) \right] \setminus \left[ p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s \cup \Delta s)) \right] \right] \setminus \left[ p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s \cup \Delta s)) \right] \right]
\]

- For negative updates, the result set can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
  t' &\equiv p \bowtie (s \setminus \Delta s) \\
  &= p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s \setminus \Delta s))
\end{align*}
\]

Negative updates may result in positive updates on the result set. Since \( t' = t \cup \Delta t \), we can define \( \Delta t = t' \setminus t \):

\[
\Delta t = t' \setminus t = \left[ \left[ \left[ p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s \setminus \Delta s)) \right] \setminus \left[ p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s)) \right] \right] \setminus \left[ p \setminus (p \bowtie \pi_{P \cap S}(s)) \right] \right]
\]

Although this change set may seem difficult to calculate, we point out that both \( x \) and \( y \) can be maintained incrementally. Furthermore, they only grow linearly in the size of \( p \), as the join operator does not introduce new attributes, hence it can only reduce the number of elements in the relation.
2.4.3 Left Outer Join Node

\[ t' \equiv p \bowtie s \]

2.4.4 Union Node

\[ t' \equiv (p \cup \Delta p) \cup s = (p \cup s) \cup \Delta p = t \cup \overset{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \]

As the union operator is commutative, for updates on the secondary input, the rules are the same, but \( p \) and \( s \) are changed and \( \Delta p \) is renamed to \( \Delta s \).

2.5 Production Nodes

Production nodes are terminators that provide an interface for fetching results of a query (the match set) and also propagate the changes introduced by the latest update message.\(^1\)

**Maintenance**

The change set is defined as:

\[ \Delta t \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \Delta r_i, \]

where \( \Delta r_1, \Delta r_2, \ldots, \Delta r_n \) are the update messages triggered by the last change.

\(^1\)In popular Rete implementations, clients are usually subscribed to the production nodes and notified about the changes in the result set.
Chapter 3
Calculating the Schemas

Graph query engines often use single-machine (even single-threaded), search-based algorithms. Using these algorithms includes many tradeoffs:

- They do not scale well for large graphs.
- They cannot run in parallel.
- They cannot provide incremental maintenance – if the graph changes, they have to completely reevaluate the query.

However, they have advantageous properties as well:

- They are more straightforward to implement than incremental algorithms.
- They are typically able to execute with limited memory.
- They can access properties of elements (e.g. the name property of a vertex or the weight property of an edge) by using a pointer.

3.1 Concepts

Let’s take a simple Cypher query, that returns the name:

```cypher
MATCH (n:Person)
WHERE n.age > 27
RETURN n.name
```

Listing 3.1: Example query

There are three key concepts for defining the schema for each operator in the relational algebra plan:

- The **external schema** (typeset in red) is a relational schema that defines the schema visible for users [Figure 3.2]. This schema is similar to the one generated by Neo4j’s query planner.
- The **extra attributes** (typeset in blue) define attributes that are required by an operator’s ancestors. For example, the projection (\( \pi \)) and selection (\( \sigma \)) operators might require additional properties. For Listing 3.1, the projection requires the name attribute, while the selection requires the age.
- The **internal schema** (typeset in green) defines a relational schema that contains the external schema plus the extra attributes that are required to locally perform computations.

Figure 3.1 illustrates these concepts.

We call the process of calculating the external schema, the additional attributes and the internal schema as **schema calculation**.

3.2 More examples

See the Appendices for more examples, starting with the TCK test cases [Appendix A].
3.2. More examples

Figure 3.1: Search plan for [Listing 3.1]

Figure 3.2: Relational algebra tree with tuples that stand for the external schema, extra variables and internal schema.
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## Appendix A

### TCK Acceptance Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregation Acceptance</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Name Acceptance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison Operator Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete Acceptance</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equals Acceptance</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression Acceptance</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functions Acceptance</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value Hash Join Acceptance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keys Acceptance</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labels Acceptance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Integer Equality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List Comprehension</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literals</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match Acceptance</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match Acceptance 2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching Self Relationships</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Into Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Node Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Relationship Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Error Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional Match</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional Match Acceptance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order By Acceptance</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern Comprehension</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Acceptance Test</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Acceptance 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantic Error Acceptance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set Acceptance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skip Limit Acceptance Test</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.1 AggregationAcceptance

A.1.1 Support multiple divisions in aggregate function [regression test]

Query specification (Support multiple divisions in aggregate function)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN count(n) / 60 / 60 AS count

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Support multiple divisions in aggregate function)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(n)/60/60\rightarrow\text{count}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Support multiple divisions in aggregate function)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Support multiple divisions in aggregate function)

A.1.2 Support column renaming for aggregates as well

Query specification (Support column renaming for aggregates as well)

```
1 MATCH ()
2 RETURN count(*) AS columnName
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Support column renaming for aggregates as well)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{columnName}} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Support column renaming for aggregates as well)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Support column renaming for aggregates as well)

A.1.3 Aggregates inside normal functions

Query specification (Aggregates inside normal functions)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN size(collect(a))
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Aggregates inside normal functions)

\[ \gamma_{\text{size(collect(a))}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \Omega_{(a)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Aggregates inside normal functions)
A.1. Aggregation Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Aggregates inside normal functions)

A.1.4 Handle aggregates inside non-aggregate expressions

Query specification (Handle aggregates inside non-aggregate expressions)

1. MATCH (a {name: 'Andres'})<-[[:FATHER]]-(child)
2. RETURN {foo: a.name='Andres', kids: collect(child.name)}

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handle aggregates inside non-aggregate expressions)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL}} \delta \neq_{e383} (a) \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{child} \\ e383: \text{FATHER} \end{array} \right) \bigcirc (a) \]
A.1. Aggregation Acceptance

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle aggregates inside non-aggregate expressions)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle aggregates inside non-aggregate expressions)

A.1.5 Count nodes

Query specification (Count nodes)

1 MATCH (a:L)-[rel]->(b)
2 RETURN a, count(*)
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Count nodes)

\[ \gamma^{\text{a}, \text{count}(\ast)} \text{Dual} \bowtie \neq_{\text{rel}} (b) \uparrow (a) \text{rel} \subseteq (a : \text{L}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Count nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Count nodes)
A.1.6 Sort on aggregate function and normal property

**Query specification** (Sort on aggregate function and normal property)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN n.division, count(*)
ORDER BY count(*) DESC, n.division ASC
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Sort on aggregate function and normal property)

\[ \top \downarrow \text{count}(\ast) \uparrow n \text{.division} \Downarrow n \text{.division}.\text{count}(\ast) \Rightarrow \circ (n) \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Sort on aggregate function and normal property)
A.1. Aggregation Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Sort on aggregate function and normal property)

\[
\Omega_{\text{n.division.count(*)}}
\]
\[
\langle \text{n.division.iname8} \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\tau_{\text{count(*)}, \text{n.division}}
\]
\[
\langle \text{n.division.iname8} \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\gamma_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\tau_{\text{count(*)}, \text{n.division}}
\]
\[
\langle \text{n.division.iname8} \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\gamma_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\tau_{\text{count(*)}, \text{n.division}}
\]
\[
\langle \text{n.division.iname8} \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\gamma_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\tau_{\text{count(*)}, \text{n.division}}
\]
\[
\langle \text{n.division.iname8} \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\gamma_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.division.iname8}}
\]

A.1.7 Aggregate on property

Query specification (Aggregate on property)

1. MATCH (n)
2. RETURN n.x, count(*)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Aggregate on property)

\[
\gamma_{\text{n.x.count(*)}}\n\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.x.count(*)}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.x.count(*)}}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Aggregate on property)

\[
\Omega_{\text{n.x.count(*)}}
\]
\[
\langle \text{n.x.iname9} \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.x.iname9}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.x.iname9}}
\]
\[
\gamma_{\text{n.x.iname9}}
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle 0 \rangle_{\text{n.x.iname9}}
\]
\[
\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{n.x.iname9}}
\]
Incremental relational algebra tree (Aggregate on property)

A.1.8 Count non-null values

Query specification (Count non-null values)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n.y, count(n.x)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Count non-null values)

\[ \gamma_{n.y}^{\text{count}(n.x)} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Count non-null values)
A.1. Aggregation Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Count non-null values)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{n.y.count(n.x)} \\
(\langle n.y, _iname10 \rangle, () ) \\
(\langle n.y, _iname10 \rangle, () ) \\
\end{array}
\]

A.1.9 Sum non-null values

Query specification (Sum non-null values)

1. MATCH (n)
2. RETURN n.y, sum(n.x)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Sum non-null values)

\[
\gamma_{n,y,sum(n.x)}^{\text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc(n)}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Sum non-null values)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{n.y,sum(n.x)} \\
(\langle n.y, _iname11 \rangle, () ) \\
(\langle n.y, _iname11 \rangle, () ) \\
\end{array}
\]
A.1. Aggregation Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Sum non-null values)

A.1.10 Handle aggregation on functions

Query specification (Handle aggregation on functions)

```
MATCH p=(a:L)-[*]->(b)
RETURN b, avg(length(p))
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.1.11 Distinct on unbound node

Query specification (Distinct on unbound node)

```
OPTIONAL MATCH (a)
RETURN count(DISTINCT a)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Distinct on unbound node)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(a)} \neq \emptyset(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Distinct on unbound node)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Distinct on unbound node)
A.1.12 Distinct on null

Query specification (Distinct on null)

1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN count(DISTINCT a.foo)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Distinct on null)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(a.foo)} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(a) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Distinct on null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Distinct on null)

A.1.13 Collect distinct nulls

Query specification (Collect distinct nulls)

1 UNWIND [null, null] AS x
2 RETURN collect(DISTINCT x) AS c
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Collect distinct nulls)

\[ \gamma_{\text{collect}(x) \rightarrow c} \omega_{\text{NULL, NULL} \rightarrow x} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Collect distinct nulls)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Collect distinct nulls)
A.1.14 Collect distinct values mixed with nulls

Query specification (Collect distinct values mixed with nulls)

1. \texttt{UNWIND} \{null, 1, null\} AS x
2. \texttt{RETURN} \texttt{collect(DISTINCT x)} AS c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Collect distinct values mixed with nulls)

\[ \gamma_{\text{collect}(x) \rightarrow c} \circ \omega_{[\text{NULL}.1, \text{NULL}] \rightarrow x} \preceq \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Collect distinct values mixed with nulls)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Collect distinct values mixed with nulls)

A.1.15 Aggregate on list values

Query specification (Aggregate on list values)
1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN DISTINCT a.color, count(*)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Aggregate on list values)

\[ \delta^a.color \gamma^\text{collect(x)} \rightarrow \gamma^c \rightarrow \gamma^\text{collect(x)} \rightarrow \omega^\text{null, 1, null} \rightarrow x \]

\[ \delta^a.color, \text{count(*)} \rightarrow \text{Dual} \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Aggregate on list values)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\delta &.color."iname14" \\
\gamma &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\delta &.color."iname14" \\
\gamma &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\delta &.color."iname14" \\
\gamma &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\end{align*}
\]

Incremental relational algebra tree (Aggregate on list values)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\delta &.color."iname14" \\
\gamma &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\delta &.color."iname14" \\
\gamma &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\delta &.color."iname14" \\
\gamma &.color.count(+) \\
(a) &.color."iname14" \\
\end{align*}
\]
A.1.16 Aggregates with arithmetics

Query specification (Aggregates with arithmetics)

1 MATCH ()
2 RETURN count(*) * 10 AS c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Aggregates with arithmetics)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(\ast) \cdot 10 \rightarrow c} \]
\[ \text{Dual} \ Dod \left( \text{\textunderscore e384} \right) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Aggregates with arithmetics)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Aggregates with arithmetics)
A.1.17 Aggregates ordered by arithmetics

Query specification (Aggregates ordered by arithmetics)

1 MATCH (a:A), (b:X)
2 RETURN count(a) * 10 + count(b) * 5 AS x
3 ORDER BY x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Aggregates ordered by arithmetics)

\[ \mathcal{T}^\top \mathcal{X} \mathcal{\gamma} \text{count}(a) \cdot 10 + \text{count}(b) \cdot 5 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \mathcal{\vartriangle} \not\equiv^{\mathcal{O} } \mathcal{O}(a : A) \mathcal{\times} \mathcal{O}(b : X) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Aggregates ordered by arithmetics)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Aggregates ordered by arithmetics)

A.1.18 Multiple aggregates on same variable

Query specification (Multiple aggregates on same variable)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN count(n), collect(n)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Multiple aggregates on same variable)

\[ \gamma \text{count}(a) \cdot 10 + \text{count}(b) \cdot 5 \rightarrow \text{x} \]

\[ \times \{ \} \]

\[ \times \{ a, b \} \]

\[ \times \{ (a: A), (b: X) \} \]

\[ \times \{ (a: A), (b: X) \} \]

\[ \times \{ (a: A), (b: X) \} \]

\[ \times \{ (a: A), (b: X) \} \]

\[ \times \{ (a: A), (b: X) \} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Multiple aggregates on same variable)

```
Ω_count(n).collect(n)
  (_iname15, _iname16)
    ␩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0, _iname15, # _iname16⟩
```

Incremental relational algebra tree (Multiple aggregates on same variable)

```
Ω_count(n).collect(n)
  (_iname15, _iname16)
    ␩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0, _iname15, # _iname16⟩
```

A.1.19 Simple counting of nodes

Query specification (Simple counting of nodes)

1 MATCH ()
2 RETURN count(*)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Simple counting of nodes)

```
γ_count(*) ⊗ # ≠ ␩ ⊘ ⟨_e385⟩
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Simple counting of nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Simple counting of nodes)

A.1.20 Aggregation of named paths

Query specification (Aggregation of named paths)

```
MATCH p = (a)-[*]->(b)
RETURN collect(nodes(p)) AS paths, length(p) AS l
ORDER BY l
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.1.21 Aggregation with 'min()'

Query specification (Aggregation with 'min()')

```
MATCH p = (a:T {name: 'a'})-[*:R]->(other:T)
WHERE other <> a
WITH a, other, min(length(p)) AS len
RETURN a.name AS name, collect(other.name) AS others, len
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.1.22 Handle subexpression in aggregation also occurring as standalone expression with nested aggregation in a literal map

Query specification (Handle subexpression in aggregation also occurring as standalone expression with nested aggregation in a literal map)

```
MATCH (a:A), (b:B)
RETURN coalesce(a.prop, b.prop) AS foo,
     b.prop AS bar,
     {y: count(b)} AS baz
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handle subexpression in aggregation also occurring as standalone expression with nested aggregation in a literal map)

```
π coalesce(a.prop, b.prop)→foo, b.prop→prop, NULL→baz Dual ◁ ⃝ (a: A) ◁ ⃝ (b: B)
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle subexpression in aggregation also occurring as standalone expression with nested aggregation in a literal map)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle subexpression in aggregation also occurring as standalone expression with nested aggregation in a literal map)

A.1.23 No overflow during summation

Query specification (No overflow during summation)

1 UNWIND range(1000000, 2000000) AS i
2 WITH i
3 LIMIT 3000
4 RETURN sum(i)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (No overflow during summation)

$$\gamma^{\text{sum}(i)}_{\lambda^{3000} \varpi_{\text{range}(1000000, 2000000)} \rightarrow_{\text{Dual}}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (No overflow during summation)
A.1.24 Counting with loops

Query specification (Counting with loops)

```
1 MATCH ()-[r]-()
2 RETURN count(r)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Counting with loops)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(r)} \text{Dual} \triangledown \not\equiv_{\_e387} [r] \bigcirc_{\_e386} \]
A.1. Aggregation

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Counting with loops)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Counting with loops)

A.1.25 ’max()’ should aggregate strings

Query specification (’max()’ should aggregate strings)

```plaintext
1 UNWIND ['a', 'b', 'B', null, 'abc', 'abc1'] AS i
2 RETURN max(i)
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘max()’ should aggregate strings)

\[ \gamma_{\text{max}(i)} \omega['a','b','B',\text{NULL},'abc','abc1'] \rightarrow \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘max()’ should aggregate strings)

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘max()’ should aggregate strings)
A.1.26  ’min()’ should aggregate strings

Query specification ('min()' should aggregate strings)
1 UNWIND ['a', 'b', 'B', null, 'abc', 'abc1'] AS i
2 RETURN min(i)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('min()' should aggregate strings)

\[ \gamma_{\text{min}(i)} \omega^{\text{['a', 'b', 'B', NULL, 'abc', 'abc1']} \rightarrow i} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('min()' should aggregate strings)
A.2. ColumnNameAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘min()’ should aggregate strings)

A.2.1 Keeping used expression 1

Query specification (Keeping used expression 1)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN count(*)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Keeping used expression 1)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}}(\text{Dual}) \not\equiv \mathcal{O}(n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Keeping used expression 1)

```
Ω_{count(*)} 
   (\_iname22) 
   () 
   (\#_iname22) 
γ_{count(*)} 
   (\_iname22) 
   () 
   (\#_iname22) 
```

Incremental relational algebra tree (Keeping used expression 1)

```
Ω_{count(*)} 
   (\_iname22) 
   () 
   (\#_iname22) 
γ_{count(*)} 
   (\_iname22) 
   () 
   (\#_iname22) 
```

A.2.2 Keeping used expression 2

Query specification (Keeping used expression 2)

```sql
1 MATCH p = (n)-->(b)
2 RETURN n0dEs( p )
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.2.3 Keeping used expression 3

Query specification (Keeping used expression 3)

1 MATCH p = (n)-->(b)
2 RETURN count(distinct p)

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.2.4 Keeping used expression 4

Query specification (Keeping used expression 4)

1 MATCH p = (n)-->(b)
2 RETURN avg(n.age)

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.3 Comparability

A.3.1 Comparing strings and integers using > in an AND’d predicate

Query specification (Comparing strings and integers using > in an AND’d predicate)

1 MATCH (:Root)-->(i:Child)
2 WHERE exists(i.id) AND i.id > 'x'
3 RETURN i.id

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.3.2 Comparing strings and integers using > in a OR’d predicate

Query specification (Comparing strings and integers using > in a OR’d predicate)

1 MATCH (:Root)-->(i:Child)
2 WHERE NOT exists(i.id) OR i.id > 'x'
3 RETURN i.id

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4 ComparisonOperatorAcceptance

ComparisonOperatorAcceptance 0 of 10
A.4.1 Handling numerical ranges 1

Query specification (Handling numerical ranges 1)

1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE 1 < n.value < 3
3 RETURN n.value

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.2 Handling numerical ranges 2

Query specification (Handling numerical ranges 2)

1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE 1 < n.value <= 3
3 RETURN n.value

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.3 Handling numerical ranges 3

Query specification (Handling numerical ranges 3)

1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE 1 <= n.value < 3
3 RETURN n.value

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.4 Handling numerical ranges 4

Query specification (Handling numerical ranges 4)

1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE 1 <= n.value <= 3
3 RETURN n.value

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.5 Handling string ranges 1

Query specification (Handling string ranges 1)

1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE 'a' < n.value < 'c'
3 RETURN n.value
Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.6 Handling string ranges 2

Query specification (Handling string ranges 2)

```
MATCH (n)
WHERE 'a' < n.value <= 'c'
RETURN n.value
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.7 Handling string ranges 3

Query specification (Handling string ranges 3)

```
MATCH (n)
WHERE 'a' <= n.value < 'c'
RETURN n.value
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.8 Handling string ranges 4

Query specification (Handling string ranges 4)

```
MATCH (n)
WHERE 'a' <= n.value <= 'c'
RETURN n.value
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.4.9 Handling empty range

Query specification (Handling empty range)

```
MATCH (n)
WHERE 10 < n.value <= 3
RETURN n.value
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.4.10 Handling long chains of operators

Query specification (Handling long chains of operators)

```
MATCH (n)-->(m)
WHERE n.prop1 < m.prop1 = n.prop2 <> m.prop2
RETURN labels(m)
```

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.5 Create

A.5.1 Creating a node

Query specification (Creating a node)

```
CREATE ()
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Creating a node)

\[ \zeta_{\text{e393}} \leadsto \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Creating a node)
A.5. Create

Incremental relational algebra tree (Creating a node)

A.5.2 Creating two nodes

Query specification (Creating two nodes)

```
CREATE (), ()
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Creating two nodes)

\[ \zeta_{e394, e395} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Creating two nodes)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Creating two nodes)

A.5.3 Creating two nodes and a relationship

Query specification (Creating two nodes and a relationship)

```
CREATE ()-[TYPE]->()
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Creating two nodes and a relationship)

\[ \zeta_{\text{e394, e395}} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Creating two nodes and a relationship)
A.5. Create

Incremental relational algebra tree (Creating two nodes and a relationship)

A.5.4 Creating a node with a label

Query specification (Creating a node with a label)

```
CREATE (:Label)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Creating a node with a label)

\[ \zeta_{e396} \sqcap \Dual \sqcap \Dual \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Creating a node with a label)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Creating a node with a label)

A.5.5 Creating a node with a property

Query specification (Creating a node with a property)

```plaintext
CREATE ({created: true})
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Creating a node with a property)

\[ \zeta_{e399} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Creating a node with a property)
A.6. CreateAcceptance

A.6.1 Create a single node with multiple labels

Query specification (Create a single node with multiple labels)

```
CREATE (:A:B:C:D)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a single node with multiple labels)

\[ \zeta_{e401} \circ \text{Dual} \circ \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a single node with multiple labels)
A.6. Create Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a single node with multiple labels)

A.6.2 Combine MATCH and CREATE

Query specification (Combine MATCH and CREATE)

```
1 MATCH ()
2 CREATE ()
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Combine MATCH and CREATE)

$$\zeta_{e403} \cap_{\neq} \bigcirc_{(e402)}$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Combine MATCH and CREATE)
A.6. CreateAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Combine MATCH and CREATE)

A.6.3 Combine MATCH, WITH and CREATE

Query specification (Combine MATCH, WITH and CREATE)

```plaintext
1 MATCH ()
2 CREATE ()
3 WITH *
4 MATCH ()
5 CREATE ()
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.6.4 Newly-created nodes not visible to preceding MATCH

Query specification (Newly-created nodes not visible to preceding MATCH)

```plaintext
1 MATCH ()
2 CREATE ()
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Newly-created nodes not visible to preceding MATCH)

\[ \zeta_{e407} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{e406} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Newly-created nodes not visible to preceding MATCH)

A.6.5 Create a single node with properties

Query specification (Create a single node with properties)

```
1 CREATE (n {prop: 'foo'})
2 RETURN n.prop AS p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.6.6 Creating a node with null properties should not return those properties

Query specification (Creating a node with null properties should not return those properties)

```
1 CREATE (n {id: 12, property: null})
2 RETURN n.id AS id
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.6.7 Creating a relationship with null properties should not return those properties

Query specification (Creating a relationship with null properties should not return those properties)

```
1 CREATE ()-[r:X {id: 12, property: null}]->()
2 RETURN r.id
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.6.8 Create a simple pattern

Query specification (Create a simple pattern)

```
1 CREATE ()-[r:R]->()
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a simple pattern)

$$ζ_{_e408_..._e409_..._e410} Δ ⊤ Δ$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a simple pattern)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a simple pattern)

A.6.9 Create a self loop

Query specification (Create a self loop)

1 CREATE (root:R)-[:LINK]->(root)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a self loop)

ζ_{root,e411} Dual △ Dual

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a self loop)
A.6. Create Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a self loop)

A.6.10 Create a self loop using MATCH

Query specification (Create a self loop using MATCH)

1. MATCH (root:R)
2. CREATE (root)-[:LINK]->(root)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a self loop using MATCH)

\[ \zeta_{\epsilon412} \text{ Dual } \not\equiv \text{o}(\text{root: R}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a self loop using MATCH)
A.6. Create Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a self loop using MATCH)

A.6.11 Create nodes and relationships

Query specification (Create nodes and relationships)

1. `CREATE (a), (b),`
2. `(a)-[:R]->(b)`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create nodes and relationships)

\[ \zeta_{a,b\_e413} \Join \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create nodes and relationships)
A.6. CreateAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Create nodes and relationships)

A.6.12 Create a relationship with a property

Query specification (Create a relationship with a property)

```
CREATE (){[:R {prop: 42}]}->()
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a relationship with a property)

```
ζ_e414_e415_e416 ⊲ Dual ⊳ Dual
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a relationship with a property)
A.6.13 Create a relationship with the correct direction

Query specification

1 MATCH (x:X), (y:Y)
2 CREATE (x)<-[:TYPE]-(y)
3 MATCH (x:X)<-[:TYPE]-(y:Y)
4 RETURN x, y

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation

\[ \pi_{x,y} \Leftrightarrow_{\text{Dual}} \bigcirc_{(x: X)} \bigcirc_{(y: Y)} \bigcirc_{\text{\_e418}} \downarrow_{(x)} (y: Y) \bigcirc_{\text{\_e418: TYPE}} \bigcirc_{(x: X)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a relationship with the correct direction)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Create a relationship with the correct direction)

A.6.14 Create a relationship and an end node from a matched starting node

**Query specification** (Create a relationship and an end node from a matched starting node)

1. MATCH (x:Begin)
2. CREATE (x)-[:TYPE]->(:End)
3. MATCH (x:Begin)-[:TYPE]->()
4. RETURN x

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Create a relationship and an end node from a matched starting node)

\[
\pi_x \zeta_{e417} \, \text{Dual} \, \ominus \, \O \,(x: \text{Begin}) \, \ominus \, \ominus_{e422} \uparrow_{(x)} \,(y_{e418}: \text{TYPE}) \, \O \,(x: \text{Begin})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a relationship and an end node from a matched starting node)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a relationship and an end node from a matched starting node)

A.6.15 Create a single node after a WITH

Query specification (Create a single node after a WITH)

```plaintext
1 MATCH ()
2 CREATE ()
3 WITH *
4 CREATE ()
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.6.16 Create a relationship with a reversed direction

Query specification (Create a relationship with a reversed direction)

```plaintext
1 CREATE (:A)<-[:R]-(:B)
2 MATCH (a:A)<-[:R]-(b:B)
3 RETURN a, b
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a relationship with a reversed direction)

\[ \pi_{a,b} \zeta_{\_e425,\_e426,\_e427} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \not\equiv_{\_e428} \downarrow \quad (b: B \mid \_e428: R) \bigcirc (a: A) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a relationship with a reversed direction)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a relationship with a reversed direction)

A.6.17 Create a pattern with multiple hops

Query specification (Create a pattern with multiple hops)

```plaintext
1 CREATE (:A)-[:R]->(:B)-[:R]->(:C)
2 MATCH (a:A)-[:R]->(b:B)-[:R]->(c:C)
3 RETURN a, b, c
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a pattern with multiple hops)

\[
\pi_{a,b,c} \otimes \bigcirc_{e428\ldots e427} \wedge_{\neg e435\ldots e434} \uparrow_{(b: B)^{e435: R}} \uparrow_{(c: C)^{e435: R}} \uparrow_{(b: B)^{e434: R}} \bigcirc_{(a: A)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a pattern with multiple hops)
A.6. CreateAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a pattern with multiple hops)

Query specification (Create a pattern with multiple hops in the reverse direction)

1. CREATE (:A)<-[:R]-(:B)<-[:R]-(:C)
2. MATCH (a)<-[:R]-(b)<-[:R]-(c)
3. RETURN a, b, c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a pattern with multiple hops in the reverse direction)

\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \zeta_{e429\ldots e433} \triangleleft \{b\} \triangleleft \{b\} \triangleleft \{b\} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a pattern with multiple hops in the reverse direction)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a pattern with multiple hops in the reverse direction)

A.6.19 Create a pattern with multiple hops in varying directions

Query specification (Create a pattern with multiple hops in varying directions)

1. `CREATE (:A)-[:R]->(:B)<-[[:R]-(:C)
2. `MATCH (a:A)-[r1:R]->(b:B)<-[r2:R]-(c:C)
3. `RETURN a, b, c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a pattern with multiple hops in varying directions)

\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \xi_{e436\ldots e440} \neq_{e442\ldots e447} \Delta \downarrow \{ b \} \]

\[ \uparrow (b) \xi_{e441} [r2,r1] \leftarrow \{ c : [r2 : R] \right \} \quad \uparrow (b) \xi_{e442} [r2,r1] \leftarrow \{ b : [r1 : R] \}
\]

\[ \xi_{e443\ldots e445} \Delta \downarrow \{ \} \]

\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \xi_{e441} \neq_{e442} \Delta \downarrow \{ \} \]

\[ \xi_{e436\ldots e438\ldots e440} \]

\[ \sigma_{e441} \neq_{e442} \Delta \downarrow \{ \} \]

\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \xi_{e441} \neq_{e442} \Delta \downarrow \{ \} \]

\[ \xi_{e436\ldots e438\ldots e440} \]

\[ \sigma_{e441} \neq_{e442} \Delta \downarrow \{ \} \]

\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \xi_{e441} \neq_{e442} \Delta \downarrow \{ \} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create a pattern with multiple hops in varying directions)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a pattern with multiple hops in varying directions)

A.6.20 Create a pattern with multiple hops with multiple types and varying directions

Query specification (Create a pattern with multiple hops with multiple types and varying directions)

1 CREATE ()-[[:R1]->()]<-[[:R2]-()-[[:R3]->()]
2 MATCH ()-[r1:R1]->()<-[r2:R2]-()-[r3:R3]->() 
3 RETURN r1, r2, r3
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create a pattern with multiple hops with multiple types and varying directions)

\[
\pi_{r1, r2, r3} \left( \_e448, \_e449, \_e450, \_e451, \_e452, \_e453, \_e454 \right) \Join \left( \_e455 \right) \left( r1 \rightarrow \left( \_e456 \right) \left( r2 \rightarrow \left( \_e457 \right) \left( r3 \rightarrow \left( \_e458 \right) \left( \_e448 \right) \right) \right) \right) \left( \_e455 \right)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation

Create a pattern with multiple hops with multiple types and varying directions

```
Ω_{r1,r2,r3} (r1,r2,r3) ∅ ⟨r1,r2,r3⟩ ∅ ⟨0⟩ ⟨0⟩ r1, r2, r3

π_{r1,r2,r3} (r1,r2,r3) ∅ ⟨r1,r2,r3⟩ ∅ ⟨1⟩ ⟨1⟩ r1, r2, r3

⊙ {} ⟨e455,r1,e456,r2,e457,r3,e458⟩ ∅ ⟨0⟩ ⟨0⟩ r1, r2, r3

∸ r1 \neq r1 \neq r1 \neq r1 
```

```
↑ (e458) \[r3: R3\] ⟨e455,r1,e456,r2,e457,r3,e458⟩ ∅ ⟨0⟩ ⟨0⟩ r1, r2, r3

↑ (e457) \[r2: R2\] ⟨e455,r1,e456,r2,e457⟩ ∅ ⟨0⟩ ⟨0⟩ r1, r2, r3

↑ (e456) \[r1: R1\] ⟨e455,r1,e456⟩ ∅ ⟨0⟩ ⟨0⟩ r1, r2, r3

Dual ⟨⟩ ⟨⟩ ⟨⟩
```
Incremental relational algebra tree (Create a pattern with multiple hops with multiple types and varying directions)
A.6.21 Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names

Query specification (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names)

1 MATCH (n)
2 MATCH (m)
3 WITH n AS a, m AS b
4 CREATE (a)-[:T]->(b)
5 RETURN a, b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names)

ζ_{a,b,...e459}π_{a\rightarrow a,b\rightarrow b}π_{n\rightarrow n,n\rightarrow n}\Join\notin\emptyset \Join\notin\emptyset \Join\notin\emptyset \Join Dual

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names)

```
A.6.22 Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name
```

**Query specification** (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name)

```sql
1 MATCH (n)
2 WITH n AS a
3 CREATE (a)-[:T]->()
4 RETURN a
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name)

\[
\zeta_{a_1} \pi_{a \rightarrow a, b \rightarrow b} \pi_{a \rightarrow n, n \rightarrow n} \Pi \{\} \circ_n \circ_m \neq \circ (n) \circ (m) \Pi \circ (n) \circ (m)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name)

A.6.23 Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names multiple times

Query specification (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names multiple times)

1 MATCH (n)
2 MATCH (m)
3 WITH n AS a, m AS b
4 CREATE (a)-[:T]->(b)
5 WITH a AS x, b AS y
6 CREATE (x)-[:T]->(y)
7 RETURN x, y

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names multiple times)

$\zeta^{\pi_{x \rightarrow x, y \rightarrow y} \pi_{a \rightarrow b, b \rightarrow b} \pi_{n \rightarrow n, m \rightarrow m}}_{a, b, c, e462, e463, e460} = Dual \nRightarrow \nRightarrow \nRightarrow \nRightarrow \nRightarrow Dual \nRightarrow Dual \nRightarrow Dual$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names multiple times)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Nodes are not created when aliases are applied to variable names multiple times)
A.6.24 Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name multiple times

**Query specification** (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name multiple times)

```sql
MATCH (n)
WITH n AS a
CREATE (a)-[:T]->()
WITH a AS x
CREATE (x)-[:T]->()
RETURN x
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name multiple times)

\[
ζ_x,_{e466},_{e467}π_x→xπ_{a→a}ζ_{a,_{e464},_{e465}}π_{a→x}Dual ⋈ \not\equiv \ Ξ(n) \Join Dual ⋈ Dual ⋈ Dual
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name multiple times)
A.6. CreateAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Only a single node is created when an alias is applied to a variable name multiple times)

A.6.25 A bound node should be recognized after projection with WITH + WITH

Query specification (A bound node should be recognized after projection with WITH + WITH)

1 CREATE (a)
2 WITH a
3 WITH *
4 CREATE (b)
5 CREATE (a)←[:T]→(b)
Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.6.26 A bound node should be recognized after projection with \textbf{WITH} + \textbf{UNWIND}

\textbf{Query specification} (A bound node should be recognized after projection with \textbf{WITH} + \textbf{UNWIND})

\begin{verbatim}
1 CREATE (a)
2 WITH a
3 UNWIND [0] AS i
4 CREATE (b)
5 CREATE (a)<-[\text{:T}]->(b)
\end{verbatim}

\textbf{Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation} (A bound node should be recognized after projection with \textbf{WITH} + \textbf{UNWIND})

$$\zeta_a \circledast \omega_0 \omega_1 \rightarrow_1 \pi_2 \zeta_a \circledast \omega_1 \circledast \omega_1 \circledast \omega_1 \circledast \omega_1$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (A bound node should be recognized after projection with WITH + UNWIND)
A.6. Create Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (A bound node should be recognized after projection with WITH + UNWIND)

A.6.27 Creating a pattern with multiple hops and changing directions

Query specification (Creating a pattern with multiple hops and changing directions)

1. **CREATE** (:A)<-[[:R1]]-[:R2]->(:C)
2. **MATCH** (a:A)<-[r1:R1]-[:R2]-[r2:R2]->(c:C) **RETURN** *
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Creating a pattern with multiple hops and changing directions)

$$\pi_{a, b, c, r1, r2} \zeta_{e469, e470, e471, e472, e473} \Join \Join \not\equiv r2.r1 \uparrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\{c: C\} \quad \text{[r2: R2]} \\
\{b: B\} \quad \text{[r1: R1]} \\
\bigcirc (a: A)
\end{array} \right.$$}

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Creating a pattern with multiple hops and changing directions)
A.7 DeleteAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Creating a pattern with multiple hops and changing directions)

A.7 DeleteAcceptance

A.7.1 Delete nodes

Query specification (Delete nodes)

1 MATCH (n)
2 DELETE n
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Delete nodes)

\[ \chi_n^{\text{Dual}} \nsubseteq \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Delete nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Delete nodes)

A.7.2 Detach delete node

Query specification (Detach delete node)

1. MATCH (n)
2. DETACH DELETE n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Detach delete node)

\[ \chi_n^{\text{Dual}} \nsubseteq \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Detach delete node)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Detach delete node)

A.7.3 Delete relationships

Query specification (Delete relationships)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Delete relationships)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Delete relationships)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Delete relationships)

A.7.4 Deleting connected nodes

Query specification (Deleting connected nodes)

1 MATCH (n:X)
2 DELETE n
A.7. DeleteAcceptance

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Deleting connected nodes)

\[ \chi_{n^{\text{Dual}}} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(n: X)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Deleting connected nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Deleting connected nodes)

A.7.5 Detach deleting connected nodes and relationships

Query specification (Detach deleting connected nodes and relationships)

```sql
1 MATCH (n:X)
2 DETACH DELETE n
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Detach deleting connected nodes and relationships)

\[ \chi_{n^{\text{Dual}}}^{\ast} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(n: X)} \]
A.7. DeleteAcceptance

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Detach deleting connected nodes and relationships)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Detach deleting connected nodes and relationships)

A.7.6 Detach deleting paths

Query specification (Detach deleting paths)

```
1 MATCH p = (:X)-->()-->()-->()
2 DETACH DELETE p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.7.7 Undirected expand followed by delete and count

Query specification (Undirected expand followed by delete and count)

```
1 MATCH (a)-[r]-(b)
2 DELETE r, a, b
3 RETURN count(*) AS c
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected expand followed by delete and count)

\[
\chi_{r,a,b} \gamma_{\text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow c} \text{Dual } \nexists_{\uparrow (a)}^\downarrow (b) [r] \bigcirc_{(a)}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Undirected expand followed by delete and count)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Undirected expand followed by delete and count)

A.7.8 Undirected variable length expand followed by delete and count

Query specification (Undirected variable length expand followed by delete and count)

1 MATCH (a)-[*]-(b)
2 DETACH DELETE a, b
3 RETURN count(*) AS c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected variable length expand followed by delete and count)

\[
\chi_{a.b} \gamma_{\text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow c} \circ_{\text{Dual} \mathcal{E}_{476}^-} (b) \circ_{\text{Dual} \mathcal{E}_{476}^-} (a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Undirected variable length expand followed by delete and count)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Undirected variable length expand followed by delete and count)

A.7.9 Create and delete in same query

Query specification (Create and delete in same query)

1 MATCH ()
2 CREATE (n)
3 DELETE n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Create and delete in same query)

\[ \chi_{\text{Dual}} \bowtie_{\neq} O(\_e477) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Create and delete in same query)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Create and delete in same query)
A.7.10 Delete optionally matched relationship

Query specification (Delete optionally matched relationship)

1 MATCH (n)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (n)-[r]-()
3 DELETE n, r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Delete optionally matched relationship)

\[
\chi_{n,r} \Join_{\nleq} \bigcirc(n) \Join_{\neq} \nleq \bigcirc_{(n)} \dashv \bigcirc_{(n)}(\_e478) \bigcirc_{(n)} \bigcirc_{(n)}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Delete optionally matched relationship)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Delete optionally matched relationship)

A.7.11 Delete on null node

Query specification (Delete on null node)

```
1 OPTIONAL MATCH (n)
2 DELETE n
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Delete on null node)

\[ \chi_n \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Delete on null node)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Delete on null node)
A.7.12 Detach delete on null node

Query specification (Detach delete on null node)

1. `OPTIONAL MATCH (n)`
2. `DETACH DELETE n`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Detach delete on null node)

\[ \chi_n^{\text{Dual} \nabla \circ} \neq \bigcirc(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Detach delete on null node)
A.7.13 Delete on null path

Query specification (Delete on null path)

```sql
1 OPTIONAL MATCH p = ()-->()
2 DETACH DELETE p
```

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.7.14 Delete node from a list

Query specification (Delete node from a list)

```sql
1 MATCH (:User)-[:FRIEND]->(n)
2 WITH collect(n) AS friends
3 DETACH DELETE friends[$friendIndex]
```

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.7.15 Delete relationship from a list

Query specification (Delete relationship from a list)

```sql
1 MATCH (:User)-[r:FRIEND]->()
2 WITH collect(r) AS friendships
3 DETACH DELETE friendships[$friendIndex]
```
A.7. DeleteAcceptance

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.7.16 Delete nodes from a map

Query specification (Delete nodes from a map)

```
MATCH (u:User)
WITH {key: u} AS nodes
DELETE nodes.key
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.7.17 Delete relationships from a map

Query specification (Delete relationships from a map)

```
MATCH (:User)-[r]->(:User)
WITH {key: r} AS rels
DELETE rels.key
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.7.18 Detach delete nodes from nested map/list

Query specification (Detach delete nodes from nested map/list)

```
MATCH (u:User)
WITH {key: collect(u)} AS nodeMap
DETACH DELETE nodeMap.key[0]
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.7.19 Delete relationships from nested map/list

Query specification (Delete relationships from nested map/list)

```
MATCH (:User)-[r]->(:User)
WITH {key: {key: collect(r)}} AS rels
DELETE rels.key.key[0]
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.7.20  Delete paths from nested map/list

Query specification (Delete paths from nested map/list)
1 MATCH p = (:User)-[r]->(:User)
2 WITH {key: collect(p)} AS pathColls
3 DELETE pathColls.key[0], pathColls.key[1]

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.7.21  Delete relationship with bidirectional matching

Query specification (Delete relationship with bidirectional matching)
1 MATCH p = ()-[r:T]-()
2 WHERE r.id = 42
3 DELETE r

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.8  EqualsAcceptance

EqualsAcceptance

A.8.1  Number-typed integer comparison

Query specification (Number-typed integer comparison)
1 WITH collect([0, 0.0]) AS numbers
2 UNWIND numbers AS arr
3 WITH arr[0] AS expected
4 MATCH (n) WHERE toInteger(n.id) = expected
5 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Number-typed integer comparison)

\[ \pi_n \sigma_{\text{toInt}(n.id) = \text{expected}}(\text{numbers}) \rightarrow \text{numbers} \]

\[ (\text{numbers} \bowtie \text{numbers}) \rightarrow \text{numbers} \neq (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Number-typed integer comparison)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Number-typed integer comparison)
A.8.2 Number-typed float comparison

Query specification (Number-typed float comparison)

1 WITH collect([0.5, 0]) AS numbers
2 UNWIND numbers AS arr
3 WITH arr[0] AS expected
4 MATCH (n) WHERE toInteger(n.id) = expected
5 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Number-typed float comparison)

\[ \pi \text{null} \rightarrow \text{expected} \quad \land \quad \text{numbers} \rightarrow \text{numbers} \gamma \text{collect([0.5, 0])} \rightarrow \text{numbers} \quad \Delta \nabla \Delta \nabla \nabla \nabla \neq \nabla (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Number-typed float comparison)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Number-typed float comparison)
A.8.3 Any-typed string comparison

Query specification (Any-typed string comparison)

```
WITH collect(['0', 0]) AS things
UNWIND things AS arr
WITH arr[0] AS expected
MATCH (n) WHERE toInteger(n.id) = expected
RETURN n
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Any-typed string comparison)

\[ \pi_{\text{expected}} \sigma_{\text{toInteger}(\text{n.id}) = \text{expected}} \big( \text{things} \big) \bigotimes_{\not\equiv} \big( \text{n} \big) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Any-typed string comparison)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Any-typed string comparison)
A.8.4 Comparing nodes to nodes

Query specification (Comparing nodes to nodes)

MATCH (a)
WITH a
MATCH (b)
WHERE a = b
RETURN count(b)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Comparing nodes to nodes)

$$\gamma_{\text{count}(b)} \sigma_{a=b} \pi_a \Delta \neq (a) \Delta \neq (b)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Comparing nodes to nodes)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Comparing nodes to nodes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(Ω_{\text{count}(b)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\gamma_{\text{count}(b)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(σ_{a=b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\div {})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(π_{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(\circ(a))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A.8.5 Comparing relationships to relationships**

**Query specification** (Comparing relationships to relationships)

1. MATCH ()-[a]->()
2. WITH a
3. MATCH ()-[b]->()
4. WHERE a = b
5. RETURN count(b)

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Comparing relationships to relationships)

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(b)}σ_{a=b}π_{a}\ Dual \div \not\equiv_{\text{\_e487}} \left(\text{\_e488}\right) \bigcirc \not\equiv_{\text{\_e489}} \left(\text{\_e490}\right) \bigcirc \left(\_e489\right)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Comparing relationships to relationships)
A.9. Expression Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Comparing relationships to relationships)

A.9.1 IN should work with nested list subscripting

Query specification (IN should work with nested list subscripting)

```
1 WITH [[1, 2, 3]] AS list
2 RETURN 3 IN list[0] AS r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (IN should work with nested list subscripting)

\[ \pi_{NULL \rightarrow r} \pi_e [1, 2, 3] \rightarrow \text{list} \times \text{Dual} \times \text{Dual} \times \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (IN should work with nested list subscripting)

Incremental relational algebra tree (IN should work with nested list subscripting)
A.9.2 IN should work with nested literal list subscripting

Query specification (IN should work with nested literal list subscripting)

```
1  RETURN 3 IN [[1, 2, 3][0] AS r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (IN should work with nested literal list subscripting)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL}} \rightarrow \text{r} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (IN should work with nested literal list subscripting)

Incremental relational algebra tree (IN should work with nested literal list subscripting)
A.9.3 IN should work with list slices

Query specification (IN should work with list slices)

1 WITH [1, 2, 3] AS list
2 RETURN 3 IN list[0..1] AS r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (IN should work with list slices)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow r} \pi_{[1, 2, 3] \rightarrow \text{list}} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (IN should work with list slices)
Incremental relational algebra tree (IN should work with list slices)

A.9.4 IN should work with literal list slices

Query specification (IN should work with literal list slices)

```
1 RETURN 3 IN [1, 2, 3][0..1] AS r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (IN should work with literal list slices)

```
π_NULL→rDual ⊗ Dual
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (IN should work with literal list slices)
A.9. Expression Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (IN should work with literal list slices)

```
Ω
⟨r⟩
⟨⟩
⟨0⟩
π
NULL → r
⟨r⟩
⟨⟩
⟨0⟩
Dual
```

A.9.5 Execute n[0]

Query specification (Execute n[0])

```
RETURN [1, 2, 3][0] AS value
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Execute n[0])

```
πNULL→value Dual ⊙ Dual
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Execute n[0])

```
Ω
⟨value⟩
⟨⟩
⟨0⟩
π
NULL→value
⟨value⟩
⟨⟩
⟨0⟩
Dual
```

A.9. Expression Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Execute n[0])

A.9.6 Execute n['name'] in read queries

Query specification (Execute n['name'] in read queries)

```
1 MATCH (n {name: 'Apa'})
2 RETURN n['name'] + 'e' AS value
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Execute n['name'] in read queries)

\[ \pi_{\text{value}} \exists (n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Execute n['name'] in read queries)
A.9.7 Execute n['name'] in update queries

**Query specification** (Execute n['name'] in update queries)

1. `CREATE (n {name: 'Apa'})`
2. `RETURN n['name'] AS value`

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Execute n['name'] in update queries)

\[ ζ_nπ_{NULL→value}Dual ∇ Dual \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Execute n['name'] in update queries)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Execute n['name'] in update queries)
A.9.8 Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is no type information

Query specification (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)

1. WITH $expr AS expr, $idx AS idx
2. RETURN expr[idx] AS value

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)

\[
\pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{value}, \text{expr}, \text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{idx}} \Join \text{Dual} \Join \text{Dual} \Join \text{Dual}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)

A.9.9 Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information

Query specification (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)

1 CREATE (n {name: 'Apa'})
2 RETURN n[$idx] AS value

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)

\[ \zeta_{n} \pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{value}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)
A.9.10 Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information

Query specification (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)

```
1 WITH $expr AS expr, $idx AS idx
2 RETURN expr[toString(idx)] AS value
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)

```
π(NULL→value)π(NULL→expr,NULL→idx)Dual ⋈ Dual ⋈ Dual
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Use dynamic property lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)

A.9.11 Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is no type information

Query specification (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)

```sql
1 WITH $expr AS expr, $idx AS idx
2 RETURN expr[idx] AS value
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)

\[ \pi_{\text{value}} \Pi_{\text{value}} \Pi_{\text{expr,\text{NULL}\rightarrow\text{idx}}} \Pi_{\text{expr,\text{NULL}\rightarrow\text{idx}}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is no type information)
A.9.12 Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information

**Query specification** (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)

```sql
1 WITH ['Apa'] AS expr
2 RETURN expr[idx] AS value
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)

\[
\pi_{\text{NULL}} \rightarrow \text{value} \pi_{\text{['Apa']} \rightarrow \text{expr}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is lhs type information)

A.9.13 Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information

Query specification (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)

1 WITH $expr AS expr, $idx AS idx
2 RETURN expr[toInteger(idx)] AS value

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)

π(NULL→value)π(NULL→expr)π(NULL→idx)Dual ▷◁ Dual ▷◁ Dual
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Use collection lookup based on parameters when there is rhs type information)
A.10 FunctionsAcceptance

A.10.1 Run coalesce

**Query specification** (Run coalesce)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN coalesce(a.title, a.name)
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Run coalesce)

\[ \pi_{\text{coalesce}(a.\text{title}, a.\text{name})} \bigtriangleup \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a)} \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Run coalesce)

[Diagram showing the relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation]

**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Run coalesce)

[Diagram showing the incremental relational algebra tree]
A.10.2 Functions should return null if they get path containing unbound

Query specification (Functions should return null if they get path containing unbound)

```graphql
WITH null AS a
OPTIONAL MATCH p = (a)-[r]->()
RETURN length(nodes(p)), type(r), nodes(p), relationships(p)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.10.3 'split()'

Query specification ('split()')

```graphql
UNWIND split('one1two', '1') AS item
RETURN count(item) AS item
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('split()')

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(\text{item}) \rightarrow \text{item}} \bowtie \omega_{\text{split}(\text{one1two}, '1') \rightarrow \text{item}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('split()')
Incremental relational algebra tree (‘split()’)

A.10.4 ‘properties()’ on a node

Query specification (‘properties()’ on a node)

```
1 MATCH (p:Person)
2 RETURN properties(p) AS m
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘properties()’ on a node)

\[ \pi_{\text{properties}(p) \rightarrow m} \text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc(p: \text{Person}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘properties()’ on a node)
Incremental relational algebra tree ('properties()' on a node)

A.10.5 ‘properties()' on a relationship

Query specification ('properties()' on a relationship)

```
MATCH ()-[r:R]->()
RETURN properties(r) AS m
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('properties()' on a relationship)

\[
\pi_{\text{properties}(r) \rightarrow m} \text{Dual} \nsubseteq \nsubseteq_{e492} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\text{e491} \\
\text{e491}
\end{array} \right) | r: R | \bigcup_{e491}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('properties()' on a relationship)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('properties()' on a relationship)

A.10.6 'properties()' on a map

Query specification ('properties()' on a map)

```
RETURN properties({name: 'Popeye', level: 9001}) AS m
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('properties()' on a map)

```
π_{properties(NULL)}-{π_{properties(NULL)}- Dual \Join Dual}
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('properties()' on a map)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('properties()' on a map)

A.10.7 'properties()' on null

Query specification ('properties()' on null)

```
1 RETURN properties(null)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('properties()' on null)

\[ \pi_{\text{properties(NULL)}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘properties()’ on null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘properties()’ on null)

A.10.8 ’reverse()’

Query specification (‘reverse()’)

```
1 RETURN reverse('raks0')
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘reverse()’)

```
π_{reverse('raks0')} Dual ⊞ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('reverse()')

Incremental relational algebra tree ('reverse()')

A.10.9 ‘exists()’ with dynamic property lookup

Query specification ('exists()’ with dynamic property lookup)

```
MATCH (n:Person)
WHERE exists(n['prop'])
RETURN n
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.10.10 ‘percentileDisc()’ failing in more involved query

Query specification ‘percentileDisc()’ failing in more involved query

```sql
1 MATCH (n:S)
2 WITH n, size([(n)-->() | 1]) AS deg
3 WHERE deg > 2
4 WITH deg
5 LIMIT 100
6 RETURN percentileDisc(0.90, deg), deg
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.10.11 ‘type()’

Query specification ‘type()’

```sql
1 MATCH ()-[r]->()
2 RETURN type(r)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ‘type()’

```
π_{type(r)} \text{Dual} \neq \text{r} \uparrow _{e494} \left( _{e493} [r] \bigcap _{e493} \right)
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ‘type()’
Incremental relational algebra tree (‘type()’)

A.10.12 ‘type()’ on two relationships

Query specification (‘type()’ on two relationships)

1 MATCH ()-[r1]->()-[r2]->()
2 RETURN type(r1), type(r2)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘type()’ on two relationships)

\[
\pi_{\text{type}(r1), \text{type}(r2)} \left( \text{Dual} \setminus \text{r}_1 \setminus \text{r}_2 \right) \uparrow \left( \text{e}_{497} \right) \left[ \text{r}_2 \right] \uparrow \left( \text{e}_{496} \right) \left[ \text{r}_1 \right] \bigcirc \left( \text{e}_{495} \right)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘type()’ on two relationships)
A.10. Functions

Incremental relational algebra tree ('type()' on two relationships)

```
Ω
    π
      ≠
        ⟩
          ⊖
            ▽
              \Ο
              \π
              ≠
              \▷
              \\π
data types
\end{verbatim}
```

A.10.13 'type()' on null relationship

**Query specification** ('type()' on null relationship)

```sql
1 MATCH (a)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:NOT_THERE]->()
3 RETURN type(r)
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** ('type()' on null relationship)

```
π_{type(r)}Dual ≠ \bigcirc (a) \Join \neq \bigcirc \uparrow (e498) [r: NOT T\_HERE] \bigcirc (a)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('type()' on null relationship)
A.10. Functions

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘type()’ on null relationship)

A.10.14 ‘type()’ on mixed null and non-null relationships

Query specification (‘type()’ on mixed null and non-null relationships)

1 MATCH (a)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:T]->()
3 RETURN type(r)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘type()’ on mixed null and non-null relationships)

\[ \pi_{\text{type}(r)} \text{Dual} \times \neq \bigcirc(a) \neq \neq \uparrow \left(\_e499\right) [r : \text{T}] \bigcirc(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('type()' on mixed null and non-null relationships)
**A.10. Functions Acceptance**

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘type()’ on mixed null and non-null relationships)

![Incremental relational algebra tree](image)

**A.10.15 ‘type()’ handling Any type**

**Query specification (‘type()’ handling Any type)**

```
1 MATCH (a)-[r]->()
2 WITH [r, 1] AS list
3 RETURN type(list[0])
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘type()’ handling Any type)**

\[
\pi_{\text{type(NULL)}} \pi_{[r,1]} \triangleright \text{list} \triangleright \text{Dual} \triangleright \text{\#}_{[\text{a}:]} \triangleright \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (`type()` handling Any type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (‘type()’ handling Any type)

A.10. FunctionsAcceptance

A.10.16 ‘labels()’ should accept type Any

Query specification (‘labels()’ should accept type Any)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WITH [a, 1] AS list
3 RETURN labels(list[0]) AS l

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘labels()’ should accept type Any)

\[ \pi_{\text{labels}(\text{NULL})} \pi_{[a, 1]} \rightarrow \text{list} \Join \not\exists \bigcirc \not\Join (a) \Join \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('labels()' should accept type Any)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('labels()' should accept type Any)
A.10.17 ‘labels()’ failing on invalid arguments

Query specification (‘labels()’ failing on invalid arguments)

```
MATCH (a)
WITH [a, 1] AS list
RETURN labels(list[1]) AS l
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘labels()’ failing on invalid arguments)

\[ \pi_{\text{labels} \to \text{list}} \pi_{[a, 1] \to \text{list}} \bigcirc \bigcirc (a) \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘labels()’ failing on invalid arguments)
Incremental relational algebra tree ('labels()' failing on invalid arguments)

A.10.18 'exists()' is case insensitive

Query specification ('exists()' is case insensitive)

```
MATCH (n:X)
RETURN n, EXISTS(n.prop) AS b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('exists()' is case insensitive)

\[
\pi_{n,\text{exists}(n\text{.prop})\to b} \cap \neg \equiv \bigcirc (n: X)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('exists()' is case insensitive)
A.11. ValueHashJoinAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree ('exists()' is case insensitive)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{n, b} \\
(n, b) \\
() \\
\langle n, \exists b \rangle \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\pi_{n, \text{exists}(n.\text{prop}) \rightarrow b} \\
(n, b) \\
() \\
\langle n, \exists' b \rangle \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\circ_{(n: X)} \\
(n) \\
(n.\text{prop}) \\
\langle 0, n, n.\text{prop} \rangle
\]

A.11 ValueHashJoinAcceptance

A.11.1 Find friends of others

Query specification (Find friends of others)

1. MATCH (a:A), (b:B)
2. WHERE a.id = b.id
3. RETURN a, b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Find friends of others)

\[
\pi_{a, b} \sigma_{a.id = b.id} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \circ_{(n: A)} \not\bowtie \circ_{(b: B)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Find friends of others)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Find friends of others)

```
Ω
(a, b)
()
(a, b)

π
(a, b)
()
(a, b)

σ
(a.id = b.id)
(a, b)
()
(a, id, b.id)
(a, id, b.id)

⊙

(a: A)
(a, id)
(a, id)

(b: B)
(b, id)
(b, id)
```

**A.11.2 Should only join when matching**

**Query specification** (Should only join when matching)

```sql
 MATCH (a:A), (b:B)
 WHERE a.id = b.id
 RETURN a, b
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Should only join when matching)

\[
\pi_{a,b} \sigma_{a.id=b.id} \bowtie \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \bowtie \bigcirc_{(b: B)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Should only join when matching)
A.12. KeysAcceptance

**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Should only join when matching)

```
Ω_{a,b} (a, b) () (\langle a, b \rangle)
\pi_{a,b} (a, b) () (\langle a, b \rangle)
\sigma_{a.id=b.id} (a, b) () (\langle 0, a.id, 2, b.id \rangle)
\bowtie \{\} (a, b) (a.id, b.id) (\langle 0, a.id, 2, b.id \rangle)
\setminus \exists (a: A) (a) (a.id) (\langle 0, a.id \rangle)
\bowtie \{\} (b: B) (b) (b.id) (\langle 0, b.id \rangle)
```

A.12.1 Using 'keys()' on a single node, non-empty result

**Query specification** (Using 'keys()' on a single node, non-empty result)

```sql
MATCH (n)
UNWIND keys(n) AS x
RETURN DISTINCT x AS theProps
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Using 'keys()' on a single node, non-empty result)

\[ \delta_{\pi_{x \rightarrow x} \bowtie \omega_{\text{keys}(n) \rightarrow x} \Join \text{Dual} \setminus \exists (n) \bowtie \text{Dual} } \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on a single node, non-empty result)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Using 'keys()' on a single node, non-empty result)

A.12.2 Using 'keys()' on multiple nodes, non-empty result

Query specification (Using 'keys()' on multiple nodes, non-empty result)

```sql
1 MATCH (n)
2 UNWIND keys(n) AS x
3 RETURN DISTINCT x AS theProps
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on multiple nodes, non-empty result)

\[ \delta \pi_{x \rightarrow x} \omega_{\text{keys}(n) \rightarrow x} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \Join \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using ‘keys()’ on multiple nodes, non-empty result)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Using ‘keys()’ on multiple nodes, non-empty result)

A.12.3 Using ‘keys()’ on a single node, empty result

Query specification (Using ‘keys()’ on a single node, empty result)

```
MATCH (n)
UNWIND keys(n) AS x
RETURN DISTINCT x AS theProps
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using ‘keys()’ on a single node, empty result)

\[
\delta \pi_{x \rightarrow x} \omega_{\text{keys}(n) \rightarrow x} \mathcal{D} \triangleright \not\equiv \bigcirc_{\{n\}} \triangleright \mathcal{D}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using ‘keys()’ on a single node, empty result)
A.12. Keys Acceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Using ‘keys()’ on a single node, empty result)

A.12.4 Using ‘keys()’ on an optionally matched node

Query specification (Using ‘keys()’ on an optionally matched node)

```plaintext
1  OPTIONAL MATCH (n)
2  UNWIND keys(n) AS x
3  RETURN DISTINCT x AS theProps
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using ‘keys()’ on an optionally matched node)

\[ \delta \pi_{x \rightarrow x}^\omega \text{keys}(n) \rightarrow x \text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc_{[n]} \Join \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on an optionally matched node)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Using 'keys()' on an optionally matched node)

A.12.5 Using 'keys()' on a relationship, non-empty result

Query specification (Using 'keys()' on a relationship, non-empty result)

1 MATCH ()-[r:KNOWS]-()
2 UNWIND keys(r) AS x
3 RETURN DISTINCT x AS theProps

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on a relationship, non-empty result)

\[ \delta \pi_{x \rightarrow x} \omega_{\text{keys}(r) \rightarrow x} \text{Dual} \bowtie_{x \bowtie e_{S02}} (\text{e}_{S02}) \bowtie_{x \bowtie e_{S01}} [r: \text{KNOWS}] \bigodot (\text{e}_{S01}) \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using ‘keys()’ on a relationship, non-empty result)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Using 'keys()' on a relationship, non-empty result)

A.12.6 Using 'keys()' on a relationship, empty result

Query specification (Using 'keys()' on a relationship, empty result)

```
MATCH ()-[r:KNOWS]-()
UNWIND keys(r) AS x
RETURN DISTINCT x AS theProps
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on a relationship, empty result)

\[
\delta_{\pi_{x \rightarrow x}^{\omega_{\text{keys}(r) \rightarrow x}}} \circ \frac{\phi_{(\_e504) [r: \text{KNOWS}]} \circ \frac{\phi_{(\_e503)} \circ (\_e503)}{\_e503} \circ \frac{(\_e502, r, _e501)}{\_e501} \circ (\_e503, r, _e501)}{\_e503} \circ (\_e504) \circ (\_e502, r, _e501) \circ (x)}{\_e502, r, _e501} \circ (x)}{\_e502, r, _e501} \circ (x)}{\_e502, r, _e501} \circ (x)}{\_e502, r, _e501} \circ (x)}{\_e502, r, _e501} \circ (x)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using ‘keys()’ on a relationship, empty result)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Using 'keys()' on a relationship, empty result)

**Query specification** (Using 'keys()' on an optionally matched relationship)

1. `OPTIONAL MATCH ()-[r:KNOWS]-()`
2. `UNWIND keys(r) AS x`
3. `RETURN DISTINCT x AS theProps`

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Using 'keys()' on an optionally matched relationship)

\[
\delta \pi_{x \rightarrow x} \omega_{keys(r) \rightarrow x} \text{Dual} \bowtie \neq \pi_{x \rightarrow x} \omega_{keys(r) \rightarrow x} \text{Dual} \bowtie \bigcirc_{keys(r) \rightarrow x} [r:KNOWS] \bowtie \bigcirc_{keys(r) \rightarrow x} \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using ‘keys()’ on an optionally matched relationship)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Using 'keys()' on an optionally matched relationship)

A.12.8 Using 'keys()' on a literal map

Query specification (Using 'keys()' on a literal map)

```
RETURN keys({name: 'Alice', age: 38, address: {city: 'London', residential: true}}) AS k
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on a literal map)

```
π-keys(NULL)→k Dual ⊞ Dual
```
A.12. KeysAcceptance

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on a literal map)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_k \\
\langle k \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0, k \rangle \\
\pi_{\text{keys}(\text{NULL}) \rightarrow k} \\
\langle k \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle \#0, k \rangle \\
\end{array}
\]

Incremental relational algebra tree (Using 'keys()' on a literal map)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_k \\
\langle k \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0, k \rangle \\
\pi_{\text{keys}(\text{NULL}) \rightarrow k} \\
\langle k \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle \#0, k \rangle \\
\text{Dual} \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\end{array}
\]

A.12.9 Using 'keys()' on a parameter map

Query specification (Using 'keys()' on a parameter map)

\begin{verbatim}
1 RETURN keys($param) AS k
\end{verbatim}

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on a parameter map)

\[
\pi_{\text{keys}(\text{NULL}) \rightarrow k} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using 'keys()' on a parameter map)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Using 'keys()' on a parameter map)

A.13 LabelsAcceptance

A.13.1 Creating node without label

Query specification (Creating node without label)

```
1 CREATE (node)
2 RETURN labels(node)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.13.2 Creating node with two labels

Query specification (Creating node with two labels)

```
1 CREATE (node:Foo:Bar {name: 'Mattias'})
2 RETURN labels(node)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.13.3 Ignore space when creating node with labels

Query specification (Ignore space when creating node with labels)

```
1 CREATE (node :Foo:Bar)
2 RETURN labels(node)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.13.4 Create node with label in pattern

Query specification (Create node with label in pattern)

```
1 CREATE (n:Person)-[:OWNS]->(:Dog)
2 RETURN labels(n)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.13.5 Using 'labels()' in return clauses

Query specification (Using 'labels()' in return clauses)

```
1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN labels(n)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using 'labels()' in return clauses)

\[ \pi_{\text{labels}(n)} \left( \text{Dual} \right) \]
A.14 LargeIntegerEquality

A.14.1 Does not lose precision

Query specification (Does not lose precision)

1. `MATCH (p:Label)`
2. `RETURN p.id`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Does not lose precision)

\[
\pi_{p.id} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (p: Label)
\]
A.14. LargeIntegerEquality

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation *(Does not lose precision)*

![Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation](image)

Incremental relational algebra tree *(Does not lose precision)*

![Incremental relational algebra tree](image)

A.14.2 Handling inlined equality of large integer

Query specification *(Handling inlined equality of large integer)*

```sql
MATCH (p:Label {id: 4611686018427387905})
RETURN p.id
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation *(Handling inlined equality of large integer)*

\[ \pi_{p.id} \times (p: Label) \neq \bigcirc (p: Label) \]
A.14.14 LargeIntegerEquality 205

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling inlined equality of large integer)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling inlined equality of large integer)

A.14.3 Handling explicit equality of large integer [regression test]

Query specification (Handling explicit equality of large integer)

```
1 MATCH (p:Label)
2 WHERE p.id = 4611686018427387905
3 RETURN p.id
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling explicit equality of large integer)

\[ \pi_{p.id} \sigma_{p.id=4611686018427387905} (\mathbf{Dual} \neq \emptyset) \land (p: Label) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling explicit equality of large integer)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling explicit equality of large integer)
A.14.4 Handling inlined equality of large integer, non-equal values

Query specification (Handling inlined equality of large integer, non-equal values)

```sql
MATCH (p:Label {id : 4611686018427387900})
RETURN p.id
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling inlined equality of large integer, non-equal values)

\[ \pi_{p.\text{id}} \circ \neq \circ (p: \text{Label}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling inlined equality of large integer, non-equal values)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling inlined equality of large integer, non-equal values)
A.14.5 Handling explicit equality of large integer, non-equal values

Query specification (Handling explicit equality of large integer, non-equal values)

```sql
MATCH (p:Label)
WHERE p.id = 4611686018427387900
RETURN p.id
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling explicit equality of large integer, non-equal values)

\[
\pi_{p.id} \sigma_{p.id = 4611686018427387900} \circ \Delta \neq \bigcirc_{(p:Label)}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling explicit equality of large integer, non-equal values)
A.15. ListComprehension

Incremental relational algebra tree  (Handling explicit equality of large integer, non-equal values)

A.15  ListComprehension

A.15.1  Returning a list comprehension

Query specification (Returning a list comprehension)

```
1 MATCH p = (n)--()
2 RETURN [x IN collect(p) | head(nodes(x))] AS p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.15.2  Using a list comprehension in a WITH

Query specification (Using a list comprehension in a WITH)

```
1 MATCH p = (n:A)--()
2 WITH [x IN collect(p) | head(nodes(x))] AS p, count(n) AS c
3 RETURN p, c
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.15.3 Using a list comprehension in a WHERE

Query specification

```sql
MATCH (n)--> (b)
WHERE n.prop IN [x IN labels(b) | lower(x)]
RETURN b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation

\[
\pi_b \sigma_{\text{in\_collection}(n.\text{prop}, \text{NULL})} \text{Dual} \uparrow \nmid \neq \land_{e507} \uparrow (b) \land_{e507} \bigcirc (a)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation
A.16. Literals

Incremental relational algebra tree (Using a list comprehension in a WHERE)

A.16 Literals

A.16.1 Return an integer

Query specification (Return an integer)

```
RETURN 1 AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return an integer)

```
π₁→literalDual ≫ Dual
```
A.16. Literals

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return an integer)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return an integer)

A.16.2 Return a float

Query specification (Return a float)

```
RETURN 1.0 AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return a float)

```
\pi_{1.0} \rightarrow \text{literal} \circ \text{Dual}
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return a float)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return a float)

A.16.3 Return a float in exponent form

Query specification (Return a float in exponent form)

\begin{verbatim}
RETURN -1e-9 AS literal
\end{verbatim}

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return a float in exponent form)

\[
\pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{literal}} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return a float in exponent form)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return a float in exponent form)

A.16.4 Return a boolean

Query specification (Return a boolean)

```
RETURN true AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return a boolean)

```
π_{NULL→literal}Dual ⊙ Dual
```
A.16. Literals

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return a boolean)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return a boolean)

A.16.5 Return a single-quoted string

Query specification (Return a single-quoted string)

```
RETURN '' AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return a single-quoted string)

```
π"→literalDual △ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return a single-quoted string)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return a single-quoted string)

A.16.6 Return a double-quoted string

Query specification (Return a double-quoted string)

```
RETURN "" AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return a double-quoted string)

```
π""→literal Dual ⊢ Dual
```
A.16. Literals

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return a double-quoted string)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return a double-quoted string)

A.16.7 Return null

Query specification (Return null)

```
RETURN null AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return null)

```
π_NULL→literalDual ⊙ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return null)

A.16.8 Return an empty list

Query specification (Return an empty list)
1 RETURN [] AS literal

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.16.9 Return a nonempty list

Query specification (Return a nonempty list)
1 RETURN [0, 1, 2] AS literal
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return a nonempty list)

\[ \pi_{[0,1,2] \rightarrow \text{literal}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return a nonempty list)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return a nonempty list)

A.16.10 Return an empty map

Query specification (Return an empty map)

```
RETURN {} AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return an empty map)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{literal}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return an empty map)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return an empty map)

A.16.11 Return a nonempty map

Query specification (Return a nonempty map)

```
RETURN {k1: 0, k2: 'string'} AS literal
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return a nonempty map)

```
πNULL→literal ⊲ Dual ⊙ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return a nonempty map)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return a nonempty map)

A.17 MatchAcceptance

A.17.1 Path query should return results in written order

Query specification (Path query should return results in written order)

```
1 MATCH p = (a:Label1)<--(:Label2)
2 RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.17.2 Longer path query should return results in written order

**Query specification** (Longer path query should return results in written order)

1. \texttt{MATCH p = (a:Label1)<--(:Label2)--()}  
2. \texttt{RETURN p}

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.3 Use multiple MATCH clauses to do a Cartesian product

**Query specification** (Use multiple MATCH clauses to do a Cartesian product)

1. \texttt{MATCH (n), (m)}  
2. \texttt{RETURN n.value AS n, m.value AS m}

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Use multiple MATCH clauses to do a Cartesian product)

\[ \pi_{n.\text{value} \rightarrow \text{value}, m.\text{value} \rightarrow \text{value}} \text{Dual} \nexists \ O(n) \times O(m) \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Use multiple MATCH clauses to do a Cartesian product)
A.17. MatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Use multiple MATCH clauses to do a Cartesian product)

\[ \Omega \]

\[ \pi \]

\[ \Join \{ \}

\[ \bigcirc \]

\[ \bigcirc \]

\[ \bigcirc \]

\[ \bigcirc \]

A.17.4 Use params in pattern matching predicates

Query specification (Use params in pattern matching predicates)

1 MATCH (a)-[r]->(b)
2 WHERE r.foo = $param
3 RETURN b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Use params in pattern matching predicates)

\[ \pi_{b} \sigma_{r.foo = \$param} \mathcal{D} \neq \mathcal{F} \uparrow \bigcirc_{a}(r) \bigcirc_{(a)}(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Use params in pattern matching predicates)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Use params in pattern matching predicates)

```
Ω
(b)
()
(0)

π
(b)
()
(0)

σ_{r.foo}=$param
(a, r, b)
()
(0, a, 1, r, 2, b, 3, r, foo)

⇑ (b) (a) [r]
(0, a, 1, r, 2, b, 3, r, foo)
```

A.17.5  Filter out based on node prop name

Query specification (Filter out based on node prop name)

```
MATCH ()-[rel:X]-(a)
WHERE a.name = 'Andres'
RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Filter out based on node prop name)

```
π_{a.name='Andres'}Dual \times \not\sim_{rel \uparrow \{(a)\}} [\,(rel : X) \circ \wedge_{e508}\,]
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Filter out based on node prop name)
A.17. MatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Filter out based on node prop name)

A.17.6 Honour the column name for RETURN items

Query specification (Honour the column name for RETURN items)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 WITH a.name AS a
3 RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Honour the column name for RETURN items)

\[
\pi_a \pi_{a\text{.name} \rightarrow \text{name}} \text{Dual} \nabla \neq \bigcirc (a) \nabla \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Honour the column name for RETURN items)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Honour the column name for RETURN items)
A.17.7 Filter based on rel prop name

Query specification (Filter based on rel prop name)

```
1 MATCH (node)-[r:KNOWS]->(a)
2 WHERE r.name = 'monkey'
3 RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Filter based on rel prop name)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{r\text{.name} = 'monkey'} \nought\downarrow \nought\uparrow (a) \quad [r:KNOWS] \bigcup (node) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Filter based on rel prop name)
A.17 MatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Filter based on rel prop name)

A.17.8 Cope with shadowed variables

Query specification (Cope with shadowed variables)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (n)
2 WITH n.name AS n
3 RETURN n
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Cope with shadowed variables)

\[ \pi_n \pi_{n, \text{name}} \text{Dual} \rightarrow \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Cope with shadowed variables)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Cope with shadowed variables)
### A.17.9 Get neighbours

**Query specification** (Get neighbours)

1. `MATCH (n1)-[rel:KNOWS]->(n2)`
2. `RETURN n1, n2`

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Get neighbours)

\[ \pi_{n1,n2} \text{Dual} \bowtie \not\equiv_{\text{rel}} \uparrow_{[n1]} [\text{rel:KNOWS}] \circ_{(n1)} \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Get neighbours)
A.17. MatchAcceptance

**Incremental relational algebra tree (Get neighbours)**

A.17.10 Get two related nodes

**Query specification (Get two related nodes)**

1. `MATCH ()-[rel:KNOWS]->(x)`
2. `RETURN x`

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Get two related nodes)**

\[
\pi_x \, \text{Dual} \Delta \neq \, \text{rel} \uparrow^{(x)} \, \text{relKNOWS} \, \ominus \, \text{rel}\_e509
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Get two related nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Get two related nodes)

A.17.11 Get related to related to

Query specification (Get related to related to)

1 MATCH (n)-->(a)-->(b)
2 RETURN b
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Get related to related to)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual} \not\equiv \_e510} \uparrow^{(b)} [\_e510] \uparrow^{(a)} [\_e510] \bigcirc_{(n)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Get related to related to)
A.17. MatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Get related to related to)

A.17.12 Handle comparison between node properties

**Query specification** (Handle comparison between node properties)

1. `MATCH (n)-[rel]->(x)`
2. `WHERE n.animal = x.animal`
3. `RETURN n, x`

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handle comparison between node properties)

\[
\pi_{n,x} \sigma_{\text{n.animal}=\text{x.animal}} \bowtie_{\text{rel}} \uparrow^{(x)}_{\text{rel}} \circ \downarrow^{(n)}_{\text{rel}} \]

\[
\pi_{n,x} \sigma_{\text{n.animal}=\text{x.animal}} \bowtie_{\text{rel}} \uparrow^{(x)}_{\text{rel}} \circ \downarrow^{(n)}_{\text{rel}} \]

\[
\pi_{n,x} \sigma_{\text{n.animal}=\text{x.animal}} \bowtie_{\text{rel}} \uparrow^{(x)}_{\text{rel}} \circ \downarrow^{(n)}_{\text{rel}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle comparison between node properties)
A.17. MatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle comparison between node properties)

A.17.13 Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship

Query specification (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship)

```sql
MATCH (a)-[r {name: 'r'}]-(b)
RETURN a, b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship)

\[
\pi_{a,b} \Delta \nabla \left[ (a) | r \right] \bigcirc (a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship)

```
Ω_{a,b}
(a, b)
()
(0^a, 1^b)

π_{a,b}
(a, b)
()
(0^a, ?^b)

↑ (b) [R]
(a, R_{(name='r')}, b)
()
(0^a, R_{(name='r')}, 2^b)
```

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship)

```
Ω_{a,b}
(a, b)
()
(0^a, 1^b)

π_{a,b}
(a, b)
()
(2^a, 0^b)

↓ (a) [R]
(b, R_{(name='r')}, a)
()
(0^b, R_{(name='r')}, 2^b)
```
A.17.14 Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship and optional relationship

**Query specification** (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship and optional relationship)

```sql
MATCH (a)-[r {name: 'r1'}]-(b)
OPTIONAL MATCH (b)-[r2]-(c)
WHERE r <> r2
RETURN a, b, c
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship and optional relationship)

\[
\pi_{a, b, c} \text{Dual} \updownarrow \{b\} \big( \big(a, r_{\{\text{name: 'r1'\}}}, b, r2, c\big) \big) \bigcup \big( \big(a, r_{\{\text{name: 'r1'\}}}, b\big) \big)
\]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship and optional relationship)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Return two subgraphs with bound undirected relationship and optional relationship)

```
Ω_{a,b,c} (a, b, c) ∅ (0_{a,1}b, 2c)
```

```
π_{a,b,c} (a, b, c) ∅ (g_{a,1}b, 2c)
```

```
τ_{x\{b\}} (b, r\{name:'r1'\}, a, c, r2) ∅ (0_{b,1}r\{name:'r1'\}, 2a, 3c, 4r2) (0) : (2)
```

A.17.15 Rel type function works as expected

Query specification (Rel type function works as expected)

```
1 MATCH (n {name: 'A'})-[r]->(x)
2 WHERE type(r) = 'KNOWS'
3 RETURN x
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Rel type function works as expected)

```
π_xσ_{type(r) = 'KNOWS'}Dual ⋈\ne r↑ (x) | [r]| ∩_\{n\}
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Rel type function works as expected)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Rel type function works as expected)

A.17.16 Walk alternative relationships

Query specification (Walk alternative relationships)

1 MATCH (n)-[r]->(x)
2 WHERE type(r) = 'KNOWS' OR type(r) = 'HATES'
3 RETURN r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Walk alternative relationships)

$$\pi_x \sigma_{\text{type}(r) = \text{'KNOWS'} \lor \text{type}(r) = \text{'HATES'}} \Join_≠ \alpha_{(n)} [r] \bigcirc_{(a)}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Walk alternative relationships)
### A.17. Match Acceptance

#### Incremental relational algebra tree (Walk alternative relationships)

![Incremental relational algebra tree]

#### A.17.17 Handle OR in the WHERE clause

**Query specification** (Handle OR in the WHERE clause)

```
1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE n.p1 = 12 OR n.p2 = 13
3 RETURN n
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handle OR in the WHERE clause)

\[
\pi_n \sigma_{n.p1=12 \lor n.p2=13} \Delta \not\equiv (\bigotimes n)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle OR in the WHERE clause)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle OR in the WHERE clause)
A.17.18 Return a simple path

Query specification (Return a simple path)

```
1 MATCH p = (a {name: 'A'})-->(b)
2 RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.19 Return a three node path

Query specification (Return a three node path)

```
1 MATCH p = (a {name: 'A'})-[rel1]->(b)-[rel2]->(c)
2 RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.20 Do not return anything because path length does not match

Query specification (Do not return anything because path length does not match)

```
1 MATCH p = (n)-->(x)
2 WHERE length(p) = 10
3 RETURN x
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.21 Pass the path length test

Query specification (Pass the path length test)

```
1 MATCH p = (n)-->(x)
2 WHERE length(p) = 1
3 RETURN x
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.22 Return relationships by fetching them from the path - starting from the end

Query specification (Return relationships by fetching them from the path - starting from the end)

```
1 MATCH p = (a)-[:REL*2..2]->(b:End)
2 RETURN relationships(p)
```
A.17. MatchAcceptance

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.23 Return relationships by fetching them from the path

Query specification (Return relationships by fetching them from the path)

1. \textbf{MATCH} p = (a:Start)-[:REL*2..2]->(b)
2. \textbf{RETURN} relationships(p)

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.24 Return relationships by collecting them as a list - wrong way

Query specification (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - wrong way)

1. \textbf{MATCH} (a)-[r:REL*2..2]->(b:End)
2. \textbf{RETURN} r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - wrong way)

$$\pi_r \circ \frac{\left\langle \left[ r \right]_2^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle 0 \right\rangle} \circ \frac{\left\langle 1 \right\rangle}{\left\langle 0 \right\rangle}$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - wrong way)
A.17. MatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - wrong way)

\[ \Omega \]

\[ \pi \]

\[ \times \]

\[ \circ \]

\[ \uparrow \]

A.17.25 Return relationships by collecting them as a list - undirected

Query specification (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - undirected)

1 MATCH (a)-[r:REL*2..2]-(b:End)

2 RETURN r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - undirected)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual} \times \exists \preceq \rhd}^{(b: \text{End})} [r: \text{REL}_{2}^{2}] \circ^{(a)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - undirected)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return relationships by collecting them as a list - undirected)
A.17.26 Return relationships by collecting them as a list

Query specification (Return relationships by collecting them as a list)

```
1 MATCH (a:Start)-[r:REL*2..2]-(b)
2 RETURN r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return relationships by collecting them as a list)

\[
\pi_r \text{Dual} \uplus_{\text{not} \equiv} (b) \left[ r: \text{REL} \ast_2 \right] \cup (a: \text{Start})
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return relationships by collecting them as a list)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Return relationships by collecting them as a list)

A.17.27 Return a var length path
Query specification (Return a var length path)

1. Match p = (n {name: 'A'})-[:KNOWS*1..2]->(x)
2. Return p

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.28 Return a var length path of length zero
Query specification (Return a var length path of length zero)

1. Match p = (a)-[*0..1]->(b)
2. Return a, b, length(p) AS l

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.29 Return a named var length path of length zero
Query specification (Return a named var length path of length zero)

1. Match p = (a {name: 'A'})-[:KNOWS*0..1]->(b)-[:FRIEND*0..1]->(c)
2. Return p
Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.17.30  Accept skip zero

Query specification (Accept skip zero)

```
MATCH (n)
WHERE 1 = 0
RETURN n SKIP 0
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Accept skip zero)

\[
\lambda^0 \pi_n \sigma_{1=0} \Box (n)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Accept skip zero)
A.18 MatchAcceptance2

A.18.1 Do not return non-existent nodes

Query specification (Do not return non-existent nodes)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN n
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Do not return non-existent nodes)

\[ \pi_n \text{Dual} \not\sqsubseteq \bigcirc(n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Do not return non-existent nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Do not return non-existent nodes)

A.18.2 Do not return non-existent relationships

Query specification (Do not return non-existent relationships)

```sql
1 MATCH ()-[r]->()
2 RETURN r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Do not return non-existent relationships)

\[
\pi_r \text{Dual} \not\exists r \uparrow (\_\text{es12}) \mid r \bigcap (\_\text{es11})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Do not return non-existent relationships)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Do not return non-existent relationships)

A.18.3 Do not fail when evaluating predicates with illegal operations if the AND’ed predicate evaluates to false

Query specification (Do not fail when evaluating predicates with illegal operations if the AND’ed predicate evaluates to false)

```
1 MATCH (:Root {name: 'x'})-->(i:TextNode)
2 WHERE i.id > 'te'
3 RETURN i
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Do not fail when evaluating predicates with illegal operations if the AND’ed predicate evaluates to false)

\[ \pi_{i} \sigma_{i.\text{id}>\text{"te"}} \cup \Diamond \not\equiv _{e514} \uparrow ( _{e513} \text{Node}) \cap _{e514} \bigcirc ( _{e513} : \text{Root}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Do not fail when evaluating predicates with illegal operations if the AND’ed predicate evaluates to false)
A.18.4 Do not fail when evaluating predicates with illegal operations if the OR’d predicate evaluates to true

**Query specification** (Do not fail when evaluating predicates with illegal operations if the OR’d predicate evaluates to true)

```
MATCH (:Root {name: 'x'})-->(i)
WHERE exists(i.id) OR i.id > 'te'
RETURN i
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.5 Aggregation with named paths

**Query specification** (Aggregation with named paths)

```
MATCH (p)=[*-]-(*)
WITH count(*) AS count, p AS p
WITH nodes(p) AS nodes
RETURN *
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.18.6  Zero-length variable length pattern in the middle of the pattern

Query specification (Zero-length variable length pattern in the middle of the pattern)

1 MATCH (a {name: 'A'})-[[:CONTAINS*0..1]->(b)-[:FRIEND*0..1]->(c)
2 RETURN a, b, c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Zero-length variable length pattern in the middle of the pattern)

\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \text{Dual} \Join \neq_{\_e517}_{\_e518} \uparrow (c) \left[ (\_e518: \text{FRIEND}_{0}^1 \right] \uparrow (b) \left[ (\_e517: \text{CONTAINS}_{0}^1 \right] \bigcirc (a) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Zero-length variable length pattern in the middle of the pattern)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Zero-length variable length pattern in the middle of the pattern)

A.18.7 Simple variable length pattern

Query specification (Simple variable length pattern)

1 MATCH (a {name: 'A'})-[*]->(x)
2 RETURN x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Simple variable length pattern)

\[ \pi_x \text{Dual} \bowtie \not\equiv \downarrow_{\text{e519}^*} \uparrow_{\text{e517}^*} \bigcirc(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Simple variable length pattern)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Simple variable length pattern)
A.18.8  Variable length relationship without lower bound

Query specification (Variable length relationship without lower bound)

1 MATCH p = ({name: 'A'})-[:KNOWS*..2]->()
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.9  Variable length relationship without bounds

Query specification (Variable length relationship without bounds)

1 MATCH p = ({name: 'A'})-[:KNOWS*..]->()
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.10 Returning bound nodes that are not part of the pattern

Query specification (Returning bound nodes that are not part of the pattern)

1 MATCH (a {name: 'A'}), (c {name: 'C'})
2 MATCH (a)-->(b)
3 RETURN a, b, c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning bound nodes that are not part of the pattern)

\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \text{Dual} \otimes \not\equiv_\langle a \rangle \otimes \not\equiv_\langle c \rangle \otimes \not\equiv_{e520 \uparrow}^{(b)} \otimes_\langle e520 \rangle \not\equiv_\langle a \rangle \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning bound nodes that are not part of the pattern)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning bound nodes that are not part of the pattern)

A.18.11 Two bound nodes pointing to the same node

Query specification (Two bound nodes pointing to the same node)

1 MATCH (a {name: 'A'}), (b {name: 'B'})
2 MATCH (a)-->(x)<-->(b)
3 RETURN x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Two bound nodes pointing to the same node)

\[ \pi_x \text{Dual} \triangleright \neq \circ(a) \triangleright \circ(b) \triangleright \neq \_e521 \uparrow \circ(x) \_e521 \uparrow \circ(x) \_e521 \circ(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Two bound nodes pointing to the same node)
A.18.12 Three bound nodes pointing to the same node

Query specification (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node)

1 MATCH (a {name: 'A'}), (b {name: 'B'}), (c {name: 'C'})
2 MATCH (a)-->(x), (b)-->(x), (c)-->(x)
3 RETURN x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node)

\[ \pi_x \bowtie \not\equiv \circ(a) \bowtie \circ(b) \bowtie \circ(c) \bowtie \not\equiv \_e522 \uparrow \_e521 \circ(a) \bowtie \uparrow \_e522 \circ(b) \bowtie \uparrow \_e522 \circ(c) \bowtie \_e522 \circ(c) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node)
**A.18. MatchAcceptance2**

Incremental relational algebra tree (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node with extra connections)

Query specification (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node with extra connections)

1. MATCH (a {name: 'a'}), (b {name: 'b'}), (c {name: 'c'})
2. MATCH (a)-->(x), (b)-->(x), (c)-->(x)
3. RETURN x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node with extra connections)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual}} \Join \neq \bigcirc_{(a)} \Join \bigcirc_{(b)} \Join \bigcirc_{(c)} \Join \neq \_e523 \uparrow\x_1 \_e523 \bigcirc_{(a)} \Join \uparrow\x_1 \_e523 \bigcirc_{(b)} \Join \uparrow\x_1 \_e523 \bigcirc_{(c)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node with extra connections)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Three bound nodes pointing to the same node with extra connections)

A.18.14 MATCH with OPTIONAL MATCH in longer pattern

Query specification (MATCH with OPTIONAL MATCH in longer pattern)

1. MATCH (a {name: 'A'})
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[:KNOWS]->(foo)->[:KNOWS]->(b)
3. RETURN foo

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (MATCH with OPTIONAL MATCH in longer pattern)

\[
\pi_{\text{foo Dual}} \Join (\exists_0 (a : [\text{foo}]) \neq [\text{foo}]) \neq [e526, e525] \\
\uparrow [\text{foo}] [e526 : \text{KNOWS}] \uparrow [e524] [\text{e524 : \text{KNOWS}}] \cap (a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (MATCH with OPTIONAL MATCH in longer pattern)
A.18.15 Optionally matching named paths

Query specification (Optionally matching named paths)

```
1 MATCH (a {name: 'A'}), (x)
2 WHERE x.name IN ['B', 'C']
3 OPTIONAL MATCH p = (a)-->(x)
4 RETURN x, p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.18.16 Optionally matching named paths with single and variable length patterns

Query specification (Optionally matching named paths with single and variable length patterns)

```
MATCH (a {name: 'A'})
OPTIONAL MATCH p = (a)-->(b)-[*]->(c)
RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.17 Optionally matching named paths with variable length patterns

Query specification (Optionally matching named paths with variable length patterns)

```
MATCH (a {name: 'A'}), (x)
WHERE x.name IN ['B', 'C']
OPTIONAL MATCH p = (a)-[r*]->(x)
RETURN r, x, p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.18 Matching variable length patterns from a bound node

Query specification (Matching variable length patterns from a bound node)

```
MATCH (a:A)
MATCH (a)-[r*2]->()
RETURN r
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.19 Excluding connected nodes

Query specification (Excluding connected nodes)

```
MATCH (a:A), (other:B)
OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r]->(other)
WITH other WHERE r IS NULL
RETURN other
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Excluding connected nodes)

\[
\pi_{\text{other}} \sigma_{r=\text{NULL}} \pi_{\text{other}} \text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc_{(a, A)} \bigcirc \bigcirc_{(other, B)} \neq \uparrow_{(a, A)} [r] \bigcirc_{(other, B)} \bigcirc \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Excluding connected nodes)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Excluding connected nodes)

A.18.20 Do not fail when predicates on optionally matched and missed nodes are invalid

Query specification (Do not fail when predicates on optionally matched and missed nodes are invalid)

```
1 MATCH (n)-->(x0)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (x0)-->(x1)
3 WHERE x1.foo = 'bar'
4 RETURN x0.name
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Do not fail when predicates on optionally matched and missed nodes are invalid)

\[ \pi_{x_0.\text{name}} \text{Dual} \uparrow \neq_{\text{e527}} \uparrow (x_0) \bigcirc (n) \uparrow \neq_{\text{e527}} \uparrow (x_1) \bigcirc (x_0) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Do not fail when predicates on optionally matched and missed nodes are invalid)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Do not fail when predicates on optionally matched and missed nodes are invalid)

![Diagram of an incremental relational algebra tree]

A.18.21 MATCH and OPTIONAL MATCH on same pattern

**Query specification** (MATCH and OPTIONAL MATCH on same pattern)

```
1 MATCH (a)-->>(b)
2 WHERE b:B
3 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-->(c)
4 WHERE c:C
5 RETURN a.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.22 Matching using an undirected pattern

**Query specification** (Matching using an undirected pattern)

```
1 MATCH (a)-[:ADMIN]-(b)
2 WHERE a:A
3 RETURN a.id, b.id
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.18.23  Matching all nodes

Query specification (Matching all nodes)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching all nodes)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual}} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching all nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching all nodes)
A.18.24 Comparing nodes for equality

Query specification (Comparing nodes for equality)

1 MATCH (a), (b)
2 WHERE a <> b
3 RETURN a, b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Comparing nodes for equality)

$$\pi_{a,b} \sigma_{a \neq b} \text{Dual} \Join \not\equiv \bigodot(a) \Join \bigodot(b)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Comparing nodes for equality)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Comparing nodes for equality)

A.18.25 Matching using self-referencing pattern returns no result

Query specification (Matching using self-referencing pattern returns no result)

1 MATCH (a)-->(b), (b)-->(b)
2 RETURN b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching using self-referencing pattern returns no result)

\[ \pi_b \text{Dual} \Join \neq_{e530} (a) \Join \neq_{e530} (b) \Join \neq_{e530} \bigcirc (a) \Join \neq_{e530} \bigcirc (b) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching using self-referencing pattern returns no result)
A.18. MatchAcceptance2

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching using self-referencing pattern returns no result)

A.18.26 Variable length relationship in OPTIONAL MATCH

Query specification (Variable length relationship in OPTIONAL MATCH)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (a:A), (b:B)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r*]-(b)
3 WHERE r IS NULL
4 AND a <> b
5 RETURN b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Variable length relationship in OPTIONAL MATCH)

\[ \pi_{\text{b\text{Dual}}} \triangleright\triangleleft \neq \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \triangleright\triangleleft \bigcirc_{(b: B)} \triangleright\triangleleft \neq_{\{a\}}^{(b: B)} [r^{\infty}]_{\{a\}} \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length relationship in OPTIONAL MATCH)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Variable length relationship in OPTIONAL MATCH)

A.18.27 Matching using relationship predicate with multiples of the same type

Query specification (Matching using relationship predicate with multiples of the same type)

1 MATCH (a)-[:T|:T]->(b)
2 RETURN b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching using relationship predicate with multiples of the same type)

$$\pi_b \Delta \hspace{1em} \uparrow_{\text{T}} \circ \langle b \rangle$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching using relationship predicate with multiples of the same type)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching using relationship predicate with multiples of the same type)

A.18.28 ORDER BY with LIMIT

Query specification (ORDER BY with LIMIT)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)-->(n)-->(m)
2 RETURN n.x, count(*)
3 ORDER BY n.x
4 LIMIT 1000
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY with LIMIT)

\[ \lambda_{1000} \tau_{n.x} \gamma_{n.x, \text{count}(s)} \text{Dual} \exists_{\text{e532}} \uparrow (a) \downarrow_{\text{e532}} \uparrow (n) \downarrow_{\text{e532}} \bigcirc (a: A) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY with LIMIT)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY with LIMIT)

A.18.29 Simple node property predicate

Query specification (Simple node property predicate)
1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE n.foo = 'bar'
3 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Simple node property predicate)
\[ \pi_n \sigma_{n \text{foo} = 'bar'} \text{Dual} \triangleright\triangleleft \bigcirc (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Simple node property predicate)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Simple node property predicate)
A.18.30 Handling direction of named paths

Query specification (Handling direction of named paths)

1 MATCH p = (b)<--(a)
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query.

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.31 Simple OPTIONAL MATCH on empty graph

Query specification (Simple OPTIONAL MATCH on empty graph)

1 OPTIONAL MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Simple OPTIONAL MATCH on empty graph)

\[ \pi_n \circ \nabla \neq \leftrightsquigarrow (n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Simple OPTIONAL MATCH on empty graph)
A.18.32 OPTIONAL MATCH with previously bound nodes

Query specification (OPTIONAL MATCH with previously bound nodes)

1 MATCH (n)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (n)-[:NOT_EXIST]->(x)
3 RETURN n, x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH with previously bound nodes)

$$\pi_{n,x} \text{Dual} \bowtie \not\equiv (\not\equiv_{e533} \upharpoonright_{(n)} \not\equiv_{e533} \upharpoonright_{(x)} \not\equiv_{e533} \upharpoonright_{(n)}: \text{NOT \_EXIST} \bowtie_{(n)}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH with previously bound nodes)
Incremental relational algebra tree (OPTIONAL MATCH with previously bound nodes)

A.18.33 ‘collect()’ filtering nulls

Query specification (‘collect()’ filtering nulls)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (n)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (n)-[:NOT_EXIST]->(x)
3 RETURN n, collect(x)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘collect()’ filtering nulls)

\[ \gamma_n^{\text{collect}(x)} \text{Dual} \triangledown \not\equiv \bigcirc (n) \triangledown \not\equiv \text{e534}^{(x)} \uparrow (\text{e534: NOT_EXIST}) \bigcirc (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('collect()' filtering nulls)
A.18.34 Multiple anonymous nodes in a pattern

Query specification (Multiple anonymous nodes in a pattern)

```
1 MATCH (a)<--()<--(b)-->()-->(c)
2 WHERE a:A
3 RETURN c
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.35 Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate

Query specification (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate)

```
1 MATCH (a)<-->(b:Foo)
2 RETURN b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate)

\[
\pi_{b} \text{Dual} \bowtie \notin_{e538} \uparrow_{(a)} (b: \text{Foo}) [e538] \circ_{(a)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate)

A.18.36 Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate on both sides

Query specification (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate on both sides)

1. MATCH (:A)-[r]->(:B)
2. RETURN r
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate on both sides)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual} \bowtie \nexists r} (\text{\e540} : B) [r] \bowcirc (\text{\e539} : A) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate on both sides)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching a relationship pattern using a label predicate on both sides)
A.18.37 Matching nodes using multiple labels

Query specification (Matching nodes using multiple labels)

1 MATCH (a:A:B:C)
2 RETURN a

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching nodes using multiple labels)

$$\pi_A \triangleleft \not\equiv \bigcirc (a: A \land B \land C)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching nodes using multiple labels)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching nodes using multiple labels)
A.18.38 Returning label predicate expression

Query specification (Returning label predicate expression)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN (n:Foo)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning label predicate expression)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL}} \Delta \neq \bigcap (n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning label predicate expression)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning label predicate expression)
A.18.39 Matching with many predicates and larger pattern

Query specification (Matching with many predicates and larger pattern)

1. MATCH (advertiser)-[:ADV_HASPRODUCT]->(out)-[:AP_HASVALUE]->(red)<-[:AA_HASVALUE]-(a)
2. WHERE advertiser.id = $1
3. AND a.id = $2
4. AND red.name = 'red'
5. AND out.name = 'product1'
6. RETURN out.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching with many predicates and larger pattern)

\[ \pi_{\text{out.name}} \sigma_{\text{advertiser.id}=1 \land \text{a.id}=2 \land \text{red.name}='red' \land \text{out.name}='product1'} \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching with many predicates and larger pattern)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching with many predicates and larger pattern)

A.18.40 Matching using a simple pattern with label predicate

Query specification (Matching using a simple pattern with label predicate)

```
1 MATCH (n:Person) where n.name = 'Bob'
2 RETURN n
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching using a simple pattern with label predicate)

```
π₁σ₁.n.name='Bob'·Dual ⨠̸∈_e545 \{e544\} \{_e545\} \bigodot (n: Person)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching using a simple pattern with label predicate)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching using a simple pattern with label predicate)

A.18.41 Matching disconnected patterns

Query specification (Matching disconnected patterns)

1 MATCH (a)-->(b)
2 MATCH (c)-->(d)
3 RETURN a, b, c, d

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching disconnected patterns)

\[ \pi_{a,b,c,d} \text{Dual} \bowtie \nsim \_e546 \uparrow (b) [\_e546] \bowtie \nsim \_e546 \uparrow (a) [\_e546] \bowtie \nsim \_e546 \uparrow (d) [\_e546] \bowtie \nsim \_e546 \uparrow (c) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching disconnected patterns)
A.18. MatchAccept2 305

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching disconnected patterns)

A.18.42  Non-optional matches should not return nulls

Query specification (Non-optional matches should not return nulls)

1 MATCH (a)--(b)--(c)--(d)--(a), (b)--(d)
2 WHERE a.id = 1
3 AND c.id = 2
4 RETURN d

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Non-optional matches should not return nulls)

π_dσ_{a.id=1\land c.id=2} Dual △∩_{e547} [^a][_e547] \uparrow (d) [^c][_e547] \uparrow (b) [^d][_e547] \uparrow (a) \uparrow (c) [^b][_e547] \uparrow (a) [^d][_e547] \cup (b) \cup (b)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Non-optional matches should not return nulls)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Non-optional matches should not return nulls)
A.18.43 Handling cyclic patterns

Query specification (Handling cyclic patterns)

1 MATCH (a)-[:A]->()-[:B]->(a)
2 RETURN a.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling cyclic patterns)

$$\pi_{a.\text{name}}\left\langle \begin{array}{c} (a) \\
\uparrow (\_e549) \left[ \_e550: B \right] \\
\uparrow (\_e548) \left[ \_e549: A \right]
\end{array} \right\rangle \circ (a)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling cyclic patterns)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling cyclic patterns)

A.18.44 Handling cyclic patterns when separated into two parts

Query specification (Handling cyclic patterns when separated into two parts)

1 MATCH (a)-[:A]->(b), (b)-[:B]->(a)
2 RETURN a.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling cyclic patterns when separated into two parts)

\[ \pi_{\text{a.name}} \Join_{\neq} \{ \text{e548} \} \Join \{ \text{e550} \} \]

\[ \left( \text{a} \right) \Join \{ \text{e548} : \text{A} \} \Join \{ \text{e550} : \text{B} \} \]

\[ \left( \text{a} \right) \Join \{ \text{e548} \} \Join \{ \text{e550} \} \]

\[ \left( \text{b} \right) \Join \{ \text{e551} : \text{A} \} \Join \{ \text{e552} : \text{B} \} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation  
(Handling cyclic patterns when separated into two parts)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling cyclic patterns when separated into two parts)

A.18.45 Handling fixed-length variable length pattern

Query specification (Handling fixed-length variable length pattern)

```
1 MATCH (a)-[r*1..1]->(b)
2 RETURN r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling fixed-length variable length pattern)

```
π_rDual ⊙∿_{(b)}_{(a)} r \bigcirc_{(a)}
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling fixed-length variable length pattern)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling fixed-length variable length pattern)
A.18.46 Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to finding no matches

Query specification (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to finding no matches)

```sql
1 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)
2 WITH a
3 MATCH (a)-->(b)
4 RETURN b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to finding no matches)

\[
\pi_b \pi_a \text{Dual} \Join \not\equiv \bigcirc(a) \Join \not\equiv \uparrow(b) \bigcirc \downarrow \bigcirc(e) \uparrow(b) \bigcirc \downarrow \bigcirc(a)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to finding no matches)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to finding no matches)

A.18.47  Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to matches being filtered out

**Query specification** (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to matches being filtered out)

```sql
1 OPTIONAL MATCH (a:Label)
2 WITH a
3 MATCH (a)-->(b)
4 RETURN b
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to matches being filtered out)

\[
\pi_b \pi_a \text{Dual}\not\equiv \bigcirc (a : \text{Label}) \not\equiv \_e554 \uparrow (b) \_e554 \bigcirc (a)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to matches being filtered out)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching from null nodes should return no results owing to matches being filtered out)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_b & \quad (b) \quad () \quad (\hat{\imath}b) \\
\pi_b & \quad (b) \quad () \quad (\hat{\imath}b) \\
\times \{a\} & \quad (\hat{a}, \_\text{e554}, b) \quad () \quad (\hat{0} : \hat{0}) \\
\pi_a & \quad (a) \\
\pi_a^{\uparrow} & \quad (\hat{a}) \\
\times \{\} & \quad (a) \quad () \quad (\hat{0}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

A.18.48 Optionally matching from null nodes should return null

Query specification (Optionally matching from null nodes should return null)

```sql
1 optional MATCH (a) 
2 WITH a 
3 optional MATCH (a)-->(b) 
4 RETURN b 
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Optionally matching from null nodes should return null)

\[
\pi_b \pi_a \text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc_{(a)} \neq \neq_{\text{e555}} \uparrow^{(b)} (\_\text{e555}) \bigcirc_{(a)} 
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Optionally matching from null nodes should return null)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Optionally matching from null nodes should return null)

A.18.49 OPTIONAL MATCH returns null

Query specification (OPTIONAL MATCH returns null)

1. `OPTIONAL MATCH (a)`
2. `RETURN a`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH returns null)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc(a)} \neq \bigcirc(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH returns null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (OPTIONAL MATCH returns null)
A.18.50 Zero-length named path
Query specification (Zero-length named path)

```sql
MATCH p = (a)
RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.51 Variable-length named path
Query specification (Variable-length named path)

```sql
MATCH p = ()-[*0..]->()
RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.52 Matching with aggregation
Query specification (Matching with aggregation)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN n.prop AS n, count(n) AS count
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching with aggregation)

\[
\gamma_{\text{n.prop}} n.\text{prop} \rightarrow \text{prop(n)} \rightarrow \text{count}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching with aggregation)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching with aggregation)

A.18.53 Matching using a relationship that is already bound

Query specification (Matching using a relationship that is already bound)

```plaintext
1 MATCH ()-[r1]->()
2 WITH r1 AS r2
3 MATCH ()-[r2]->()
4 RETURN r2 AS rel
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching using a relationship that is already bound)

```
π₂→ν₁ π₁→ν₁ Dual △Μr₁ ⊙ (∈e₅₅₇) r₁ ⊙ (∈e₅₅₆) △Μr₂ ⊙ (∈e₅₅₉) [r₂] ⊙ (∈e₅₅₈)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching using a relationship that is already bound)
A.18.54 Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation

Query specification (Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation)

```
1  MATCH ()-[r1]->()
2  WITH r1 AS r2, count(*) AS c
3  ORDER BY c
4  MATCH ()-[r2]->()
5  RETURN r2 AS rel
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation)

```
Ω_{rel}[
 ⟨rel⟩
⟩[0]_{rel}[

π_{r2→r1}[
 ⟨rel⟩
⟩[0]_{rel}[

⇒ {r2}[
 ⟨r2,c, _e562, _e563⟩
⟩[0]_{r2}[

τ_{c}[
 ⟨r2,c⟩
⟩[0]_{r2}[

γ_{r1}[
 r1→r1, count(*)→c
 ⟨r2,c⟩
⟩[0]_{r2}[

↑(_e561)[r1]
 ⟨_e560, r1, _e561⟩
⟩[0]_{r560, r1, _e561}[

↑(_e563)[r2]
 ⟨_e562, r2, _e563⟩
⟩[0]_{r562, r2, _e563}[

A.18.55  Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation and ORDER BY

**Query specification** (Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation and ORDER BY)

```
MATCH (a)-[r]->(b)
WITH a, r, b, count(*) AS c
ORDER BY c
MATCH (a)-[r]->(b)
RETURN r AS rel
ORDER BY rel.id
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation and ORDER BY)

\[
\pi_{\text{rel.id}} \left( \tau_{\text{rel}} \right) \cdot \pi_{\text{r.a, r.b, count(*)}} \cdot \text{Dual} \circ \not\equiv_{\text{r}} ^{\left( \text{b} \right)} \left( \text{r} \right) \circ \left( \text{r} \right) \left( \text{a} \right) \circ \left( \text{a} \right) \left( \text{b} \right) ^{\left( \text{b} \right)} \circ \left( \text{a} \right)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation and ORDER BY)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching using a relationship that is already bound, in conjunction with aggregation and ORDER BY)
A.18.56 Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship that is already bound

**Query specification** (Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship that is already bound)

```plaintext
MATCH ()-[r]->()
WITH r
LIMIT 1
OPTIONAL MATCH (a2)-[r]->(b2)
RETURN a2, r, b2
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship that is already bound)

\[
\pi_{a2, r, b2} \land \pi_{r} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \neq_{r}^{(e565)} [x] \bigcirc_{(e564)} \neq_{r}^{(b2)} [x] \bigcirc_{(a2)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship that is already bound)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship that is already bound)

```
\begin{align*}
\text{A.18.57 Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship and node that are both already bound} \\
\text{Query specification (Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship and node that are both already bound)} \\
1 \text{ MATCH } (a1)-[r]->() \\
2 \text{ WITH } r, a1 \\
3 \text{ LIMIT 1} \\
4 \text{ OPTIONAL MATCH } (a1)-[r]->(b2) \\
5 \text{ RETURN } a1, r, b2
\end{align*}
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship and node that are both already bound)

\[ \pi_{a_1, r, b_2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r, a_1} \text{Dual} \nmid \nmid_{\lambda} (\_e566) \left[ r \right] \bigcirc (a_1) \nmid \nmid_{(a_1)} (b_2) \left[ r \right] \bigcirc (a_1) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching with LIMIT and optionally matching using a relationship and node that are both already bound)
A.18.58 Matching with LIMIT, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with an additional predicate

**Query specification** (Matching with LIMIT, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with an additional predicate)

```sql
1 MATCH (a1)-[r]->()
2 WITH r, a1
3 LIMIT 1
4 MATCH (a1:X)-[r]->(b2)
5 RETURN a1, r, b2
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching with LIMIT, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with an additional predicate)

\[
\pi_{a1,r,b2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r,a1} \text{Dual} \sqcap \not\equiv_r \uparrow (e_{567}) \left( \bigcap \bigcup \right) \bigcap \bigcup \left( (a_1, r) \bigcap \not\equiv_r \uparrow (b_2) \left( (a_1) \bigcap \not\equiv_r \uparrow (a_1, X) \right) \bigcap \bigcup \right)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching with LIMIT, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with an additional predicate)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching with LIMIT, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with an additional predicate)

A.18.59 Matching with LIMIT and predicates, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with a duplicate predicate

Query specification (Matching with LIMIT and predicates, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with a duplicate predicate)

```
1 MATCH (a1:X)-[r]->()
2 WITH r, a1
3 LIMIT 1
4 MATCH (a1:Y)-[r]->(b2)
5 RETURN a1, r, b2
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching with LIMIT and predicates, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with a duplicate predicate)

\[
\pi_{a_1,r,b_2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r,a_1} \text{Dual} \triangleright\neq \uparrow (\_e568) [r] \bigcirc (a_1 : X \land Y) \triangleright \neq \uparrow (b_2) [r] \bigcirc (a_1 : Y)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching with LIMIT and predicates, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with a duplicate predicate)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching with LIMIT and predicates, then matching again using a relationship and node that are both already bound along with a duplicate predicate)

A.18. MatchAcceptance2

A.18.60 Matching twice with conflicting relationship types on same relationship

Query specification (Matching twice with conflicting relationship types on same relationship)

1. MATCH (a1)-[r:T]->()
2. WITH r, a1
3. LIMIT 1
4. MATCH (a1)-[r:Y]->(b2)
5. RETURN a1, r, b2
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching twice with conflicting relationship types on same relationship)

\[ \pi_{a1,r,b2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r,a1} \Delta \not\equiv^r \uparrow \left( _{e569}^\text{(a1)} \right) \left[ r: \top \right] \odot_{(a1)} \not\equiv^r \uparrow \left( _{b2}^\text{(b2)} \right) \left[ r: \top \right] \odot_{(a1)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching twice with conflicting relationship types on same relationship)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching twice with conflicting relationship types on same relationship)

\[
\Omega_{a1,r,b2} \\
\pi_{a1,r,b2} \\
\bowtie \{r,a1\} \\
\lambda_1 \\
\pi_{r,a1} \\
\lambda_1 \pi_{r,a1}^\perp \\
\pi_{a1,r,b2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r,a1} \text{Dual} \bowtie \ni \uparrow \langle \_e569 \rangle [r:T] \cup (a1) \bowtie \ni \uparrow \langle b2 \rangle [r:T] \cup (a1)
\]

A.18.61 Matching twice with duplicate relationship types on same relationship

Query specification (Matching twice with duplicate relationship types on same relationship)

```sql
1 MATCH (a1)-[r:T]->() WITH r, a1
2 LIMIT 1
3 MATCH (a1)-[r:T]->(b2)
4 RETURN a1, r, b2
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching twice with duplicate relationship types on same relationship)

\[
\pi_{a1,r,b2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r,a1} \text{Dual} \bowtie \ni \uparrow \langle \_e570 \rangle [r:T] \cup (a1) \bowtie \ni \uparrow \langle b2 \rangle [r:T] \cup (a1)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching twice with duplicate relationship types on same relationship)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching twice with duplicate relationship types on same relationship)

A.18.62 Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list

Query specification (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list)

1 MATCH ()-[r1]->()-[r2]->()  
2 WITH [r1, r2] AS rs  
3 LIMIT 1  
4 MATCH (first)-[rs*]->(second)  
5 RETURN first, second
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list)

\[ \pi_{\text{first, second}} \lambda_{\pi[r_1, r_2] \to r_3} \text{Dual } \textbf{▷} \not\equiv r_2, r_1 \uparrow (\_e^{573}) (\_e^{572}) [r_2] \uparrow (\_e^{572}) [r_1] \bigcirc (\_e^{571}) \textbf{▷} \not\equiv r_3 \uparrow \text{second} (\_e^{571}) \bigcirc \text{first} (\_e^{571}) \textbf{▷} \not\equiv r_3 \uparrow \text{first} (\_e^{571}) \bigcirc \text{first} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list)
A.18.63 Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes

**Query specification** (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes)

1. MATCH (a)-[r1]->()-[r2]->(b)
2. WITH [r1, r2] AS rs, a AS first, b AS second
3. LIMIT 1
4. MATCH (first)-[rs*]->(second)
5. RETURN first, second

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes)

\[ \pi_{\text{first}, \text{second}} \lambda [r_1, r_2] \rightarrow_{rs, a \rightarrow b} \text{Dual} \bowtie \not\equiv \uparrow_{(b)} [r_2] \uparrow_{(a)} e_{574} [r_1] \rightarrow_{rs, b \rightarrow a} \uparrow_{(\text{first})} \not\equiv_{(\text{second})} [rs{\ast}]_{1} \]

\[ \bigcirc_{(\text{first})} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes)
A.18.64 Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes, wrong direction

Query specification (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes, wrong direction)

1 MATCH (a)-[r1]->()-[r2]->(b)
2 WITH [r1, r2] AS rs, a AS second, b AS first
3 LIMIT 1
4 MATCH (first)-[rs*]->(second)
5 RETURN first, second

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes, wrong direction)

\[ \pi_{\text{first}, \text{second}} \lambda_{[\text{r1}, \text{r2}]} \text{Dual} \bowtie_{[\text{r2}, \text{r1}]}^{(b)} \text{D} \uparrow_{[\text{rs}]} \text{r1} \downarrow_{(a)}^{(\text{second})} \text{r2} \uparrow_{(\text{rs})}^{(\text{first})} \bowtie_{[\text{rs}]}^{\infty} \text{rat} \downarrow_{(\text{first})} \pi_{\text{first}} \]

\[ \bigcirc_{(\text{first})} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes, wrong direction)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching relationships into a list and matching variable length using the list, with bound nodes, wrong direction)
A.18.65  Matching and optionally matching with bound nodes in reverse direction

**Query specification** (Matching and optionally matching with bound nodes in reverse direction)

```
1 MATCH (a1)-[r]->()
2 WITH r, a1
3 LIMIT 1
4 OPTIONAL MATCH (a1)<-[r]-(b2)
5 RETURN a1, r, b2
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Matching and optionally matching with bound nodes in reverse direction)

\[
\pi_{a1,r,b2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r,a1} \text{ Dual} \circ \sqsubseteq \uparrow (\_e^{576}) \sqcup [a1] \circ \sqsubseteq \downarrow (a1) \circ \sqsubseteq \sqcup [b2] \circ \sqcup [a1]
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching and optionally matching with bound nodes in reverse direction)
**A.18.66 Matching and optionally matching with unbound nodes and equality predicate in reverse direction**

**Query specification** (Matching and optionally matching with unbound nodes and equality predicate in reverse direction)

```sql
MATCH (a1)-[r]->()
WITH r, a1
LIMIT 1
OPTIONAL MATCH (a2)<-[r]-(b2)
WHERE a1 = a2
RETURN a1, r, b2, a2
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching and optionally matching with unbound nodes and equality predicate in reverse direction)

\[ \pi_{a_1, b_2, a_2} \lambda_1 \pi_{r, a_1} \text{Dual} \uparrow \{ (e_{577}) \} \downarrow \circ \approx \neq \bigcirc_{(a_1)} \bigcirc_{(b_2)} \bigcirc_{(a_2)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching and optionally matching with unbound nodes and equality predicate in reverse direction)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching and optionally matching with unbound nodes and equality predicate in reverse direction)

\[
\Omega_{a_1.r_b_2.a_2} \\
(a_1.r_b_2.a_2) \\
\emptyset \\
\{a_1.r_b_2.a_2\}
\]

\[
\pi_{a_1.r_b_2.a_2} \\
(a_1.r_b_2.a_2) \\
\emptyset \\
\{a_1.r_b_2.a_2\}
\]

\[
\exists \{r\} \\
(r_a_1.b_2.a_2) \\
\emptyset \\
\{0.r_a_1.b_2.a_2\} \\
(0 : (1))
\]

\[
\lambda_1 \\
(r_a_1) \\
\emptyset \\
\{0.r_a_1\}
\]

\[
\pi_{r.a_1} \\
(r_a_1) \\
\emptyset \\
\{0.r_a_1\}
\]

\[
\land \_e_{577} \\
(a_1.r_\_e_{577}) \\
\emptyset \\
\{0.a_1.r_\_e_{577}\}
\]

\[
\land \_e_{577} \\
(b_2.r_a_2) \\
\emptyset \\
\{0.b_2.r_a_2\}
\]

A.18.67 Matching and returning ordered results, with LIMIT

Query specification (Matching and returning ordered results, with LIMIT)

1 MATCH (foo)
2 RETURN foo.bar AS x
3 ORDER BY x DESC
4 LIMIT 4

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching and returning ordered results, with LIMIT)

\[
\lambda_4 \tau_{x \pi_{foo.bar \rightarrow bar} Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (foo)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching and returning ordered results, with LIMIT)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching and returning ordered results, with LIMIT)

A.18.68 Counting an empty graph

Query specification (Counting an empty graph)

1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN count(a) > 0

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Counting an empty graph)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(a)>0} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(a) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Counting an empty graph)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Counting an empty graph)

A.18.69 Matching variable length pattern with property predicate

Query specification (Matching variable length pattern with property predicate)

1. MATCH (a:Artist)-[:WORKED_WITH* {year: 1988}]->(b:Artist)
2. RETURN *

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching variable length pattern with property predicate)

\[
\pi_{a,b} \, \text{Dual} \, \times_{\neq \text{e578}} \, (b: \text{Artist}) \, [\text{e578: WORKED_WITH} \star_{1}^{\infty}] \, \bigcirc (a: \text{Artist})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching variable length pattern with property predicate)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching variable length pattern with property predicate)
A.18.70 Variable length pattern checking labels on endnodes

Query specification (Variable length pattern checking labels on endnodes)

```
MATCH (a), (b)
WHERE a.id = 0
AND (a)-[:T]->(b:Label)
OR (a)-[:T*]->(b:MissingLabel)
RETURN DISTINCT b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Variable length pattern checking labels on endnodes)

\[
\delta \pi a.\text{id}=0 \land a \neq \perp \land _\text{e579} \neq \perp \land _\text{e580} \neq \perp \land b \neq \perp \\
\text{Dual} \left[ _\text{e579} : T \right] \cup \left[ _\text{e580} : T^\infty \right] \cup a
\]

\[
\exists (b : \text{Label} \land \text{MissingLabel}) \exists (a)
\]

\[
\delta \pi \sigma a.\text{id}=0 \land a \neq \perp \land _\text{e579} \neq \perp \land _\text{e580} \neq \perp \land b \neq \perp \\
\text{Dual} \left[ _\text{e579} : T \right] \cup \left[ _\text{e580} : T^\infty \right] \cup (b : \text{Label} \land \text{MissingLabel})
\]

\[
\exists (a)
\]

\[
\exists (b : \text{Label} \land \text{MissingLabel}) \left[ _\text{e579} : T \right] \cup (a)
\]

\[
\exists (b : \text{Label} \land \text{MissingLabel}) \left[ _\text{e580} : T^\infty \right] \cup (a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length pattern checking labels on endnodes)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Variable length pattern checking labels on endnodes)
A.18.71 Variable length pattern with label predicate on both sides

Query specification (Variable length pattern with label predicate on both sides)

1 MATCH (a:Blue)-[r*]->(b:Green)
2 RETURN count(r)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Variable length pattern with label predicate on both sides)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(\mathbf{r})}; \text{Dual} \not\equiv \uparrow_{(\mathbf{a})}^{(\mathbf{b} \text{: Green})}; [r^*]_1 \bigcirc_{(\mathbf{a} \text{: Blue})} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length pattern with label predicate on both sides)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Variable length pattern with label predicate on both sides)

A.18.72 Undirected named path

Query specification (Undirected named path)
1 MATCH p = (n:Movie)--(m)
2 RETURN p
3 LIMIT 1

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.73 Named path with WITH

Query specification (Named path with WITH)
1 MATCH p = (a)
2 WITH p
3 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.18.74  Named path with alternating directed/undirected relationships
Query specification (Named path with alternating directed/undirected relationships)

1 MATCH p = (n)-->(m)--(o)
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.75  Named path with multiple alternating directed/undirected relationships
Query specification (Named path with multiple alternating directed/undirected relationships)

1 MATCH path = (n)-->(m)--(o)--(p)
2 RETURN path

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.76  Named path with undirected fixed variable length pattern
Query specification (Named path with undirected fixed variable length pattern)

1 MATCH topRoute = (:Start)<-[:CONNECTED_TO]-()-[:CONNECTED_TO*3..3]-(:End)
2 RETURN topRoute

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.77  Returning a node property value
Query specification (Returning a node property value)

1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN a.prop

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning a node property value)

\[ \pi_{a.prop}^DUAL \neq \bigcirc(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning a node property value)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning a node property value)

A.18.78 Returning a relationship property value

Query specification (Returning a relationship property value)

```
1 MATCH ()-[r]->()
2 RETURN r.prop
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning a relationship property value)

\[
\pi_{r\text{.prop}} \quad \text{Dual} \quad \not\equiv_{r}^{\{e_{582}\}} \quad \mathcal{R} \quad \bigcirc_{e_{581}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning a relationship property value)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning a relationship property value)

A.18.79 Projecting nodes and relationships

Query specification (Projecting nodes and relationships)

1 MATCH (a)-[r]->()
2 RETURN a AS foo, r AS bar
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Projecting nodes and relationships)

$\pi_{a\rightarrow a, r\rightarrow r} \text{Dual } \lessdot \not\equiv_r \uparrow_{(e^{583})} [r] \bigcirc (a)$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Projecting nodes and relationships)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Projecting nodes and relationships)
A.18.80 Missing node property should become null

**Query specification** (Missing node property should become null)

```sql
MATCH (a)
RETURN a.bar
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Missing node property should become null)

\[
\pi_{a.bar} \Delta \bigcirc_{(a)}
\]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Missing node property should become null)

![Relational algebra tree](image)

**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Missing node property should become null)

![Incremental relational algebra tree](image)
A.18.81 Missing relationship property should become null

Query specification (Missing relationship property should become null)

1 MATCH ()-[r]->()
2 RETURN r.bar

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Missing relationship property should become null)

$$\pi_{r.bar} \Delta \not\equiv_{\_e585} (\_e584) [r] \setminus (\_e584)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Missing relationship property should become null)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Missing relationship property should become null)

A.18.82 Returning multiple node property values

Query specification (Returning multiple node property values)

1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN a.name, a.age, a.seasons

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning multiple node property values)

\[ \pi_{a\text{.name}, a\text{.age}, a\text{.seasons}} \text{Dual} \neq \bigtriangledown \bigcirc (a) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning multiple node property values)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning multiple node property values)

A.18.83 Adding a property and a literal in projection

Query specification (Adding a property and a literal in projection)

```
MATCH (a)
RETURN a.prop + 1 AS foo
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Adding a property and a literal in projection)

$$\pi_{a.prop+1\rightarrow foo}Dual \neq \bigodot (a)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Adding a property and a literal in projection)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Adding a property and a literal in projection)

A.18.84 Adding list properties in projection

Query specification (Adding list properties in projection)
1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN a.prop2 + a.prop1 AS foo

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Adding list properties in projection)

```
π_{a.prop2+a.prop1→foo}Dual ▷ ☐(a)
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Adding list properties in projection)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Adding list properties in projection)

A.18.85 Variable length relationship variables are lists of relationships

Query specification (Variable length relationship variables are lists of relationships)

```
MATCH ()-[r*0..1]-()
RETURN last(r) AS l
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Variable length relationship variables are lists of relationships)

```
π_{last(r)→1}Dual ⋈ r \overleftarrow{[{e587}]} \overrightarrow{[r*0]} \bigcap [{e586}]
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length relationship variables are lists of relationships)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Variable length relationship variables are lists of relationships)
A.18.86 Variable length patterns and nulls

Query specification (Variable length patterns and nulls)
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[:FOO]->(b:B)
3 OPTIONAL MATCH (b)<-[[:BAR*]]-(c:B)
4 RETURN a, b, c

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Variable length patterns and nulls)
\[ \pi_{a,b,c} \text{Dual} \Join \not\equiv \bigcirc(a:A) \Join \not\equiv_\text{e588} \uparrow_{(b:B)} \text{FOO} \] \[ \bigcirc(a:A) \Join \not\equiv_\text{e589} \downarrow_{(c:B)} \text{BAR}^\ast \]\[ \bigcirc(b:B) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length patterns and nulls)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Variable length patterns and nulls)

A.18.87 Projecting a list of nodes and relationships

Query specification (Projecting a list of nodes and relationships)

1 MATCH (n)-[r]->(m)
2 RETURN [n, r, m] AS r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Projecting a list of nodes and relationships)

\[
\pi_{[n, r, m]} \circ \Pi \Join_{r \neq r} \left\langle \begin{array}{c}
(n) \\
[r]
\end{array} \right\rangle \bigcirc (n)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Projecting a list of nodes and relationships)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Projecting a list of nodes and relationships)

A.18.88 Projecting a map of nodes and relationships

Query specification (Projecting a map of nodes and relationships)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (n)-[r]->(m)
2 RETURN {node1: n, rel: r, node2: m} AS m
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Projecting a map of nodes and relationships)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{Dual}} \bowtie_{\neq} \uparrow_{\text{[n]}} \circ_{\text{(n)}} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Projecting a map of nodes and relationships)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Projecting a map of nodes and relationships)
A.18.89  Respecting direction when matching existing path

Query specification (Respecting direction when matching existing path)

1 MATCH p = ({prop: 'a'})-->({prop: 'b'})
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.90  Respecting direction when matching non-existent path

Query specification (Respecting direction when matching non-existent path)

1 MATCH p = ({prop: 'a'})<--({prop: 'b'})
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.91  Respecting direction when matching non-existent path with multiple directions

Query specification (Respecting direction when matching non-existent path with multiple directions)

1 MATCH p = (n)-->(k)<--(n)
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.92  Matching path with both directions should respect other directions

Query specification (Matching path with both directions should respect other directions)

1 MATCH p = (n)<-->(k)<-->(n)
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.18.93  Matching path with multiple bidirectional relationships

Query specification (Matching path with multiple bidirectional relationships)

1 MATCH p=(n)<-->(k)<-->(n)
2 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.18.94 Matching nodes with many labels

Query specification (Matching nodes with many labels)

2 RETURN n, m

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching nodes with many labels)

\[ \pi_{n,m} \bowtie_{\neq \text{e590}} (n: Z \land X \land W \land V \land U) \setminus \text{e590: T} \circ (n: A \land B \land C \land D \land E \land F \land G \land H \land I \land J \land K \land L \land M) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching nodes with many labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching nodes with many labels)

A.18.95 Matching longer variable length paths

Query specification (Matching longer variable length paths)

1 MATCH (n {prop: ‘start’})-[[:T*]]->(m {prop: ‘end’})
2 RETURN m

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching longer variable length paths)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual}} \not\equiv_{e591} (n) \sqcup (m) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching longer variable length paths)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching longer variable length paths)
A.18.96 Matching a self-loop

Query specification (Matching a self-loop)

1. MATCH ()-[r]()->
2. RETURN type(r) AS r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Matching a self-loop)

\[
\pi_{\text{type}(r) \rightarrow r} \text{Dual} \uplus \text{r} \uplus \text{e593} \text{ [r]} \bigcirc \text{ e592)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching a self-loop)
A.19 MatchingSelfRelationships

A.19.1 Undirected match in self-relationship graph

Query specification (Undirected match in self-relationship graph)

1 MATCH (a)-[r]-(b)
2 RETURN a, r, b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected match in self-relationship graph)

\[ \pi_{a,r,b} \text{Dual} \bigcirc \not\equiv_{r}^{(a)} [r] \bigcirc_{(a)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Undirected match in self-relationship graph)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Undirected match in self-relationship graph)

A.19.2 Undirected match in self-relationship graph, count

Query specification (Undirected match in self-relationship graph, count)

1 MATCH ()--()
2 RETURN count(*)
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected match in self-relationship graph, count)

$$\gamma_{\text{count}(\ast)} \text{Dual} \ni \ni \neq \ni_{e596} \uparrow \ni\{e595\} \ni\{e594, e596\} \ni\{e594\}$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Undirected match in self-relationship graph, count)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Undirected match in self-relationship graph, count)
A.19.3 Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph

Query specification (Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph)

1. MATCH (n)-[r]-(n)
2. RETURN n, r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph)

\[ \pi_{n,r} \text{Dual} \bowtie \rightharpoonup \stackrel{n}{\{(n) \mid r\}} \bigcirc_{(n)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph)

A.19.4 Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph, count

Query specification (Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph, count)

```
1 MATCH (n)←(n)
2 RETURN count(*)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected match of self-relationship in self-relationship graph, count)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}}(\text{Dual} \bowtie \text{count}_e^\text{e597} \downarrow (n) \left| \text{e597} \right) \uparrow (n) \bigcirc (n) \]
A.19.5 Undirected match on simple relationship graph

Query specification (Undirected match on simple relationship graph)

1. MATCH (a)-[r]-(b)
2. RETURN a, r, b
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected match on simple relationship graph)

\[ \pi_{a, r, b} \text{Dual} \Downarrow \not\equiv \downarrow \overset{(b)}{\leftarrow}^{(a)}[r] \bigcup_{(a)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Undirected match on simple relationship graph)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Undirected match on simple relationship graph)
A.19.6 Undirected match on simple relationship graph, count

**Query specification** (Undirected match on simple relationship graph, count)

```sql
1 MATCH ()--()
2 RETURN count(*)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Undirected match on simple relationship graph, count)

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(\ast)} \Delta \nmid_{\text{e}600} ^{\text{e}599} [_{\text{e}598} \cap_{\text{e}600} \bigcirc_{\text{e}599}]
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Undirected match on simple relationship graph, count)
A.19. MatchingSelfRelationships

Incremental relational algebra tree (Undirected match on simple relationship graph, count)

```
Ω_{count(*)} (iname42) () (iname42)
γ_{count(*)} (iname42) () (iname42)
```

A.19.7 Directed match on self-relationship graph

Query specification (Directed match on self-relationship graph)

```sql
1 MATCH (a)-[r]->(b)
2 RETURN a, r, b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Directed match on self-relationship graph)

```
π_{\text{a}, \text{r}, \text{b}} \quad \text{Dual} \quad \bowtie \quad (b) \quad [r] \quad \bigcirc_{(a)}
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Directed match on self-relationship graph)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Directed match on self-relationship graph)

A.19.8 Directed match on self-relationship graph, count

Query specification (Directed match on self-relationship graph, count)

1 MATCH ()-->()
2 RETURN count(*)
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Directed match on self-relationship graph, count)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(\ast) \text{Dual } \neq \_e603} \uparrow (\_e602) [\_e601] [\_e603] \bigcup (\_e601) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Directed match on self-relationship graph, count)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Directed match on self-relationship graph, count)
A.19.9 Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph

Query specification (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph)

1) MATCH (n)-[r]->(n)
2) RETURN n, r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph)

\[ \pi_{n,r} \Delta \nexists \uparrow (n) \bigcirc (n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph)

A.19.10 Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph, count

Query specification (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph, count)

1 MATCH (n)-->(n)
2 RETURN count(*)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph, count)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}()} \text{Dual} \nabla '\neq_\text{e604} \uparrow (n) \cap \text{e604} \Box (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph, count)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Directed match of self-relationship on self-relationship graph, count)

A.19.11 Counting undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph

Query specification (Counting undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)

```
1 MATCH (n)-[r]-(n)
2 RETURN count(r)
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Counting undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(r)} \text{Dual} \Join \equiv_{(r)} \left( \left( n \right) \left( n \right) \left[ r \right] \right) \bigodot \left( n \right)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Counting undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Counting undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)
A.19.12 Counting distinct undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph

Query specification (Counting distinct undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)

1. MATCH (n)-[r]-(n)
2. RETURN count(DISTINCT r)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Counting distinct undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(r)} \bigcirc \nabla \not\equiv r \downarrow \bigcirc \bigcirc A(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Counting distinct undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Counting distinct undirected self-relationships in self-relationship graph)

```
Ω_{\text{count}}(r)
\langle \_iname46 \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 0 \_iname46 \rangle
γ_{\text{count}}(r)
\langle \_iname46 \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle \# \_iname46 \rangle
⇕(n)(n)[r]
\langle n, r \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 0 n, 1 r \rangle
```

### A.19.13 Directed match of a simple relationship

**Query specification** (Directed match of a simple relationship)

```
1 MATCH (a)-[r]->(b)
2 RETURN a, r, b
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Directed match of a simple relationship)

\[ \pi_{a,r,b} \text{Dual} \setminus \not\equiv r \uparrow_{(a)} [r] \circ_{(a)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Directed match of a simple relationship)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Directed match of a simple relationship)

A.19.14 Directed match of a simple relationship, count

Query specification (Directed match of a simple relationship, count)

```
1 MATCH ()-->()
2 RETURN count(*)
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Directed match of a simple relationship, count)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(\ast)} \text{Dual } \not\equiv \text{e607} \uparrow \{\text{e606}, \text{e607} \} \bigcirc \{\text{e605} \} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Directed match of a simple relationship, count)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Directed match of a simple relationship, count)
A.19.15  Counting directed self-relationships

Query specification (Counting directed self-relationships)

1 MATCH (n)-[r]->(n)
2 RETURN count(r)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Counting directed self-relationships)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(r)} \bigtriangleup \neq \rightarrow (a) [r] \bigcirc (n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Counting directed self-relationships)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Counting directed self-relationships)

```
[Ω_count(r)]
  ⟨_iname48⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0⟩
  ⟨γ_count(r)]
  ⟨_iname48⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨#0⟩
  ⟨⇑(n)⟩
  (n)
  ⟨r⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0⟩
  ⟨1⟩
```

A.19.16 Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, simple

Query specification (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, simple)

1 \texttt{MATCH (x:A)-[r1]->(y)-[r2]-(z)}
2 \texttt{RETURN x, r1, y, r2, z}

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, simple)

\[
\pi_{x,r_1,y,r_2,z} \diamond \mathsf{Dual} \triangleright \not= r_1.r_2 \uparrow \{z\} \{r_2\} \uparrow \{y\} \{r_1\} \bigcirc (x : A)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, simple)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, simple)

A.19.17 Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, count

Query specification (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, count)

1 MATCH (:A)-->()--()
2 RETURN count(*)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, count)

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(*)} \text{Dual} \Join_{\neq} \bigcup_{e610} \bigcup_{e611} \bigcup_{e609} \bigcup_{e608} (e610) \bigcup_{e608} (e610) \bigcup_{e608} (A)
\]
A.19. MatchingSelfRelationships

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, count)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, count)

\[
\Omega_{\text{count}(\ast)} \\
\langle \_iname49 \rangle \\
\langle 0 \_iname49 \rangle
\]

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(\ast)} \\
\langle \_iname49 \rangle \\
\langle # \_iname49 \rangle
\]

\[
\ni \{ \_e610, \_e609 \} \\
\langle \_e608, \_e610, \_e609, \_e611 \rangle \\
\langle 0 \_e608, 1 \_e610, 2 \_e609, 3 \_e611 \rangle \\
(1, 2) : (1, 2)
\]

\[
\uparrow \langle \_e609 \rangle \quad \uparrow \langle \_e608, A \rangle \quad \downarrow \langle \_e610 \rangle \\
\langle \_e608, \_e610, \_e609 \rangle \\
\langle \_e611, \_e610, \_e609 \rangle \\
\langle 0 \_e608, 1 \_e610, 2 \_e609 \rangle \\
\langle 0 \_e611, 1 \_e610, 2 \_e609 \rangle
\]

A.19.18 Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected

Query specification (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected)

1 MATCH (x)-[r1]-(y)-[r2]-(z)  
2 RETURN x, r1, y, r2, z

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected)

\[\pi_{x,r1,y,r2,z} \text{Dual} \bowtie \not{=}_{r1,r2} \uparrow \langle z \rangle \quad [r2] \quad \downarrow \langle y \rangle \quad [r1] \quad \bigcirc_{x} (x)\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected)

```
Ω (x, r1, y, r2, z)
  
  π_{x, r1, y, r2, z} (x, r1, y, r2, z)
  
  ≠_{r1, r2} (y, r1, x, z, r2)
  
  ⊲ {y} (y, r1, x, z, r2)
  
  ↕ (x) (y, r1)
  ↕ (y) (x)
```

A.19.19 Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected count

Query specification (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected count)

1 MATCH ()-[]-()()
2 RETURN count(*)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected count)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(\ast)}^{\text{Dual} \ \psi \neq \bigoplus_{\text{e616}, \text{e614}}} \uparrow^{\text{e615}} \bigoplus_{\text{e613}} \downarrow^{\text{e616}} \bigcup_{\text{e612}} \bigcap_{\text{e614}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected count)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Mixing directed and undirected pattern parts with self-relationship, undirected count)

Ω

\(\_\)iname50

(\(^0\),iname50)

\(\gamma\)count(+)

\(\_\)iname50

(\(^0\),iname50)

\(\not\equiv\_\)e616, \_e614

\(\langle\_\)e613, \_e614, \_e612, \_e615, \_e616\rangle

(\(0\), (2))

\(\bigtriangledown\)\{\_\)e613\}

\(\langle\_\)e613, \_e614, \_e612, \_e615, \_e616\rangle

(\(0\), (2))

A.20 MergeIntoAcceptance

A.21 MergeNodeAcceptance

A.22 MergeRelationshipAcceptance

A.23 MiscellaneousErrorAcceptance
A.24 NullAcceptance

A.24.1 Ignore null when deleting node

Query specification (Ignore null when deleting node)

```sql
1 OPTIONAL MATCH (a:DoesNotExist)
2 DELETE a
3 RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Ignore null when deleting node)

\[ \chi_{a} \pi_{a \rightarrow a} \Box_{\exists} \neq \Box_{\exists} (a: \text{DoesNotExist}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Ignore null when deleting node)
A.24. NullAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Ignore null when deleting node)

A.24.2 Ignore null when deleting relationship

Query specification (Ignore null when deleting relationship)

```plaintext
1 OPTIONAL MATCH ()-[r:DoesNotExist]-()
2 DELETE r
3 RETURN r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Ignore null when deleting relationship)

\[
\chi_r \pi_{r \rightarrow r} \Downarrow_{\neq} \uparrow_{\neq} \bigtriangleup_{\uparrow_{\neq}} \left[ r: \text{DoesNotExist} \right] \triangledown_{\Downarrow_{\neq}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Ignore null when deleting relationship)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Ignore null when deleting relationship)

A.25 OptionalMatch

A.25.1 Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between same nodes

Query specification (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between same nodes)

1. MATCH (p:Player)-[:PLAYS_FOR]->(team:Team)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (p)-[s:SUPPORTS]->(team)
3. RETURN count(*) AS matches, s IS NULL AS optMatch

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between same nodes)

\[\gamma_{\text{count}(\ast)\rightarrow\text{matches}, NULLightarrow\text{optMatch}}\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between same nodes)
A.25. OptionalMatch

Incremental relational algebra tree (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between same nodes)

A.25.2 Satisfies the open world assumption, single relationship

Query specification (Satisfies the open world assumption, single relationship)

1. MATCH (p:Player)-[:PLAYS_FOR]->(team:Team)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (p)-[s:SUPPORTS]->(team)
3. RETURN count(*) AS matches, s IS NULL AS optMatch

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Satisfies the open world assumption, single relationship)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Satisfies the open world assumption, single relationship)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Satisfies the open world assumption, single relationship)

A.25.3 Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between different nodes

Query specification (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between different nodes)

1. MATCH (p:Player)-[:PLAYS_FOR]->(team:Team)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (p)-[s:SUPPORTS]->(team)
3. RETURN count(*) AS matches, s IS NULL AS optMatch

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between different nodes)

\[ \gamma \text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{matches} \cup \text{optMatch} \]
\[ \Delta \equiv \lfloor \text{p}, \text{team} \rceil \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]

\[ \gamma \text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{matches} \cup \text{optMatch} \]
\[ \Delta \equiv \lfloor \text{p}, \text{team} \rceil \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]

\[ \gamma \text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{matches} \cup \text{optMatch} \]
\[ \Delta \equiv \lfloor \text{p}, \text{team} \rceil \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]

\[ \gamma \text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{matches} \cup \text{optMatch} \]
\[ \Delta \equiv \lfloor \text{p}, \text{team} \rceil \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]

\[ \gamma \text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{matches} \cup \text{optMatch} \]
\[ \Delta \equiv \lfloor \text{p}, \text{team} \rceil \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]

\[ \gamma \text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{matches} \cup \text{optMatch} \]
\[ \Delta \equiv \lfloor \text{p}, \text{team} \rceil \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]

\[ \gamma \text{count}(\ast) \rightarrow \text{matches} \cup \text{optMatch} \]
\[ \Delta \equiv \lfloor \text{p}, \text{team} \rceil \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{p}, \text{team} \rangle \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between different nodes)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Satisfies the open world assumption, relationships between different nodes)

A.26. OptionalMatchAcceptance

OptionalMatchAcceptance

A.26.1 Return null when no matches due to inline label predicate

Query specification (Return null when no matches due to inline label predicate)

```
MATCH (n:Single)
OPTIONAL MATCH (n)-[r]-(m:NonExistent)
RETURN r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return null when no matches due to inline label predicate)

\[ \pi_{r} \text{Dual } \times \nexists (n : \text{Single}) \times \nexists (m : \text{NonExistent}) (r) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return null when no matches due to inline label predicate)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Return null when no matches due to inline label predicate)

A.26.2 Return null when no matches due to label predicate in WHERE

Query specification (Return null when no matches due to label predicate in WHERE)

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.26.3 Respect predicates on the OPTIONAL MATCH

Query specification (Respect predicates on the OPTIONAL MATCH)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Respect predicates on the OPTIONAL MATCH)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual}} \not\models \bigcirc_{(n: \text{Single})} \not\models \bigcirc_{r} \bigcap \bigcirc_{r} \bigcap (n) [r] \bigcirc_{n: \text{Single}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Respect predicates on the OPTIONAL MATCH)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Respect predicates on the OPTIONAL MATCH)

A.26.4 Returning label predicate on null node

Query specification (Returning label predicate on null node)

1 MATCH (n:Single)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (n)-[r:TYPE]-(m)
3 RETURN m:TYPE

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning label predicate on null node)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual}} (\nabla \neq \bigcirc (n: \text{Single}) \nabla \neq \bigoplus_{(s: \text{Single})} (r: \text{TYPE}) \bigcirc (n: \text{Single})) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning label predicate on null node)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning label predicate on null node)

\[
\begin{align*}
Ω_n &\{(n)\} \langle (n) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
π_n &\{(n)\} \langle (n) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \\
∅(\{n\} &\{(n,n,r)\} \langle (n,n,r) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
π_m &\{(m)\} \langle (m) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
\Join \left\{n\right\} &\{(n,m,r)\} \langle (n,m,r) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
∪(\{n\} &\{(n)\} \langle (n) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \\
∅ &\{(n)\} \langle (n) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \\
[n] &\{(n,m,\_\_\_\_r)\} \langle (n,m,\_\_\_\_r) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
]\end{align*}
\]

A.26.5 MATCH after OPTIONAL MATCH

Query specification (MATCH after OPTIONAL MATCH)
1 MATCH (a:Single)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-->(b:NonExistent)
3 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-->(c:NonExistent)
4 WITH coalesce(b, c) AS x
5 MATCH (x)-->(d)
6 RETURN d

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (MATCH after OPTIONAL MATCH)
\[
\begin{align*}
π_dπ_{coalesce(b,c)}→x^Dual \Join \left\{n\right\} &\{(n)\} \langle (n) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
∪(c:NonExistent) &\{e622\} \{(a)\} \langle (a) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
∪(b:NonExistent) &\{e622\} \{(a)\} \langle (a) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
∪(d:NonExistent) &\{e623\} \{(x)\} \langle (x) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
∪ &\{e623\} \{(x)\} \langle (x) \rangle \langle 0 \rangle :2 \\
\end{align*}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (MATCH after OPTIONAL MATCH)
Incremental relational algebra tree (MATCH after OPTIONAL MATCH)

A.26.6 WITH after OPTIONAL MATCH

Query specification (WITH after OPTIONAL MATCH)

1  OPTIONAL MATCH  (a:A)
2   WITH  a  AS  a
3   MATCH  (b:B)
4   RETURN  a, b
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (WITH after OPTIONAL MATCH)

\[ \pi_{a,b} \pi_{a\to a} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigodot(a: A) \not\equiv \bigodot(b: B) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (WITH after OPTIONAL MATCH)
A.26.7 Named paths in optional matches

Query specification (Named paths in optional matches)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH p = (a)-[:X]->(b)
3 RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.26.8 OPTIONAL MATCH and bound nodes

Query specification (OPTIONAL MATCH and bound nodes)

1 MATCH (a:A), (b:C)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (x)-->(b)
3 RETURN x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH and bound nodes)

\[ \pi_x \text{Dual } \Join \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \Join \bigcirc_{(b: C)} \Join \not\equiv \uparrow_{(x)} [e624] \bigcirc_{(x)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH and bound nodes)
A.26. OptionalMatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (OPTIONAL MATCH and bound nodes)

```
Ωx
  (x)
  ()
  (0x)

πx
  (x)
  ()
  (0x)

Ω0
  (0a)
  (0b)
  (0x)

π0
  (0a)
  (0b)
  (0x)

Ω2
  (0a,1b,2x,3_e624)
  (1 : (2))

Ω1
  (1a,2b)
  ()
  (1a,1b)
  ()
```

A.26.9  OPTIONAL MATCH with labels on the optional end node

Query specification (OPTIONAL MATCH with labels on the optional end node)

```
1 MATCH (a:X)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-->(b:Y)
3 RETURN b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH with labels on the optional end node)

\[ \pi_b \text{Dual} \bowtie_e \text{⋃}_{(a : X)} \text{⋃}_{(b:Y)} \text{⋃}_{(x)_e625} \text{ชาติ}_{(a)} \text{.extent} \text{y} \text{e625} \uparrow_{(a)} \text{extent} \text{e625} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH with labels on the optional end node)
A.26. OptionalMatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (OPTIONAL MATCH with labels on the optional end node)

A.26.10 Named paths inside optional matches with node predicates

Query specification (Named paths inside optional matches with node predicates)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (a:A), (b:B)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH p = (a)-[:X]->(b)
3 RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.26.11 Variable length optional relationships

Query specification (Variable length optional relationships)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (a:Single)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[*]->(b)
3 RETURN b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Variable length optional relationships)

\[ \pi_b \text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc_{(a: \text{Single})} \times_{(b: \text{e626}^* \neq \text{e626}^+)} (b: \text{e626}^*) \bigcirc_{(a: \text{Single})} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length optional relationships)
**A.26.12 Variable length optional relationships with length predicates**

**Query specification** (Variable length optional relationships with length predicates)

1. `MATCH (a:Single)`
2. `OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[*3..]-(b)`
3. `RETURN b`

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Variable length optional relationships with length predicates)

\[
\pi_b \Downarrow \ominus \bigcirc_{(a: Single)} \Downarrow \ominus _{[\_e626]} \bigcirc_{(a: Single)} \Downarrow \ominus_{[\_\_e626, \_\_e626]} \bigcirc_{(a: Single)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length optional relationships with length predicates)
A.26. OptionalMatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Variable length optional relationships with length predicates)

A.26.13 Optionally matching self-loops

Query specification (Optionally matching self-loops)

```plaintext
1 MATCH (a:B)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r]-(a)
3 RETURN r
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Optionally matching self-loops)

\[
\pi_r \text{Dual} \ni \bigcirc (a: B) \ni \bigcirc (a: B)^\uparrow \ni \bigcirc [a: B] [r] \ni \bigcirc (a: B)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Optionally matching self-loops)
A.26. OptionalMatchAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Optionally matching self-loops)

```
\[\Omega_r \langle r \rangle \langle \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \langle r \rangle \langle \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \langle 0 \rangle r \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \langle 0 \rangle : (0) \]
\]
```

A.26.14 Optionally matching self-loops without matches

Query specification (Optionally matching self-loops without matches)

1. `MATCH (a)`
2. `WHERE NOT (a:B)`
3. `OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r]->(a)`
4. `RETURN r`

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.26.15 Variable length optional relationships with bound nodes

Query specification (Variable length optional relationships with bound nodes)

1. `MATCH (a:Single), (x:C)`
2. `OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[*]->(x)`
3. `RETURN x`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Variable length optional relationships with bound nodes)

\[\pi_x \text{Dual} \Join \not\equiv (a: Single) \Join (x: C) \Join \not\equiv \_e628 \Join (x: C) \_\_e628 \Join (a: Single)\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Variable length optional relationships with bound nodes)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Variable length optional relationships with bound nodes)

A.26.16 Variable length optional relationships with bound nodes, no matches

Query specification (Variable length optional relationships with bound nodes, no matches)

```
1 MATCH (a:A), (b:B)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH p = (a)-[*]->(b)
3 RETURN p
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.26.17 Longer pattern with bound nodes

Query specification (Longer pattern with bound nodes)

```
1 MATCH (a:Single), (c:C)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-->(b)-->(c)
3 RETURN b
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Longer pattern with bound nodes)

\[ \pi_b \text{Dual} \Join \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a: \text{Single})} \Join \bigcirc_{(c: \text{C})} \not\equiv \_e629 \uparrow_{(b)} \_e629 \uparrow_{(a)} \_e629 \bigcirc_{(a: \text{Single})} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Longer pattern with bound nodes)
A.26.18 Longer pattern with bound nodes without matches

Query specification (Longer pattern with bound nodes without matches)

1. MATCH (a: A), (c: C)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-->(b)-->(c)
3. RETURN b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Longer pattern with bound nodes without matches)

\[
\pi_b \text{Dual} \Join \neq (\alpha: A) \Join \Join (c: C) \Join \neq \text{e630} \uparrow (c: C) \text{e630} \uparrow (b) \text{e630} \uparrow (a: A)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Longer pattern with bound nodes without matches)
A.26.19 Handling correlated optional matches; first does not match implies second does not match

Query specification (Handling correlated optional matches; first does not match implies second does not match)

1 MATCH (a:A), (b:B)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-->(x)
3 OPTIONAL MATCH (x)-[r]->(b)
4 RETURN x, r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling correlated optional matches; first does not match implies second does not match)

\[
\pi_x \text{Dual} \Join \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a:A)} \Join \bigcirc_{(b:B)} \Join \not\equiv \bigcirc_{_e631} \upsilon \bigcirc_{(a:A)} \Join \not\equiv \upsilon_{(x)} \bigjoin_{(x)} \bigcup_{(b:B)} [r] \bigcup_{(x)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling correlated optional matches; first does not match implies second does not match)
A.26. OptionalMatchAcceptance 451

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling correlated optional matches; first does not match implies second does not match)

A.26.20 Handling optional matches between optionally matched entities

Query specification (Handling optional matches between optionally matched entities)

1. OPTIONAL MATCH (a:NotThere)
2. WITH a
3. MATCH (b:B)
4. WITH a, b
5. OPTIONAL MATCH (b)-[r:NOR_THIS]->(a)
6. RETURN a, b, r
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling optional matches between optionally matched entities)

\[ \pi_{a, b, r} \pi_{a, b} \text{Dual} \sqcap \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a: \text{NotThere})} \sqcap \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(b: B)} \sqcap \not\equiv r \uparrow_{(a: \text{NOR\_THIS})} \bigcirc_{(b)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling optional matches between optionally matched entities)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Handling optional matches between optionally matched entities)
A.26.21 Handling optional matches between nulls

Query specification (Handling optional matches between nulls)

1. \textsc{optional match} (a:NotThere)
2. \textsc{optional match} (b:NotThere)
3. \text{WITH} a, b
4. \textsc{optional match} (b)-[r:NOR\_THIS]->(a)
5. \text{RETURN} a, b, r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling optional matches between nulls)

\[
\pi_{a, b, r} \pi_{a, b} \Delta \not\equiv (a: \text{NotThere}) \not\equiv (b: \text{NotThere}) \not\equiv r \uparrow (a) \text{[r: NOR\_THIS]} \bigcirc (b)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation  (Handling optional matches between nulls)
A.26.22 OPTIONAL MATCH and ‘collect()’

Query specification (OPTIONAL MATCH and ‘collect()’)
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH and 'collect()')

\[ \gamma \text{collect}(n.\text{property}) \rightarrow a, \text{collect}(f.\text{property}) \rightarrow b \]

\[ \text{Dual} \times \neq \bigcirc(f: \text{DoesExist}) \times \neq \bigcirc(n: \text{DoesNotExist}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (OPTIONAL MATCH and 'collect()')
A.27 OrderByAcceptance

A.27.1 ORDER BY should return results in ascending order

Query specification (ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN n.prop AS prop
ORDER BY n.prop
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)

\[
\tau_{n.\text{prop}} \pi_{n.\text{prop} \rightarrow \text{prop}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (n)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)

Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)
A.27.2 ORDER BY DESC should return results in descending order

Query specification (ORDER BY DESC should return results in descending order)

```
MATCH (n)
RETURN n.prop AS prop
ORDER BY n.prop DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should return results in descending order)

\[
\tau_{\downarrow n.\text{prop}\,\pi_{\text{prop} \to \text{prop}}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (n)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should return results in descending order)
A.27.3 ORDER BY of a column introduced in RETURN should return salient results in ascending order

Query specification (ORDER BY of a column introduced in RETURN should return salient results in ascending order)

```
WITH [0, 1] AS prow, [[2], [3, 4]] AS qrows
UNWIND prow AS p
UNWIND qrows[p] AS q
WITH p, count(q) AS rng
RETURN p
ORDER BY rng
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.27.4 Renaming columns before ORDER BY should return results in ascending order

Query specification (Renaming columns before ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)

```
MATCH (n)
RETURN n.prop AS n
ORDER BY n + 2
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Renaming columns before ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)

\[ \tau_{\pi_{n+2}}^{\pi_{n, \text{prop}} \rightarrow \text{prop}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Renaming columns before ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Renaming columns before ORDER BY should return results in ascending order)

![Diagram](image)

A.27.5 Handle projections with ORDER BY - GH no.4937

**Query specification** (Handle projections with ORDER BY - GH no.4937)

```plaintext
MATCH (c:Crew {name: 'Neo'})
WITH c, 0 AS relevance
RETURN c.rank AS rank
ORDER BY relevance, c.rank
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handle projections with ORDER BY - GH no.4937)

\[ \tau_{\text{relevance}} \pi_{\text{c.rank}} \pi_{\text{c.rank}} \pi_{0 \rightarrow \text{relevance}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (\text{c. Crew}) \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle projections with ORDER BY - GH no.4937)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle projections with ORDER BY - GH no.4937)

A.27.6 ORDER BY should order booleans in the expected order

Query specification (ORDER BY should order booleans in the expected order)

```sql
1 UNWIND [true, false] AS bools
2 RETURN bools
3 ORDER BY bools
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should order booleans in the expected order)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{bools}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{bools}}^{\omega([\text{NULL, NULL}] \rightarrow \text{bools}} \mathcal{D} \bowtie \mathcal{D} \bowtie \mathcal{D}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should order booleans in the expected order)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY should order booleans in the expected order)

A.27.7 ORDER BY DESC should order booleans in the expected order

Query specification (ORDER BY DESC should order booleans in the expected order)

```sql
1 UNWIND [true, false] AS bools
2 RETURN bools
3 ORDER BY bools DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order booleans in the expected order)

\[ \tau_{\text{bools}} \pi_{\text{bools}} \omega_{[\text{NULL,NULL}] \rightarrow \text{bools}} \triangleleft \text{Dual} \times \text{Dual} \times \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order booleans in the expected order)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY DESC should order booleans in the expected order)

\[\Omega_{\text{bools}}(\text{bools})\]

\[\pi_{\text{bools}}(\text{bools})\]

\[\tau_{\text{bools}}(\text{bools})\]

\[\omega_{[\text{NULL}, \text{NULL}] \rightarrow \text{bools}}\]

Dual

A.27.8 ORDER BY should order strings in the expected order

Query specification (ORDER BY should order strings in the expected order)

1. `UNWIND ["", ",", "", "one"] AS strings`
2. `RETURN strings`
3. `ORDER BY strings`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should order strings in the expected order)

\[\tau_{\text{strings}} \pi_{\text{strings}} \omega_{[\cdot, ",", \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot]} \rightarrow \text{strings} \Join \text{Dual} \Join \text{Dual} \Join \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should order strings in the expected order)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY should order strings in the expected order)

A.27.9 ORDER BY DESC should order strings in the expected order

Query specification (ORDER BY DESC should order strings in the expected order)

```sql
1 UNWIND ['*', '', ' ', 'one'] AS strings
2 RETURN strings
3 ORDER BY strings DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order strings in the expected order)

\[
\tau_{\text{strings}} \pi_{\text{strings}} \omega[\text{"","","","one"] \rightarrow \text{strings} \sqcap \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order strings in the expected order)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (ORDER BY DESC should order strings in the expected order)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{\text{strings}} \\
\vdots \\
\tau_{\text{strings}} \\
\vdots \\
\pi_{\text{strings}} \\
\vdots \\
\omega[\text{"","","one"] \rightarrow \text{strings} \\
\vdots \\
\text{Dual} \\
\end{array}
\]

**A.27.10 ORDER BY should order ints in the expected order**

**Query specification** (ORDER BY should order ints in the expected order)

```
1 UNWIND [1, 3, 2] AS ints
2 RETURN ints
3 ORDER BY ints
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (ORDER BY should order ints in the expected order)

\[
\tau_{\text{ints}} \pi_{\text{ints}} \omega[1,3,2] \rightarrow \text{ints} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should order ints in the expected order)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY should order ints in the expected order)

A.27.11 ORDER BY DESC should order ints in the expected order

Query specification (ORDER BY DESC should order ints in the expected order)

```sql
1 UNWIND [1, 3, 2] AS ints
2 RETURN ints
3 ORDER BY ints DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order ints in the expected order)

\[\tau_{\text{ints}} \pi_{\text{ints}} \omega_{[1,3,2] \rightarrow \text{ints}} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order ints in the expected order)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY DESC should order ints in the expected order)

```
Ω ints
  (ints) ()
  (0 ints)

τ ints
  (ints) ()
  (0 ints)

π ints
  (ints) ()
  (# ints)

ω [1, 3, 2] → ints
  () () ()

Dual
  () () ()
```

A.27.12 ORDER BY should order floats in the expected order

Query specification (ORDER BY should order floats in the expected order)

```
1 UNWIND [1.5, 1.3, 999.99] AS floats
2 RETURN floats
3 ORDER BY floats
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should order floats in the expected order)

```
τ↑floats π floats ω [1.5, 1.3, 999.99] → floats Dual ⊙ Dual ⊙ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY should order floats in the expected order)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY should order floats in the expected order)

A.27.13 ORDER BY DESC should order floats in the expected order

Query specification (ORDER BY DESC should order floats in the expected order)

```
1 UNWIND [1.5, 1.3, 999.99] AS floats
2 RETURN floats
3 ORDER BY floats DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order floats in the expected order)

$$\tau \pi \omega [1.5, 1.3, 999.99] \rightarrow \text{floats} \Join \text{Dual} \Join \text{Dual}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY DESC should order floats in the expected order)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (ORDER BY DESC should order floats in the expected order)

A.27.14 Handle ORDER BY with LIMIT 1

**Query specification** (Handle ORDER BY with LIMIT 1)

```sql
1 MATCH (p:Person)
2 RETURN p.name AS name
3 ORDER BY p.name
4 LIMIT 1
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handle ORDER BY with LIMIT 1)

\[
\lambda_1 \tau \pi \omega \mid \text{p.name} \rightarrow \text{name} \quad \text{Dual} \quad \text{not null} \quad \bigcirc \text{(p: Person)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle ORDER BY with LIMIT 1)
A.27. OrderByAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle ORDER BY with LIMIT 1)

$$
\Omega_{\text{name}}
\langle \text{name} \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\tau^{\uparrow \text{p}.\text{name}}
\lambda_{1}
\langle \text{name} \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\pi_{\text{p}.\text{name} \to \text{name}}
\langle \text{name} \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 1 \rangle
\circ
\square
\cdot
\Box
\neg
\exists
\geq
\text{(p): Person}
\langle \text{p} \rangle
\langle \text{p}.\text{name} \rangle
\langle 0 \text{p}, 1 \text{p}.\text{name} \rangle
$$

A.27.15 ORDER BY with LIMIT 0 should not generate errors

Query specification (ORDER BY with LIMIT 0 should not generate errors)

```sql
MATCH (p:Person)
RETURN p.name AS name
ORDER BY p.name
LIMIT 0
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY with LIMIT 0 should not generate errors)

$$
\lambda_{0} \tau^{\text{p}.\text{name}} \pi_{\text{p}.\text{name} \to \text{name}} \text{Dual} \boxtimes \not\equiv \bigcirc \text{(p): Person}
$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY with LIMIT 0 should not generate errors)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY with LIMIT 0 should not generate errors)

A.27.16 ORDER BY with negative parameter for LIMIT should not generate errors

Query specification (ORDER BY with negative parameter for LIMIT should not generate errors)

```
1 MATCH (p:Person)
2 RETURN p.name AS name
3 ORDER BY p.name
4 LIMIT -$limit
``` 

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY with negative parameter for LIMIT should not generate errors)

$$\lambda_{-\text{limit}} \uparrow_{\text{p.name}} \pi_{\text{p.name} \rightarrow \text{name}} \text{Dual} \ni \nexists \bigcirc (p: \text{Person})$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY with negative parameter for LIMIT should not generate errors)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY with negative parameter for LIMIT should not generate errors)

A.28 PatternComprehension

A.28.1 Pattern comprehension and ORDER BY

Query specification (Pattern comprehension and ORDER BY)

1. MATCH (liker)
2. RETURN [p = (liker)--() | p] AS isNew
3. ORDER BY liker.time

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.2 Returning a pattern comprehension

Query specification (Returning a pattern comprehension)

1. MATCH (n)
2. RETURN [p = (n)--() | p] AS ps

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.28.3 Returning a pattern comprehension with label predicate

Query specification (Returning a pattern comprehension with label predicate)

1. `MATCH (n:A)`
2. `RETURN [p = (n)-->(:B) | p]`

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.4 Returning a pattern comprehension with bound nodes

Query specification (Returning a pattern comprehension with bound nodes)

1. `MATCH (a:A), (b:B)`
2. `RETURN [p = (a)-[*]->(b) | p] AS paths`

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.5 Using a pattern comprehension in a WITH

Query specification (Using a pattern comprehension in a WITH)

1. `MATCH (n)-->(b)`
2. `WITH [p = (n)-->(:A) | p] AS ps, count(b) AS c`
3. `RETURN ps, c`

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.6 Using a variable-length pattern comprehension in a WITH

Query specification (Using a variable-length pattern comprehension in a WITH)

1. `MATCH (a:A), (b:B)`
2. `WITH [p = (a)-[*]->(b) | p] AS paths, count(a) AS c`
3. `RETURN paths, c`

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.7 Using pattern comprehension in RETURN

Query specification (Using pattern comprehension in RETURN)

1. `MATCH (n:A)`
2. `RETURN [p = (n)-[:HAS]->() | p] AS ps`

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.28.8 Aggregating on pattern comprehension

Query specification (Aggregating on pattern comprehension)

```
MATCH (n:A)
RETURN count([p = (n)-[:HAS]->() | p]) AS c
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.9 Using pattern comprehension to test existence

Query specification (Using pattern comprehension to test existence)

```
MATCH (n:X)
RETURN n, size([(n)--() | 1]) > 0 AS b
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.10 Pattern comprehension inside list comprehension

Query specification (Pattern comprehension inside list comprehension)

```
MATCH p = (n:X)-->(b)
RETURN n, [x IN nodes(p) | size([(x)-->(:Y) | 1])] AS list
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.11 Get node degree via size of pattern comprehension

Query specification (Get node degree via size of pattern comprehension)

```
MATCH (a:X)
RETURN size([(a)--() | 1]) AS length
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.12 Get node degree via size of pattern comprehension that specifies a relationship type

Query specification (Get node degree via size of pattern comprehension that specifies a relationship type)

```
MATCH (a:X)
RETURN size([(a)-[:T]->() | 1]) AS length
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.28.13 Get node degree via size of pattern comprehension that specifies multiple relationship types

Query specification (Get node degree via size of pattern comprehension that specifies multiple relationship types)

1 MATCH (a:X)
2 RETURN size([(a)-[:T|OTHER]->()] | 1)) AS length

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.14 Introducing new node variable in pattern comprehension

Query specification (Introducing new node variable in pattern comprehension)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN [(n)-[:T]->(b) | b.prop] AS list

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.28.15 Introducing new relationship variable in pattern comprehension

Query specification (Introducing new relationship variable in pattern comprehension)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN [(n)-[r:T]->() | r.prop] AS list

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.29 RemoveAcceptance

RemoveAcceptance

0 of 0

A.30 ReturnAcceptanceTest

ReturnAcceptanceTest

15 of 15

A.30.1 Allow addition

Query specification (Allow addition)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.id = 1337
3 RETURN a.version + 5

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Allow addition)

\[ \pi_{a\text{.version} + 5} \sigma_{a\text{.id} = 1337} \text{Dual} \neq \emptyset (a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Allow addition)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Allow addition)
A.30.2 Limit to two hits

Query specification (Limit to two hits)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n
3 LIMIT 2

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Limit to two hits)

$$\lambda_2 \pi_n \text{Dual } \neq \bigcirc(n)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Limit to two hits)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Limit to two hits)

A.30.3 Start the result from the second row

Query specification (Start the result from the second row)

```sql
1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n
3 ORDER BY n.name ASC
4 SKIP 2
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Start the result from the second row)

\[ \lambda^2 \tau_{\text{n.name}} \pi_{\text{n}} \text{Dual} \bowtie\neq \circ_{(n)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Start the result from the second row)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Start the result from the second row)

A.30.4 Start the result from the second row by param

Query specification (Start the result from the second row by param)

```
1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n
3 ORDER BY n.name ASC
4 SKIP $skipAmount
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Start the result from the second row by param)

\[
\lambda^{\$skipAmount} \tau_{\text{n.name}} \pi_{\text{n.name}} \text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc \text{(n)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Start the result from the second row by param)

\[ \Omega_n \]

\[ \langle n \rangle \]

\[ \langle \rangle \]

\[ \langle n \rangle \]

\[ \langle \rangle \]

\[ \langle \langle 0 \rangle n, \langle 1 \rangle n, \langle |n| \rangle \rangle \]

\[ \lambda \]

\[ \text{skipAmount} \]

\[ \langle n \rangle \]

\[ \langle \rangle \]

\[ \langle \langle 0 \rangle n, \langle 1 \rangle n, \langle |n| \rangle \rangle \]

\[ \tau \]

\[ \text{n.name} \]

\[ \langle n \rangle \]

\[ \langle \rangle \]

\[ \langle \langle 0 \rangle n, \langle 1 \rangle n, \langle |n| \rangle \rangle \]

\[ \pi \]

\[ \text{n} \]

\[ \langle n \rangle \]

\[ \langle \text{n.name} \rangle \]

\[ \langle \langle 0 \rangle n, \langle 1 \rangle n, \langle |n| \rangle \rangle \]

\[ \sigma \]

\[ \text{n} \]

\[ \langle n \rangle \]

\[ \langle \text{n.name} \rangle \]

\[ \langle \langle 0 \rangle n, \langle 1 \rangle n, \langle |n| \rangle \rangle \]
Incremental relational algebra tree (Start the result from the second row by param)

A.30.5 Get rows in the middle

Query specification (Get rows in the middle)

```
MATCH (n)
RETURN n
ORDER BY n.name ASC
SKIP 2
LIMIT 2
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Get rows in the middle)

$$\lambda^2_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{n.name}}} \pi_{\text{n.name}} \diamond \text{Dual} \bowtie \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(n)}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Get rows in the middle)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Get rows in the middle)

A.30.6 Get rows in the middle by param

Query specification (Get rows in the middle by param)

```sql
1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n
3 ORDER BY n.name ASC
4 SKIP $s
5 LIMIT $l
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Get rows in the middle by param)

\[ \lambda_{s_1} \tau_{\text{n.name}} \pi_{n} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Get rows in the middle by param)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Get rows in the middle by param)

A.30.7 Sort on aggregated function

Query specification (Sort on aggregated function)

1. MATCH (n)
2. RETURN n.division, max(n.age)
3. ORDER BY max(n.age)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Sort on aggregated function)

$$\tau_{\text{max}(n.age)} \gamma_{\text{n.division, max}(n.age)} \text{Dual} \bowtie \parr_{(n)}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Sort on aggregated function)

```
Ω_{n.division.max(n.age)}
(n.division._iname52)
(0{n.division._iname52})

τ_{max(n.age)}
(n.division._iname52)
(0{n.division._iname52})

γ_{n.division}
Ω_{n.division.max(n.age)}
(n.division._iname52)
(0{n.division._iname52})

(0{n, 1.n.division})
```

Incremental relational algebra tree (Sort on aggregated function)

```
Ω_{n.division.max(n.age)}
(n.division._iname52)
(0{n.division._iname52})

τ_{max(n.age)}
(n.division._iname52)
(0{n.division._iname52})

γ_{n.division}
Ω_{n.division.max(n.age)}
(n.division._iname52)
(0{n.division._iname52})

(0{n, 1.n.division})
```
A.30.8 Support sort and distinct

Query specification (Support sort and distinct)

1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN DISTINCT a
3 ORDER BY a.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Support sort and distinct)

\[ \tau_{\text{a.name}} \delta \pi_{\text{a}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{\text{a}} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Support sort and distinct)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Support sort and distinct)

A.30.9 Support column renaming

Query specification (Support column renaming)

```sql
1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN a AS ColumnName
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Support column renaming)

\[ \pi_{a \rightarrow Dual} \neq \bigcirc_{(a)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Support column renaming)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Support column renaming)

A.30.10 Support ordering by a property after being distinct-ified

Query specification (Support ordering by a property after being distinct-ified)

```
MATCH (a)-->(b)
RETURN DISTINCT b
ORDER BY b.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Support ordering by a property after being distinct-ified)

\[
\tau_{\mathit{b.name}} \delta \pi_{b} \mathcal{D} \leftarrow_{\mathord{\neq} e632} \uparrow_{(b)} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\mathord{\neq} e632
\end{array} \right] \bigcirc_{(a)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Support ordering by a property after being distinct-ified)
A.30. Return Acceptance Test

Incremental relational algebra tree (Support ordering by a property after being differentiated)

A.30.11 Arithmetic precedence test

Query specification (Arithmetic precedence test)

```
1 RETURN 12 / 4 * 3 - 2 * 4
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Arithmetic precedence test)

```
π_{12/4, 3-2, 4} Dual ▷ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Arithmetic precedence test)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Arithmetic precedence test)

A.30.12 Arithmetic precedence with parenthesis test

Query specification (Arithmetic precedence with parenthesis test)

```
1 RETURN 12 / 4 * (3 - 2 * 4)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Arithmetic precedence with parenthesis test)

```
π_{12/4,3-2,4}Dual ⊕ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Arithmetic precedence with parenthesis test)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Arithmetic precedence with parenthesis test)

A.30.13 Count star should count everything in scope

Query specification (Count star should count everything in scope)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN a, count(*)
3 ORDER BY count(*)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Count star should count everything in scope)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Count star should count everything in scope)
A.30.14 Absolute function

Query specification (Absolute function)

1 RETURN abs(-1)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Absolute function)

\[ \pi_{\text{abs(NULL)}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Absolute function)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Absolute function)

A.30.15 Return collection size

Query specification (Return collection size)

1 RETURN size([1, 2, 3]) AS n
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Return collection size)

\[ \pi_{\text{size}(1,2,3) \rightarrow n} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Return collection size)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Return collection size)
A.31.1 Do not fail when returning type of deleted relationships

**Query specification** (Do not fail when returning type of deleted relationships)

1. MATCH ()-[r]->()
2. DELETE r
3. RETURN type(r)

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Do not fail when returning type of deleted relationships)

\[ \chi_r \pi_{\text{type}(r)} \Delta \not\equiv_r \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \_e634 \\ \_e633 \end{array} \right\} [r] \bigcirc \{\_e633\} \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Do not fail when returning type of deleted relationships)
A.31. Return Acceptance

**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Do not fail when returning type of deleted relationships)

```
Ω_{type(r)}
  ⟨_iname57⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0_iname57⟩
π_{type(r)}
  ⟨_iname57⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0#_iname57⟩
χ_r
  ⟨_iname57⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0_iname57⟩
⇑(e634)
(⌜r⌝)
  ⟨_e633⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨0_e633⟩
```

A.31.2 Accept valid Unicode literal

**Query specification** (Accept valid Unicode literal)

```
RETURN 'u01FF' AS a
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Accept valid Unicode literal)

```
π_{ǿ→a}Dual ⊗ Dual
```

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Accept valid Unicode literal)

```
Ω_a
  ⟨a⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨()a⟩
π_{ǿ→a}
  ⟨a⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨()a⟩
Dual
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨⟩
```
Incremental relational algebra tree (Accept valid Unicode literal)

A.31.3 LIMIT 0 should return an empty result

Query specification (LIMIT 0 should return an empty result)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n
3 LIMIT 0

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (LIMIT 0 should return an empty result)

\[ \lambda_0 \pi_n \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc \rho_a \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (LIMIT 0 should return an empty result)

Incremental relational algebra tree (LIMIT 0 should return an empty result)
### A.31.4 Ordering with aggregation

**Query specification** (Ordering with aggregation)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN n.name, count(*) AS foo
ORDER BY n.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Ordering with aggregation)

\[
\sigma_{n\text{.name}} \pi_{n\text{.name}} \gamma_{n\text{.name}} \left( n\text{.name}, \text{count}(*), \text{foo} \right) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Ordering with aggregation)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Ordering with aggregation)

A.31.5 DISTINCT on nullable values

Query specification (DISTINCT on nullable values)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN DISTINCT n.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT on nullable values)

$$\delta_{\pi_{n.name}}^{\text{Dual}} \not\equiv \bigcirc(n)$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT on nullable values)

Incremental relational algebra tree (DISTINCT on nullable values)
A.31.6 Return all variables

Query specification (Return all variables)

```
MATCH p = (a:Start)-->(b)
RETURN *
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.31.7 `sqrt()` returning float values

Query specification (`sqrt()` returning float values)

```
RETURN sqrt(12.96)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (`sqrt()` returning float values)

\[ \pi_{\sqrt{12.96}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (`sqrt()` returning float values)
Incremental relational algebra tree ('sqrt()' returning float values)

\[
\Omega_{\text{sqrt}(12.96)}
\left(_{\text{name58}}\right)
\]
\[
\left(\_\text{name58}\right)
\]
\[
\pi_{\text{sqrt}(12.96)}
\left(_{\text{name58}}\right)
\]
\[
\left(\_\text{name58}\right)
\]
\[
\text{Dual}
\]
\[
\left(\_\text{name58}\right)
\]
\[
\left(\_\text{name58}\right)
\]

A.31.8 Arithmetic expressions inside aggregation

Query specification (Arithmetic expressions inside aggregation)

1. MATCH (me)-[r1:ATE]->()<-[r2:ATE]->(you)
2. WHERE me.name = 'Michael'
3. WITH me, count(DISTINCT r1) AS H1, count(DISTINCT r2) AS H2, you
4. MATCH (me)-[r1:ATE]->()<-[r2:ATE]->(you)
5. RETURN me, you, sum(((1 - abs(r1.times / H1 - r2.times / H2)) * (r1.times + r2.times)) / (H1 + H2)) AS sum

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Arithmetic expressions inside aggregation)

\[\sigma_{\text{name} = \text{Michael}}\text{Dual} \bowtie_{\_\text{e636} \neq \_\text{e635}}\]
\[\downarrow \text{you} \uparrow \text{me} \text{.name} \Rightarrow \text{count(r1) \rightarrow H1, count(r2) \rightarrow H2, you}
\]
\[\sigma_{\_\text{e635} \neq \_\text{e636}}\downarrow \text{you} \uparrow \text{me} \text{.name} \Rightarrow \text{count(r1) \rightarrow H1, count(r2) \rightarrow H2, you}
\]
\[\circ_{\_\text{e635} \neq \_\text{e636}}\downarrow \text{you} \uparrow \text{me} \text{.name} \Rightarrow \text{count(r1) \rightarrow H1, count(r2) \rightarrow H2, you}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Arithmetic expressions inside aggregation)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Arithmetic expressions inside aggregation)
A.31.9 Matching and disregarding output, then matching again

**Query specification** (Matching and disregarding output, then matching again)

```
MATCH ()-->()
WITH 1 AS x
MATCH ()-[r1]->()<-()
RETURN sum(r1.times)
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Matching and disregarding output, then matching again)

\[
\gamma_{\text{sum}(r1\text{.times})} \pi_{1 \rightarrow r} \text{Dual} \bowtie_{\neq_{e639} \uparrow_{e639}} (_{e639} \bowtie_{e637} [_{e637} \bowtie_{e643} [_{e643} \uparrow_{e641} (_{e641} \bowtie_{e640} [_{e640} \uparrow_{e641} r1] [_{r1}])])}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Matching and disregarding output, then matching again)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Matching and disregarding output, then matching again)

\[ \Omega_{\text{sum}(r1 \times)} \langle \_iname59 \rangle \langle \rangle \langle 0 \_iname59 \rangle \gamma_{\text{sum}(r1 \times)} \langle \_iname59 \rangle \langle \rangle \langle 0 \_iname59 \rangle \]

\[ \bowtie \{ \} \langle x, \_e640, r1, \_e641, \_e642, \_e643 \rangle \langle \rangle \langle 0 \_e640, 1 \_e641, 2 \_e642, 3 \_e643 \rangle : \langle \rangle \]

\[ \pi_{1 \rightarrow x} \langle x \rangle \langle 0 \rangle \langle 0 \_x \rangle \]

\[ \not=_{r1 \_e643} \langle \_e640, r1, \_e641, \_e642, \_e643 \rangle \langle \rangle \langle 0 \_e640, r1, 2 \_e641, 3 \_e642, 4 \_e643 \rangle \]

\[ \bowtie \{ \_e641 \} \langle _e640, r1, \_e641, \_e642, \_e643 \rangle \langle \rangle \langle 0 \_e640, r1, 2 \_e641, 3 \_e642, 4 \_e643 \rangle \]

\[ (2) : (2) \]

A.31.10 Returning a list property

Query specification (Returning a list property)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning a list property)

\[ \pi_n \text{Dual} \not= \bigcirc_{(a)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning a list property)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning a list property)

A.31.11 Returning a projected map

Query specification (Returning a projected map)

```plaintext
RETURN {a: 1, b: 'foo'}
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning a projected map)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}} \]
A.31.12 Returning an expression

Query specification (Returning an expression)

```sql
1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN exists(a.id), a IS NOT NULL
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning an expression)

\[ \pi_{\text{exists}(a.id), \text{NULL}} \text{Dual} \neq \text{O}(a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning an expression)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning an expression)

A.31.13 Concatenating and returning the size of literal lists

Query specification (Concatenating and returning the size of literal lists)

```
RETURN size([[[], []] + [[]]]) AS l
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.31.14 Limiting amount of rows when there are fewer left than the LIMIT argument

**Query specification** (Limiting amount of rows when there are fewer left than the LIMIT argument)

```sql
MATCH (a)
RETURN a.count
ORDER BY a.count
SKIP 10
LIMIT 10
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Limiting amount of rows when there are fewer left than the LIMIT argument)

\[ \lambda_{10}^{10} \tau_{a.\text{count}} \pi_{a.\text{count}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a)} \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Limiting amount of rows when there are fewer left than the LIMIT argument)
A.31. ReturnAcceptance2

**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Limiting amount of rows when there are fewer left than the LIMIT argument)

![Incremental relational algebra tree diagram]

A.31.15 ‘substring()’ with default second argument

**Query specification** (‘substring()’ with default second argument)

```sql
RETURN substring('0123456789', 1) AS s
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (‘substring()’ with default second argument)

\[ \pi_{\text{substring}}('0123456789',1) \rightarrow s \quad \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘substring()’ with default second argument)

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘substring()’ with default second argument)

A.31.16 Returning all variables with ordering

Query specification (Returning all variables with ordering)

```
1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN *
3 ORDER BY n.id
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returning all variables with ordering)

\[ \tau_{\text{in.id}} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \neq \bigcirc_{(n)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returning all variables with ordering)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Returning all variables with ordering)
A.31.17 Using aliased DISTINCT expression in ORDER BY

Query specification (Using aliased DISTINCT expression in ORDER BY)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN DISTINCT n.id AS id
ORDER BY id DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Using aliased DISTINCT expression in ORDER BY)

$$\tau_{\text{id}} \pi_{\text{n}.id \rightarrow \text{id}} \triangleright\left\bowtie \nabla \emptyset \bigcirc_{(n)}$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Using aliased DISTINCT expression in ORDER BY)

![Relational Algebra Tree](image-url)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Using aliased DISTINCT expression in ORDER BY)

\[ \Omega_{\text{id}} \]
\[ \sigma_{\text{id}} \]
\[ \tau_{\text{id}} \]
\[ \delta \]
\[ \pi_{n.\text{id} \rightarrow \text{id}} \]

A.31.18 Returned columns do not change from using ORDER BY

Query specification (Returned columns do not change from using ORDER BY)

```
MATCH (n)
RETURN DISTINCT n
ORDER BY n.id
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Returned columns do not change from using ORDER BY)

\[ \tau_{n.\text{id}} \delta \pi_{n.\text{Dual} \triangleleft \neq} \bigcirc (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Returned columns do not change from using ORDER BY)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Returned columns do not change from using ORDER BY)

A.31.19 Arithmetic expressions should propagate null values

Query specification (Arithmetic expressions should propagate null values)

```sql
RETURN 1 + (2 - (3 * (4 / (5 ^ (6 % null))))) AS a
```

Cannot parse query.

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.31.20 Indexing into nested literal lists

Query specification (Indexing into nested literal lists)

```sql
RETURN [[1]][0][0]
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Indexing into nested literal lists)

\[ \pi_{\text{num}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Indexing into nested literal lists)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Indexing into nested literal lists)

A.31.21 Aliasing expressions

Query specification (Aliasing expressions)

1 MATCH (a)
2 RETURN a.id AS a, a.id

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Aliasing expressions)

\[ \pi_{\text{id} \rightarrow \text{id}, \text{id}} \text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc (a) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Aliasing expressions)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Aliasing expressions)

A.31.22 Projecting an arithmetic expression with aggregation

Query specification (Projecting an arithmetic expression with aggregation)

```
MATCH (a)
RETURN a, count(a) + 3
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Projecting an arithmetic expression with aggregation)

\[ \gamma^{a}_{\text{count}(a)+3} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(a) \]
A.31.23 Multiple aliasing and backreferencing

Query specification (Multiple aliasing and backreferencing)

```
1 CREATE (m {id: 0})
2 WITH {first: m.id} AS m
3 WITH {second: m.first} AS m
4 RETURN m.second
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.31.24 Aggregating by a list property has a correct definition of equality

**Query specification** (Aggregating by a list property has a correct definition of equality)

1. MATCH (a)
2. WITH a.a AS a, count(*) AS count
3. RETURN count

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Aggregating by a list property has a correct definition of equality)

\[ \pi_{\text{count}} \gamma_{a.a \rightarrow a.\text{count}()} \land \text{count} \Rightarrow \circ(a) \otimes \text{Dual} \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Aggregating by a list property has a correct definition of equality)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Aggregating by a list property has a correct definition of equality)

```
Ω_{\text{count}}
\langle \text{count} \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle \text{count} \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle \text{a}, \text{count} \rangle
\langle \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle \text{a}, \#1 \rangle
\langle \text{a} \rangle
\langle \text{a} \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle 0 \rangle
\langle \text{a}, \text{a} \rangle
\langle \text{a}, \text{a} \rangle
```

A.31.25 Reusing variable names

Query specification (Reusing variable names)

```sql
MATCH (person:Person)<--(message)<-[like]-(:Person)
WITH like.creationDate AS likeTime, person AS person
ORDER BY likeTime, message.id
WITH head(collect({likeTime: likeTime})) AS latestLike, person AS person
RETURN latestLike.likeTime AS likeTime
ORDER BY likeTime
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.31.26 Concatenating lists of same type

Query specification (Concatenating lists of same type)

```sql
RETURN [1, 10, 100] + [4, 5] AS foo
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.31.27 Appending lists of same type

Query specification (Appending lists of same type)

```sql
RETURN [false, true] + false AS foo
```
Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.31.28  **DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with lists in maps**

**Query specification** (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with lists in maps)

```sql
MATCH (n)
RETURN count(DISTINCT {foo: n.list}) AS count
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with lists in maps)

\[ γ_{\text{count}(\text{NULL}) \rightarrow \text{count}} \] Dual \[ \neq \] \[ \bigcirc(n) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with lists in maps)
Incremental relational algebra tree (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with lists in maps)

A.31.29 Handling DISTINCT with lists in maps

Query specification (Handling DISTINCT with lists in maps)

```
1 MATCH (n)
2 WITH DISTINCT {foo: n.list} AS map
3 RETURN count(*)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling DISTINCT with lists in maps)

$$\gamma_{count(*)} \delta_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{map}} \circ \text{Dual} \not\equiv \emptyset \circ (n) \circ \text{Dual}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling DISTINCT with lists in maps)
A.31.30 DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists in maps

Query specification (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists in maps)

```
MATCH (n)
RETURN count(DISTINCT {foo: [[n.list, n.list], [n.list, n.list]]}) AS count
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists in maps)

\[ \gamma_{\text{count}(\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{map})}^{\text{count}} \bowtie_{\neq} (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists in maps)

Incremental relational algebra tree (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists in maps)

A.31.31 DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists of maps in maps

Query specification (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists of maps in maps)

1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN count(DISTINCT {foo: [{bar: n.list}, {baz: {apa: n.list}}]}) AS count

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists of maps in maps)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists of maps in maps)

Incremental relational algebra tree (DISTINCT inside aggregation should work with nested lists of maps in maps)

A.32 SemanticErrorAcceptance

A.32.1 Handling property access on the Any type

Query specification (Handling property access on the Any type)

1 WITH [{prop: 0}, 1] AS list
2 RETURN (list[0]).prop
Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.32.2 Bad arguments for ‘range( )’

Query specification (Bad arguments for ‘range( )’)

```
1 RETURN range(2, 8, 0)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Bad arguments for ‘range( )’)

\[
\pi_{\text{range}(2,8,0)} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Bad arguments for ‘range( )’)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Bad arguments for ‘range( )’)

\[
\Omega_{\text{range}(2,8,0)}
\]

\[
\pi_{\text{range}(2,8,0)}
\]

\[
\text{Dual}
\]
A.33 SetAcceptance

A.34 SkipLimitAcceptanceTest

A.34.1 SKIP with an expression that does not depend on variables

Query specification (SKIP with an expression that does not depend on variables)

```sql
1 MATCH (n)
2 WITH n SKIP toInteger(rand()*9)
3 WITH count(*) AS count
4 RETURN count > 0 AS nonEmpty
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.34.2 LIMIT with an expression that does not depend on variables

Query specification (LIMIT with an expression that does not depend on variables)

```sql
1 MATCH (n)
2 WITH n LIMIT toInteger(ceil(1.7))
3 RETURN count(*) AS count
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.35 StartingPointAcceptance

A.35.1 Find all nodes

Query specification (Find all nodes)

```sql
1 MATCH (n)
2 RETURN n
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Find all nodes)

$$\pi_n Dual \neq \bigcirc (n)$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Find all nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Find all nodes)

A.35.2 Find labelled nodes

Query specification (Find labelled nodes)

1 MATCH (n:Animal)
2 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Find labelled nodes)

\[ \pi_{\text{Dual}} \bowtie \not\equiv \bigodot_{n: \text{Animal}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Find labelled nodes)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Find labelled nodes)

A.35.3 Find nodes by property

Query specification (Find nodes by property)
1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE n.prop = 2
3 RETURN n

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Find nodes by property)

\[ \pi_n \sigma_{n.prop=2} \Join \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(n)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Find nodes by property)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Find nodes by property)
A.36.1 Finding exact matches

Query specification (Finding exact matches)

```sql
MATCH (a)
WHERE a.name STARTS WITH 'ABCDEF'
RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding exact matches)

\[
\pi_a \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(a, \text{"ABCDEF")}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{ } \bigcirc (a)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding exact matches)
A.36.2 Finding beginning of string

Query specification (Finding beginning of string)

```sql
MATCH (a)
WHERE a.name STARTS WITH 'ABC'
RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding beginning of string)

$$\pi_a \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(a\text{.name}, \text{"ABC"})} \bigcirc (a)$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding beginning of string)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding beginning of string)
A.36.3  Finding end of string 1

Query specification (Finding end of string 1)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name ENDS WITH 'DEF'
3 RETURN a

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding end of string 1)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{\text{endsWith}(a.\text{name}, \text{'DEF'})}(\text{Dual} \neq \bigcirc(a)) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding end of string 1)
A.36.4 Finding end of string 2

Query specification (Finding end of string 2)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name ENDS WITH 'AB'
3 RETURN a

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding end of string 2)

$$\pi_a \sigma_{\text{endsWith}(a.\text{name}, "AB")} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(a)$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding end of string 2)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding end of string 2)
A.36.5 Finding middle of string

Query specification (Finding middle of string)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name STARTS WITH 'a'
3 AND a.name ENDS WITH 'f'
4 RETURN a

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding middle of string)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(a\text{.name}, 'a') \land \text{endsWith}(a\text{.name}, 'f')} \decl \neq \omp (a) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding middle of string)
A.36.6 Finding the empty string

Query specification (Finding the empty string)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name STARTS WITH ''
3 RETURN a

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding the empty string)

\[
\pi_a \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(a \text{.name},'')} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding the empty string)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding the empty string)
A.36.7 Finding when the middle is known

Query specification (Finding when the middle is known)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name CONTAINS 'CD'
3 RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding when the middle is known)

\[
\pi_a \sigma_{\text{contains}(a, \text{"CD"})} \text{Dual} \times \not\equiv \bigcirc(a)
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding when the middle is known)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding when the middle is known)

A.36.8 Finding strings starting with whitespace

Query specification (Finding strings starting with whitespace)

1. MATCH (a)
2. WHERE a.name STARTS WITH ' '
3. RETURN a.name AS name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding strings starting with whitespace)

\[
\pi_{a.\text{name}} \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(a.\text{name}, \text{"CD")}}^\land (\text{Dual} \times \varnothing (a))
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding strings starting with whitespace)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding strings starting with whitespace)
A.36.9 Finding strings starting with newline

Query specification (Finding strings starting with newline)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name STARTS WITH '\n'
3 RETURN a.name AS name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding strings starting with newline)

\[ \pi_{a \cdot \text{name}} \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(a \cdot \text{name}, '\n')} \] Dual \[\bigtriangleup\quad \nabla \quad \bigcirc (a)\]  

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding strings starting with newline)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding strings starting with newline)

A.36.10 Finding strings ending with newline

Query specification (Finding strings ending with newline)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name ENDS WITH '\n'
3 RETURN a.name AS name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding strings ending with newline)

\[
\pi_{\text{name}} \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(\text{name}, \text{"\n")}} \diamond \circ (a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding strings ending with newline)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding strings ending with newline)
A.36.11 Finding strings ending with whitespace

Query specification (Finding strings ending with whitespace)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name ENDS WITH ' '
3 RETURN a.name AS name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding strings ending with whitespace)

\[ \pi_{a.name \rightarrow \text{name}} \sigma_{\text{endsWith}(a.name, ' ') \neq \emptyset} (a) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding strings ending with whitespace)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding strings ending with whitespace)

A.36.12 Finding strings containing whitespace

Query specification (Finding strings containing whitespace)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name CONTAINS ' ' 
3 RETURN a.name AS name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding strings containing whitespace)

\[
\pi_{a.name \rightarrow name} \sigma_{\text{endsWith(a.name, } \ast \text{)}} (a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding strings containing whitespace)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Finding strings containing whitespace)
A.36.13 Finding strings containing newline

Query specification (Finding strings containing newline)

```sql
1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name CONTAINS '\n'
3 RETURN a.name AS name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Finding strings containing newline)

\[ \pi_{a.name} \sigma_{\text{contains}(a.name, '\n')} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Finding strings containing newline)
A.36.14 No string starts with null

Query specification (No string starts with null)

```
MATCH (a)
WHERE a.name STARTS WITH null
RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (No string starts with null)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{\text{startsWith}(a.\text{name}, \text{NULL})} \text{Dual} \circ \left( \bigcirc \right) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (No string starts with null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (No string starts with null)
A.36.15 No string does not start with null

Query specification  (No string does not start with null)

\begin{verbatim}
MATCH (a)
WHERE NOT a.name STARTS WITH null
RETURN a
\end{verbatim}

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation  (No string does not start with null)

\begin{align*}
\pi_a \sigma_{\neg \text{startsWith}(a\text{.name}, \text{NULL})} \text{Dual} \triangledown \neq \bigcirc (a)
\end{align*}

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation  (No string does not start with null)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (No string does not start with null)

```
Ωa
 ⟨a⟩
 ⟨⟩
 ⟨(a)⟩

πa
 ⟨a⟩
 ⟨⟩
 ⟨(a)⟩

σ¬(startsWith(a.name, NULL))
 ⟨a⟩
 ⟨⟩
 ⟨(a,a.name)⟩
```

### A.36.16 No string ends with null

**Query specification** (No string ends with null)

```
MATCH (a)
WHERE a.name ENDS WITH null
RETURN a
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (No string ends with null)

\[
\pi_a \sigma_{\neg \text{startsWith}(a.\text{name}, \text{NULL})} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc(a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (No string ends with null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (No string ends with null)
A.36.17 No string does not end with null

Query specification (No string does not end with null)

```
1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE NOT a.name ENDS WITH null
3 RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (No string does not end with null)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{\neg (\text{endsWith}(a.\text{name}, \text{NULL}))} \text{Dual} \Delta \not\equiv \bigcirc(a) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (No string does not end with null)
Incremental relational algebra tree (No string does not end with null)

A.36.18 No string contains null

Query specification (No string contains null)

```sql
1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE a.name CONTAINS null
3 RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (No string contains null)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{\neg (\text{endsWith(a.name, NULL))}} \]

\[ \exists \neq \bigcirc \](a)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (No string contains null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (No string contains null)
A.36.19 No string does not contain null

Query specification (No string does not contain null)

```
MATCH (a)
WHERE NOT a.name CONTAINS null
RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (No string does not contain null)

$$\pi_a \sigma_{\neg \text{contains}(a.\text{name}, \text{NULL})} \text{Dual} \nsubseteq \bigcirc (a)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (No string does not contain null)
**A.36.20 Combining string operators**

**Query specification** (Combining string operators)

```
MATCH (a)
WHERE a.name STARTS WITH 'A'
AND a.name CONTAINS 'C'
AND a.name ENDS WITH 'EF'
RETURN a
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Combining string operators)

$$\pi_{\text{startsWith}}(a)\cap\text{contains}(a, \text{"C"})\cap\text{endsWith}(a, \text{"EF"})\Delta \neq \emptyset \quad \Omega_{\text{a}}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Combining string operators)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Combining string operators)
A.36.21 NOT with CONTAINS

Query specification (NOT with CONTAINS)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WHERE NOT a.name CONTAINS 'b'
3 RETURN a

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (NOT with CONTAINS)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{\neg(\text{contains}(a.\text{name},'b'))} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (NOT with CONTAINS)
A.36. StartsWithAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (NOT with CONTAINS)

A.36.22 Handling non-string operands for STARTS WITH

Query specification (Handling non-string operands for STARTS WITH)

```
1 WITH [1, 3.14, true, [], {}, null] AS operands
2 UNWIND operands AS op1
3 UNWIND operands AS op2
4 WITH op1 STARTS WITH op2 AS v
5 RETURN v, count(*)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.36.23 Handling non-string operands for CONTAINS

Query specification (Handling non-string operands for CONTAINS)

```
1 WITH [1, 3.14, true, [], {}, null] AS operands
2 UNWIND operands AS op1
3 UNWIND operands AS op2
4 WITH op1 STARTS WITH op2 AS v
5 RETURN v, count(*)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.36.24 Handling non-string operands for ENDS WITH

Query specification (Handling non-string operands for ENDS WITH)

```sql
WITH [1, 3.14, true, [], {}, null] AS operands
UNWIND operands AS op1
UNWIND operands AS op2
WITH op1 STARTS WITH op2 AS v
RETURN v, count(*)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.37 SyntaxErrorAcceptance

A.38 TernaryLogicAcceptanceTest

A.38.1 The inverse of a null is a null

Query specification (The inverse of a null is a null)

```sql
RETURN NOT null AS value
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (The inverse of a null is a null)

```
πNULL→valueDual ▷ Dual
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (The inverse of a null is a null)
Incremental relational algebra tree (The inverse of a null is a null)

A.38.2 A literal null IS null

Query specification (A literal null IS null)

```
RETURN null IS NULL AS value
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (A literal null IS null)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{value}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (A literal null IS null)
Incremental relational algebra tree (A literal null IS null)

A.38.3 A literal null is not IS NOT null

Query specification (A literal null is not IS NOT null)

```sql
SELECT null IS NOT NULL AS value
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (A literal null is not IS NOT null)

```
πNULL→value Dual ⊞ Dual
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (A literal null is not IS NOT null)
A.39  TriadicSelection

Incremental relational algebra tree (A literal null is not IS NOT null)

A.38.4  It is unknown - i.e. null - if a null is equal to a null

Query specification (It is unknown - i.e. null - if a null is equal to a null)

```
1 RETURN null = null AS value
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.38.5  It is unknown - i.e. null - if a null is not equal to a null

Query specification (It is unknown - i.e. null - if a null is not equal to a null)

```
1 RETURN null <> null AS value
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.39  TriadicSelection

TriadicSelection

A.39.1  Handling triadic friend of a friend

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c)
2 RETURN c.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend)

```
\pi_{c.name}\text{Dual} \Join \neq_{e646, e647} (c)^{b} (b)^{a} [(c)]_{e647} \cup \{b\}_{e646}: \text{KNOWS} \cap (a, A)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend)
A.39.2 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend

Query specification

```sql
MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c)
OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[:KNOWS]->(c)
WITH c WHERE r IS NULL
RETURN c.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation

```sql
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r=NULL} \Pi_{ Dual \bigtriangleup \neq \_e649 \_e648 \uparrow \_e649} \uparrow \_e647 \uparrow \_e648:KNOWS \Join_{(a: A) \bowtie \neq r} \uparrow \_r:KNOWS \Join_{(a: A) \bowtie Dual}
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend)
A.39.3 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different relationship type

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different relationship type)

1. MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:FOLLOWS]->(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NULL
4. RETURN c.name

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different relationship type)

\[
\pi_{c\text{.name}} \sigma_r = \text{NULL} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \triangleleft \not= e_{651}\downarrow e_{650} \uparrow (c) \quad \forall (\overset{(b)}{\_e651}) \uparrow (a) \quad \forall (\overset{(a)}{\_e650}: \text{KNOWS}) \quad \bigcirc (a: A) \quad \nexists r \\
\uparrow (c) [r: \text{FOLLOWS}] \bigcirc (a: A) \triangleleft \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different relationship type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different relationship type)
A.39.4 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with superset of relationship type

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with superset of relationship type)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r]->(c)
3 WITH c WHERE r IS NULL
4 RETURN c.name
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with superset of relationship type)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r=NULL} \Pi_{[\text{KNOWS}]} \land e653 \land e652 \uparrow (c) \land e653 \uparrow (b) \land e652: A \land e652 \land e653: A \land e652 \land e653: A \land e652 \land e653: A \land e652 \land e653: A \\
\text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with superset of relationship type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with superset of relationship type)

\[
\Omega_c.\text{name} \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\pi_c.\text{name} \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 1 \rangle \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\sigma_{r=NULL} \\
\langle c \rangle \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle 1 \rangle \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\Delta \ominus \{a, c\} \\
\langle a, c, \_e652, b, \_e653, c, r \rangle \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle 4 \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle a, \_e652, b, \_e653, c, r \rangle \\
\Delta \ominus \{b\} \\
\langle a, \_e652, b, \_e653, c \rangle \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle 2 \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle a, \_e652, b, \_e653, c, r \rangle \\
\triangleright (a, A) \ominus \{a\} \\
\langle \_e652: \text{KNOWS} \rangle \\
\langle a, \_e652, b \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle a, 1 \rangle \_e652, b \rangle \\
\triangleright (b) \ominus \{b\} \\
\langle \_e653 \rangle \\
\langle b, \_e653, c \rangle \\
\langle c.\text{name} \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle b, 1 \rangle \_e653, c \rangle \\
\triangleright (c) \ominus \{c\} \\
\langle \_e653 \rangle \\
\langle a, r, c \rangle \\
\langle r \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle a, 1 \rangle r, c \rangle \\
\triangleright (a, A) \ominus \{a\} \\
\langle \_e652 \rangle \\
\langle a, \_e652, b \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle a, 1 \rangle \_e652, b \rangle
A.39.5 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of relationship type

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)

1. `MATCH (a:A)-->(b)-->(c)`
2. `OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)`
3. `WITH c WHERE r IS NULL`
4. `RETURN c.name`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)

\[ \pi_{c.name}^{\neg r = \text{NULL}} \pi_c \text{Dual} \sqcap_{\neq_{\text{e654}}}^{(c)} \uparrow_{(a):A} \sqcap_{\neq_{\text{e654}}}^{(b)} \uparrow_{(a):A} \sqcap_{\neg r}^{(c)} [r:KNOWS] \circ_{(a):A} \sqcap \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)
A.39.6 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with explicit subset of relationship type

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)

1. MATCH \((a:A)\)-[:KNOWS|FOLLOWS]->(b)-->(c)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH \(a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)\)
3. WITH \(c\) WHERE \(r\) IS NULL
4. RETURN \(c\).name

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r=NULL} \pi_c \text{Dual} \uparrow \not\equiv_{e655,e656} \uparrow (c) \uparrow \not\equiv_{e656} \uparrow (b) \uparrow \not\equiv_{e655} \uparrow (a) \uparrow (\text{KNOWS } \lor \text{FOLLOWS}) \circ (a:A) \not\equiv \neq_r
\]

\[
\uparrow (c) \uparrow (r: \text{KNOWS}) \circ (a:A) \not\equiv \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)
A.39.7 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with same labels

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with same labels)

1. MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b:X)-->(c:X)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NULL
4. RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with same labels)

\[ \pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r=NULL} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \triangleleft_{\neq \_e657} \_e658 \uparrow (c: X) \_e658 \uparrow (b: X) \_e658 : \text{KNOWS} \circ (a: A) \triangleleft_{\neq r} \uparrow (c: X) \_e657 : \text{KNOWS} \circ (a: A) \triangleleft_{\text{Dual}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with same labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with same labels)
A.39.8 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different labels

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different labels)

1. MATCH (a:A)-[r:KNOWS]->(b:X)-->(c:Y)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NULL
4. RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different labels)

\[ \pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r = \text{NULL}} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \Join \neq \pi_{e659} \Join e660 \uparrow (c:Y) \uparrow (b:X) \uparrow (a:KNOWS) \Join (a:A) \Join \neq r \\
\uparrow (c:Y) [r:KNOWS] \Join (a:A) \Join \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with different labels)
A.39.9 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of labels

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of labels)

1. MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c:X)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NULL
4. RETURN c.name

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of labels)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r = \text{NULL}} \pi_{a} \text{Dual} \bowtie_\neq \sigma_\text{e661} \bowtie_\text{e662} \uparrow (c: X) \bowtie_\text{e662} \uparrow (b) \bowtie_\text{e661}: \text{KNOWS} \circ_\text{a:A} \bowtie_\neq \text{r}
\]

\[
\uparrow (c: X) \bowtie_\text{r:KNOWS} \circ_\text{a:A} \bowtie_\text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit subset of labels)
A.39.10 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit superset of labels

Query specification

1. MATCH (a:A)<-[:KNOWS]-(b:X)-->-(c)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]-(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NULL
4. RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation

\[ \pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r = \text{null}} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \neq \_e664 \_e663 \uparrow (c) \_e664 \uparrow (b: X) \_e663 : \text{KNOWS} \circ (a: A) \neq r \]

\[ \uparrow (a) [r : \text{KNOWS}] \circ (a : A) \triangleright \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit superset of labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is not a friend with implicit superset of labels)

\[\Omega_{c.name} \quad (c.name) \quad \emptyset \quad (\emptyset_{c.name})\]

\[\pi_{c.name} \quad (c.name) \quad \emptyset \quad (\emptyset_{c.name})\]

\[\sigma_{r=NULL} \quad (c) \quad (c.name) \quad (\emptyset_{c,1\ c.name})\]

\[\pi_{c} \quad (c) \quad (c.name) \quad (\emptyset_{c,1\ c.name})\]

\[\ni \{a, c\} \quad (a, _{e663, b, _e664, c, r}) \quad (c.name) \quad (\emptyset_{a,1\ _e663, 2\ _e664, 3\ _e664, 4\ c,5\ c.name, 6\ r}) \quad (0, 4) : (0, 2)\]

\[\neq_{e664, _e663} \quad (a, _{e663, b, _e664, c}) \quad (c.name) \quad (\emptyset_{a,1\ _e663, 2\ _e664, 3\ _e664, 4\ c,5\ c.name})\]

\[\ni \{b\} \quad (a, _{e663, b, _e664, c}) \quad (c.name) \quad (\emptyset_{a,1\ _e663, 2\ _e664, 3\ _e664, 4\ c,5\ c.name}) \quad (2) : (0)\]

\[\ni^{(b: X)}_{(a: A)} \quad [_{e663: KNOWS}] \quad (a, _{e663, b}) \quad (\emptyset_{a,1\ _e663, 2\ b})\]

\[\ni^{(c)}_{(b: X)} \quad [_{e664}] \quad (b, _{e664, c}) \quad (\emptyset_{b,1\ _e664, 2\ c,3\ c.name})\]

\[\ni^{(c)}_{(a: A)} \quad [_{r: KNOWS}] \quad (a, r, c) \quad (\emptyset_{a,1\ r,2\ c})\]
A.39.11 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend)

1. `MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c)`
2. `OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)`
3. `WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL`
4. `RETURN c.name`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r \neq \text{NULL}} \Pi_{\text{Dual}} \uparrow_{(c)} \left[ e\_e665 \right] \uparrow_{(b)} \left[ e\_e666 \right] \uparrow_{(a)} \left[ e\_e665: \text{KNOWS} \right] \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \uparrow_{r} \uparrow_{(c)} \left[ r: \text{KNOWS} \right] \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \uparrow_{\text{Dual}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend)
A.39.12 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different relationship type

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different relationship type)

1. \texttt{MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c)}
2. \texttt{OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:FOLLOWS]->(c)}
3. \texttt{WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL}
4. \texttt{RETURN c.name}

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different relationship type)

\[
\pi_{c.name}\sigma_{r\neq\text{NULL}}\pi_{r}\text{Dual} \bowtie \text{\_e668, \_e667} \uparrow^{(c)} \text{\_e668} \uparrow^{(b)} \text{\_e667: KNOWS} \land (a: A) \bowtie r
\]

\[
\uparrow^{(c)} [r: FOLLOWS] \land (a: A) \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different relationship type)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different relationship type)
A.39.13 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with superset of relationship type

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with superset of relationship type)

```sql
MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c)
OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r]->(c)
WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL
RETURN c.name
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with superset of relationship type)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_r \neq \text{NULL} \pi_z \text{Dual} \uparrow \neq \_e670, \_e669 \uparrow \_e670 \uparrow \_e669: \text{KNOWS} \bigcirc (a: A) \uparrow \neq r \uparrow (a) \bigcirc (a: A) \uparrow \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with superset of relationship type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with superset of relationship type)
A.39.14 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of relationship type

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)

1. MATCH (a:A)-->(b)--> (c)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL
4. RETURN c.name

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)

\[\pi_{c.name} \sigma_r \neq \text{NULL} \pi_c \Delta \neq \text{e671} \uparrow (c) \Delta \text{e671} \uparrow (b) \Delta \text{e671} \uparrow (a) \Delta \neq r \uparrow (a) [r: \text{KNOWS}] \Delta (a: A) \Delta \text{Dual}\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of relationship type)
A.39.15 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with explicit subset of relationship type

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)
1 MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS|FOLLOWS]->(b)-->(c)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3 WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL
4 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)
\[ \pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r \neq \text{null}} \pi_c \text{Dual} \Downarrow \neq_a e673 \land e672 \Downarrow (c) \Downarrow (b) \Downarrow (a) \Downarrow (c) : \text{KNOWS} \lor \text{FOLLOWS} \bowtie (a : A) \Downarrow \neq_r \]
\[ \Downarrow (c) : [r : \text{KNOWS}] \bowtie (a : A) \Downarrow \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with explicit subset of relationship type)
A.39.16 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with same labels

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with same labels)

1 MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b:X)-->(c:X)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3 WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL
4 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with same labels)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r \neq NULL} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \Join \neq_{e674} \neq_{e675} \uparrow (c:X) \left[ \_\_e675 \right] \uparrow (b:X) \left[ \_\_e674: \text{KNOWS} \right] \bowtie (a:A) \neq r \\
\uparrow (c:X) \left[ r: \text{KNOWS} \right] \bowtie (a:A) \Join \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with same labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with same labels)
A.39.17 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different labels

**Query specification** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different labels)

1. MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b:X)-->(c:Y)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]-->(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL
4. RETURN c.name

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different labels)

\[ \pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r \neq \text{NULL}} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \bowtie \neq_{\text{e677}} \neq_{\text{e676}} \uparrow_{(a: A)} \bowleft_{\text{KNOWS}} \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \bowtie_{\text{Dual}} \]

\[ \uparrow_{(c: Y)} \bigcirc_{b: X} \bowleft_{\text{KNOWS}} \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \bowtie_{\text{Dual}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different labels)

\[ \Omega_{\text{c.name}} \]
\[ \pi_{\text{c.name}} \]
\[ \sigma_{r \neq \text{NULL}} \]
\[ \pi_{\text{c}} \]
\[ \exists \{a, c\} \]
\[ \neq_{\text{e677}, \text{e676}} \]
\[ \uparrow \{c: \text{Y}\} \]
\[ \neq_{\text{e677}, \text{e676}} \]
\[ \uparrow \{b: \text{X}\} \]
\[ \uparrow \{a: \text{A}\} \]

\[ (0, 4) : (0, 2) \]
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with different labels)
A.39.18 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of labels

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of labels)

1 MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b)-->(c:X)
2 OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3 WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL
4 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of labels)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r \neq \text{NULL}} \pi_c \left( \left[ e_{679} \right] \uparrow (c : X) \cap \left[ e_{678} \right] \uparrow (b) \cap \left[ e_{678} : \text{KNOWS} \right] \circ (a : A) \right) \neq r \]

\[
\uparrow (c : X) \cap [r : \text{KNOWS}] \circ (a : A) \propto \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit subset of labels)
A.39.19 Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit superset of labels

Query specification (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit superset of labels)

1. MATCH (a:A)-[:KNOWS]->(b:X)-->(c)
2. OPTIONAL MATCH (a)-[r:KNOWS]->(c)
3. WITH c WHERE r IS NOT NULL
4. RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit superset of labels)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \sigma_{r \neq NULL} \pi_c \Delta \left( \left( \left( \left( (c) \upharpoonright (b : X) \upharpoonright (a) \right) \left( \left( \left( b : X \right) \left( a \right) \right) \left( r \right) \right) \left( a \right) \right) \left( A \right) \right) \right)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit superset of labels)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling triadic friend of a friend that is a friend with implicit superset of labels)
A.40 TypeConversionFunctions

A.40.1 `toBoolean()` on valid literal string

Query specification (`toBoolean()` on valid literal string)

```
1 RETURN toBoolean('true') AS b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (`toBoolean()` on valid literal string)

\[
\pi_{\text{toBoolean('true')}\rightarrow b} \Delta \sqcap \Delta
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (`toBoolean()` on valid literal string)

```
\Omega_b
(b)
()
\langle (b) \rangle
π_{\text{toBoolean('true')}\rightarrow b}
(b)
()
\langle (b) \rangle
\Delta
()
()
()

\]
```

Incremental relational algebra tree (`toBoolean()` on valid literal string)

```
\Omega_b
(b)
()
\langle (b) \rangle
π_{\text{toBoolean('true')}\rightarrow b}
(b)
()
\langle (b) \rangle
\Delta
()
()
()

\]
```
### A.40.2 'toBoolean()' on booleans

**Query specification ('toBoolean()' on booleans)**

```latex
UNWIND [true, false] AS b
RETURN toBoolean(b) AS b
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toBoolean()' on booleans)**

\[ \pi_{\text{toBoolean}(b) \rightarrow b} \omega_{\text{NULL,NULL} \rightarrow b} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toBoolean()' on booleans)**

```
\[ \Omega_{b} \]
\[
\mid \langle b \rangle
\mid \langle \rangle
\mid \langle \rangle
dual
\]
\[
\pi_{\text{toBoolean}(b) \rightarrow b} \]
\[
\mid \langle b \rangle
\mid \langle \rangle
\mid \langle \rangle
\]
\[
\omega_{\text{NULL,NULL} \rightarrow b} \]
\[
\mid \langle \rangle
\mid \langle \rangle
\mid \langle \rangle
dual
\]
```
Incremental relational algebra tree ('toBoolean()' on booleans)

A.40.3 'toBoolean()' on variables with valid string values

Query specification ('toBoolean()' on variables with valid string values)

1 UNWIND ['true', 'false'] AS s
2 RETURN toBoolean(s) AS b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toBoolean()' on variables with valid string values)

\[ \pi_{\text{toBoolean}(s) \rightarrow b} \omega_{[\text{NULL, NULL}] \rightarrow b} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toBoolean()’ on variables with valid string values)

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toBoolean()’ on variables with valid string values)
A.40.4 ‘toBoolean()’ on invalid strings

Query specification (‘toBoolean()’ on invalid strings)

1. UNWIND [null, '', 'true', 'false'] AS things
2. RETURN toBoolean(things) AS b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toBoolean()’ on invalid strings)

\[ \pi_{\text{toBoolean}(\text{things}) \rightarrow b} \omega_{[\text{null}, '', 'true', 'false']} \rightarrow \text{things} \rightarrow \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toBoolean()’ on invalid strings)
Incremental relational algebra tree (`toBoolean()` on invalid strings)

\[
\Omega_b
\]
\[
\langle b \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \#0b \rangle
\]
\[
\pi_{\text{toBoolean}(\text{things}) \rightarrow b}
\]
\[
\langle b \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \#0b \rangle
\]
\[
\omega[\text{NULL},"",\text{true},\text{false}] \rightarrow \text{things}
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\text{Dual}
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \rangle
\]

A.40.5 ‘toInteger()’

Query specification (‘toInteger()’)

1. MATCH (p:Person { age: '42' })
2. WITH *
3. MATCH (n)
4. RETURN toInteger(n.age) AS age

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toInteger()’)

\[
\pi_{\text{toInt}(\text{n.age}) \rightarrow \text{age}} \pi_{p} \text{Dual} \bowtie \neq \emptyset (p: \text{Person}) \bowtie \neq \emptyset (n)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (`toInteger()`)
A.40.6 ‘toInteger()’ on float

Query specification (‘toInteger()’ on float)

```
1 WITH 82.9 AS weight
2 RETURN toInteger(weight)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toInteger()’ on float)

\[ \pi_{\text{toInt}}(\text{weight}) \pi_{\{82.9\} \to \text{weight}} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toInt()' on float)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('toInt()' on float)
A.40.7 ‘toInteger()’ returning null on non-numerical string

Query specification (‘toInteger()’ returning null on non-numerical string)

1 WITH 'foo' AS foo_string, '' AS empty_string
2 RETURN toInteger(foo_string) AS foo, toInteger(empty_string) AS empty

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toInteger()’ returning null on non-numerical string)

\[ \pi_{\text{toInt}(\text{foo\_string}) \rightarrow \text{foo}, \text{toInt}(\text{empty\_string}) \rightarrow \text{empty}} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toInteger()’ returning null on non-numerical string)
A.40. TypeConversionFunctions

Incremental relational algebra tree ('toInteger()' returning null on non-numerical string)

A.40.8 ‘toInteger()’ handling mixed number types

Query specification ('toInteger()' handling mixed number types)

```
1  WITH [2, 2.9] AS numbers
2  RETURN [n IN numbers | toInteger(n)] AS int_numbers
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toInteger()' handling mixed number types)

```
πNULL→int_numbersπ[2,2.9]→numbersDual ⊙ Dual ⊙ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toInteger()' handling mixed number types)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('toInteger()' handling mixed number types)
A.40.9 ‘toInteger()’ handling Any type

Query specification (‘toInteger()’ handling Any type)

```sql
1 WITH [2, 2.9, '1.7'] AS things
2 RETURN n IN things | toInteger(n) AS int_numbers
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toInteger()’ handling Any type)

\[
\pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{int\_numbers}} \pi_{[2, 2.9, '1.7'] \rightarrow \text{things}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toInteger()’ handling Any type)
Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toInteger()’ handling Any type)

A.40.10 ‘toInteger()’ on a list of strings

Query specification (‘toInteger()’ on a list of strings)

1 WITH ['2', '2.9', 'foo'] AS numbers
2 RETURN [n IN numbers | toInteger(n)] AS int_numbers

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toInteger()’ on a list of strings)

π[NULL→int_numbers]π[2,2.9,1.7]→things ⊕ Dual ⊕ Dual ⊕ Dual
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (`toInteger()` on a list of strings)

Incremental relational algebra tree (`toInteger()` on a list of strings)
A.40.11 ‘toInteger()’ on a complex-typed expression

Query specification ('toInteger()’ on a complex-typed expression)

```
1 RETURN toInteger(1 - {param}) AS result
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.40.12 ‘toFloat()’

Query specification ('toFloat()')

```
1 MATCH (m:Movie { rating: 4 })
2 WITH *
3 MATCH (n)
4 RETURN toFloat(n.rating) AS float
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toFloat()')

\[ \pi_{\text{toFloat}(\text{n.rating})\rightarrow \text{float}} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \circ \notin (\circ (\text{n}: \text{Movie}) \circ \notin \circ (\text{n})) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toFloat()')
Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toFloat()’)

A.40.13 ‘toFloat()’ on mixed number types

Query specification (‘toFloat()’ on mixed number types)

1 WITH [3.4, 3] AS numbers
2 RETURN [n IN numbers | toFloat(n)] AS float_numbers

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toFloat()’ on mixed number types)

\[ \pi_{NULL \rightarrow \text{float\_numbers}} \pi_{[3.4, 3] \rightarrow \text{numbers}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toFloat()' on mixed number types)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('toFloat()' on mixed number types)
A.40.14 ‘toFloat()’ returning null on non-numerical string

Query specification

```
1 WITH 'foo' AS foo_string, '' AS empty_string
2 RETURN toFloat(foo_string) AS foo, toFloat(empty_string) AS empty
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation

```
πtoFloat(foo_string)→foo.toFloat(empty_string)→empty π"foo"→foo_string,""→empty_string Dual ⊞ Dual ⊞ Dual
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation

![Relational algebra tree](image-url)
Incremental relational algebra tree ('toFloat()' returning null on non-numerical string)

A.40.15 ‘toFloat()’ handling Any type

Query specification ('toFloat()’ handling Any type)

```
1 WITH [3.4, 3, '5'] AS numbers
2 RETURN [n IN numbers | toFloat(n)] AS float_numbers
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toFloat()’ handling Any type)

\[
\pi^{\text{null}} \rightarrow \text{float_numbers} \pi^{[3.4, 3, '5']} \rightarrow \text{numbers} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toFloat()' handling Any type)

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toFloat()' handling Any type)
A.40.16 ‘toFloat()’ on a list of strings

Query specification (‘toFloat()’ on a list of strings)

1 WITH ['1', '2', 'foo'] AS numbers
2 RETURN [n IN numbers | toFloat(n)] AS float_numbers

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toFloat()’ on a list of strings)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{float_numbers}} \pi_{[\text{'1'}, \text{'2'}, \text{'foo'}] \rightarrow \text{numbers}} \rangle \rangle \langle \rangle \langle \rangle \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toFloat()’ on a list of strings)
Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toFloat()’ on a list of strings)

A.40.17 ‘toString()’

Query specification (‘toString()’)  
1 MATCH (m:Movie { rating: 4 })  
2 WITH *  
3 MATCH (n)  
4 RETURN toString(n.rating)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’)  
\[ \pi_{\text{toString}(n.\text{rating})} \pi_{\text{Dual}} \bowtie \not\equiv \circ (m: \text{Movie}) \bowtie \not\equiv \circ (n) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’)
Incremental relational algebra tree ('toString()')

A.40.18 'toString()' handling boolean properties

Query specification ('toString()' handling boolean properties)

1. MATCH (m:Movie)
2. RETURN toString(m.watched)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toString()' handling boolean properties)

\[ \pi_{toString(m.watched)} \Join \neq \emptyset(m: \text{Movie}) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ handling boolean properties)

\[
\Omega_{\text{toString}(m.\text{watched})} \\
\quad (\_\text{name69}) \\
\quad () \\
\quad (\#_\text{name69}) \\
\]

\[
\pi_{\text{toString}(m.\text{watched})} \\
\quad (\_\text{name69}) \\
\quad () \\
\quad (\#_\text{name69}) \\
\]

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toString()’ handling boolean properties)

\[
\Omega_{\text{toString}(m.\text{watched})} \\
\quad (\_\text{name69}) \\
\quad () \\
\quad (\#_\text{name69}) \\
\]

\[
\pi_{\text{toString}(m.\text{watched})} \\
\quad (\_\text{name69}) \\
\quad () \\
\quad (\#_\text{name69}) \\
\]

A.40.19 ‘toString()’ handling inlined boolean

Query specification (‘toString()’ handling inlined boolean)

```
1 RETURN toString(1 < 0) AS bool
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ handling inlined boolean)

\[
\pi_{\text{toString}(1 < 0) \rightarrow \text{bool}} \Join \text{Dual} \\
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ handling inlined boolean)

Cannot visualize tree.
Incremental relational algebra tree ('toString()' handling inlined boolean)
Cannot visualize incremental tree.

A.40.20 ‘toString()' handling boolean literal
Query specification ('toString()' handling boolean literal)

```sql
1 RETURN toString(true) AS bool
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toString()' handling boolean literal)

\[ \pi_{\text{toString(NULL)\rightarrow bool}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toString()' handling boolean literal)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('toString()' handling boolean literal)
A.40.21 ‘toString()’ should work on Any type

Query specification (‘toString()’ should work on Any type)

```sql
1 RETURN [x IN [1, 2.3, true, 'apa'] | toString(x) ] AS list
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ should work on Any type)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{list}} \times_{\text{Dual} \triangleright \text{Dual}} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ should work on Any type)

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toString()’ should work on Any type)

A.40.22 ‘toString()’ on a list of integers

Query specification (‘toString()’ on a list of integers)

```sql
1 WITH [1, 2, 3] AS numbers
2 RETURN [n IN numbers | toString(n)] AS string_numbers
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ on a list of integers)

\[ \pi_{\text{NULL}} \rightarrow \text{string\_numbers} \pi_{[1,2,3]} \rightarrow \text{numbers} \ \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ on a list of integers)

Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toString()’ on a list of integers)
A.40.23 ‘toString()’ should accept potentially correct types I

Query specification ('toString()’ should accept potentially correct types I)

1 UNWIND ['male', 'female', null] AS gen
2 RETURN coalesce(toString(gen), 'x') AS result

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation ('toString()’ should accept potentially correct types I)

\[ \pi_{\text{coalesce}(\text{toString}(\text{gen}), 'x') \rightarrow \text{result}} \omega_{[\text{"male"}, \text{"female"}, \text{NULL}] \rightarrow \text{gen}} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toString()’ should accept potentially correct types I)
Incremental relational algebra tree (‘toString()’ should accept potentially correct types 1)

```
Ω
(result)
  ()
  ⟨ result ⟩

π(coalesce(toString(gen), 'x') -> result
  (result)
  ()
  ⟨ result ⟩

ω['male', 'female', NULL] -> gen
  ()
  ()
  ()

Dual
  ()
  ()
  ()
```

A.40.24 ‘toString()’ should accept potentially correct types 2

Query specification (‘toString()’ should accept potentially correct types 2)

```
1 UNWIND ['male', 'female', null] AS gen
2 RETURN toString(coalesce(gen, 'x')) AS result
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (‘toString()’ should accept potentially correct types 2)

```
π(toString(coalesce(gen, 'x')) -> result
ω['male', 'female', NULL] -> gen
Dual ⊘ Dual ⊘ Dual
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation ('toString()' should accept potentially correct types 2)

Incremental relational algebra tree ('toString()' should accept potentially correct types 2)
A.41 UnionAcceptance

A.41.1 Should be able to create text output from union queries

Query specification (Should be able to create text output from union queries)

```sql
MATCH (a:A)
RETURN a AS a
UNION
MATCH (b:B)
RETURN b AS a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Should be able to create text output from union queries)

\[ \pi_{a \rightarrow a} \mathcal{D} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{a: A} \cup \pi_{b \rightarrow b} \mathcal{D} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{b: B} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Should be able to create text output from union queries)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Should be able to create text output from union queries)

A.41.2 Two elements, both unique, not distinct

Query specification (Two elements, both unique, not distinct)

```
1 RETURN 1 AS x
2 UNION ALL
3 RETURN 2 AS x
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Two elements, both unique, not distinct)

\[
\pi_{a\rightarrow a} \bowtie \text{Dual} \cup \pi_{b\rightarrow b} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Two elements, both unique, not distinct)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Two elements, both unique, not distinct)
A.41.3 Two elements, both unique, distinct

Query specification (Two elements, both unique, distinct)

```sql
1 RETURN 1 AS x
2 UNION
3 RETURN 2 AS x
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Two elements, both unique, distinct)

\[
\pi_{1 \to x} \bowtie \text{Dual} \cup \pi_{2 \to x} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Two elements, both unique, distinct)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Two elements, both unique, distinct)

A.41.4 Three elements, two unique, distinct

**Query specification** (Three elements, two unique, distinct)

\[
\begin{align*}
1 & \text{ RETURN 2 AS } x \\
2 & \text{ UNION} \\
3 & \text{ RETURN 1 AS } x \\
4 & \text{ UNION} \\
5 & \text{ RETURN 2 AS } x
\end{align*}
\]

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Three elements, two unique, distinct)

\[
\pi_{2 \rightarrow x} \bowtie_{\text{Dual}} \bowtie_{\text{Dual}} \pi_{1 \rightarrow x} \bowtie_{\text{Dual}} \bowtie_{\text{Dual}} \pi_{2 \rightarrow x} \bowtie_{\text{Dual}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Three elements, two unique, distinct)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (Three elements, two unique, distinct)

A.41.5 Three elements, two unique, not distinct

**Query specification** (Three elements, two unique, not distinct)

```sql
1 RETURN 2 AS x
2 UNION ALL
3 RETURN 1 AS x
4 UNION ALL
5 RETURN 2 AS x
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Three elements, two unique, not distinct)

\[
\pi_{2\rightarrow x} \Join \text{Dual} \cup \pi_{1\rightarrow x} \Join \text{Dual} \cup \pi_{2\rightarrow x} \Join \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Three elements, two unique, not distinct)
A.42 UnwindAcceptance

A.42.1 Unwinding a list

Query specification (Unwinding a list)

```
1 UNWIND [1, 2, 3] AS x
2 RETURN x
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a list)

\[
\pi_x \omega^{[1,2,3]} \rightarrow x \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a list)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Unwinding a list)
A.42.2 Unwinding a range

Query specification (Unwinding a range)

1. UNWIND range(1, 3) AS x
2. RETURN x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a range)

\[ \pi_x \omega_{\text{range}(1,3) \rightarrow x} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a range)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Unwinding a range)

A.42.3 Unwinding a concatenation of lists

Query specification (Unwinding a concatenation of lists)

1 WITH [1, 2, 3] AS first, [4, 5, 6] AS second
2 UNWIND (first + second) AS x
3 RETURN x

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a concatenation of lists)

\[ \pi_x \omega_{\text{NULL}} \rightarrow x \pi_{[1,2,3]} \rightarrow \text{first} \cdot \pi_{[4,5,6]} \rightarrow \text{secondDual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a concatenation of lists)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Unwinding a concatenation of lists)

A.42.4 Unwinding a collected unwound expression

Query specification (Unwinding a collected unwound expression)

```
1 UNWIND RANGE(1, 2) AS row
2 WITH collect(row) AS rows
3 UNWIND rows AS x
4 RETURN x
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a collected unwound expression)

\[ \pi_x \omega \text{rows} \rightarrow \text{rows} \gamma \text{collect(row)} \rightarrow \text{rows} \omega \text{range}(1,2) \rightarrow \text{row} \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Unwinding a collected unwound expression)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Unwinding a collected unwound expression)

A.42.5 Unwinding a collected expression

Query specification (Unwinding a collected expression)

```
1 MATCH (row)
2 WITH collect(row) AS rows
3 UNWIND rows AS node
4 RETURN node.id
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.42.6 Double unwinding a list of lists

Query specification (Double unwinding a list of lists)

1 WITH \([1, 2, 3], [4, 5, 6]\) AS lol
2 UNWIND lol AS x
3 UNWIND x AS y
4 RETURN y

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Double unwinding a list of lists)

\[ \pi_y \omega_x \rightarrow \text{lol} \omega_{\text{lol}} \rightarrow \text{lol} \pi([1,2,3],[4,5,6]) \rightarrow \text{lol} \quad \text{Dual} \quad \text{Dual} \quad \text{Dual} \quad \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Double unwinding a list of lists)
A.42.7 Unwinding the empty list

Query specification (Unwinding the empty list)

```
1 UNWIND [] AS empty
2 RETURN empty
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.42.8 Unwinding null

Query specification (Unwinding null)

```
1 UNWIND null AS nil
2 RETURN nil
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Unwinding null)

$$\pi_{\text{nil}} \ominus \text{NULL} \to \text{Dual} \bowtie \text{Dual}$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Unwinding null)

Incremental relational algebra tree (Unwinding null)
A.42.9 Unwinding list with duplicates

Query specification (Unwinding list with duplicates)

1. `UNWIND [1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5] AS duplicate`
2. `RETURN duplicate`

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Unwinding list with duplicates)

\[ \pi_{\text{duplicate}} \omega \{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5\} \rightarrow \text{Duplicate} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Unwinding list with duplicates)
A.42.10 Unwind does not prune context

Query specification (Unwind does not prune context)

```
WITH [1, 2, 3] AS list
UNWIND list AS x
RETURN *
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.42.11 Unwind does not remove variables from scope

Query specification (Unwind does not remove variables from scope)

```
MATCH (a:S)-[:X]->(b1)
WITH a, collect(b1) AS bees
UNWIND bees AS b2
MATCH (a)-[:Y]->(b2)
RETURN a, b2
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Unwind does not remove variables from scope)

\[
\pi_{a, b2}\omega_{\text{bees}} \rightarrow \text{bees}^{a_{\text{collect}}(b1) \rightarrow \text{bees}}^{\text{Dual}}(b1)_{\text{X}}(a) \ominus \neg \mathcal{E}_{682}^{\text{Y}}(b2)_{\text{Y}}(a)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Unwind does not remove variables from scope)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Unwind does not remove variables from scope)

A.42.12 Multiple unwinds after each other

Query specification (Multiple unwinds after each other)

```plaintext
UNWIND xs AS x
UNWIND ys AS y
UNWIND zs AS z
RETURN *
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.43. VarLengthAcceptance

A.43.1 Handling unbounded variable length match

Query specification

1. MATCH (a:A)
2. MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*]->(c)
3. RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation

$$\pi_{c.text} \text{Dual} \Join_{a:A} \triangleright_{e684} \uparrow (c) \left[\_e684: \text{LIKES}^{\infty} \right] O(a:A)$$

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation
A.43. VarLengthAcceptance

Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling unbounded variable length match)

```
Ωc.name
 ⟨c.name⟩
 ⟨⟩
 ⟨c.name⟩

πc.name
 ⟨c.name⟩
 ⟨⟩
 ⟨c.name⟩

▷ {*} {a}
 ⟨a, [_e684]∞, c⟩
 ⟨c.name⟩
 ⟨(0) : (0)⟩

▷ ▼_{e684}^{∞} {a}
 ⟨a, [_e684]∞, c⟩
 ⟨c.name⟩
 ⟨(0) : (0)⟩

A.43.2 Handling explicitly unbounded variable length match

Query specification (Handling explicitly unbounded variable length match)

1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*..]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling explicitly unbounded variable length match)

\[ \pi_{c.name}Dual ▷ ▼_{e684}^{c} ○_{(a: A)} ▷ ▼_{e685}^{c} \{^c_{(a, _e684, c)} [^c_{(a: A)} [_e684: \text{LIKES}]^c_{(a: A)}] \} ○_{(a: A)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling explicitly unbounded variable length match)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling explicitly unbounded variable length match)

A.43.3 Handling single bounded variable length match 1

Query specification (Handling single bounded variable length match 1)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*0]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.4 Handling single bounded variable length match 2

Query specification (Handling single bounded variable length match 2)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*1]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```
A.43. VarLengthAcceptance

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.5 Handling single bounded variable length match 3

Query specification (Handling single bounded variable length match 3)

```
MATCH (a:A)
MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*2]->(c)
RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.6 Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 1

Query specification (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 1)

```
MATCH (a:A)
MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*0..2]->(c)
RETURN c.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 1)

\[
\pi_{\text{c.name}} \Delta \nleq \nleq (a: A) \nleq \nleq _{\text{e686}} \nleq (a: A) \nleq \nleq _{\text{e686}} \nleq (c) \nleq \nleq _{\text{e686}} \nleq (\text{LIKES}^2_{\text{e686}}) \nleq \nleq (a: A)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 1)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 1)

![Diagram of an incremental relational algebra tree]

A.43.7 Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 2

Query specification (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 2)
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*1..2]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 2)

\[ \pi_{c.name} \Join_{\neq} O(a: A) \Join_{\neq} _{e687}^{\dagger} (c) \left[ _{e687}^{2} : \text{LIKES} \right] O(a: A) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match 2)
A.43.8 Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are zero

Query specification (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are zero)

1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*0..0]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are zero)

\[ \pi_{c.name} \cup (a: A) \times (c : (a : A)) \times \mathcal{O}_{(a : A)} \times \mathcal{O}_{(c : (a : A))} \times \mathcal{A}_{(c : (a : A))} \times [\_e687: LIKES] [c : (a : A)] \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are zero)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are zero)

A.43.9 Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are one

Query specification (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are one)

1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*1..1]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are one)

$$\pi_{c\text{-name}}\bowtie\neq \circ(a:A) \bowtie\neq e689\land (c \mid e688: \text{LIKES}) \circ(a:A)$$
**Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation** (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are one)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{c.name} \\
(c.name) \quad & \\
\pi_{c.name} \\
(c.name) \quad & \\
\Join \{a\} \\
\langle a,[_\text{e689}]^1, c \rangle \\
\langle c.name \rangle \\
\langle 0, a, 1, [_\text{e689}], 1, 1, c \rangle, \langle 0 : (0) \rangle \\
\Uparrow \{a\} _[_\text{e689} : \text{LIKES}] \\
\langle a,[_\text{e689}]^1, c \rangle \\
\langle c.name \rangle \\
\langle 0, a, 1, [_\text{e689}], 1, 2, c, 3, c.name \rangle
\end{align*}
\]
**A.43.10 Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are two**

**Query specification** (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are two)

1. MATCH (a:A)
2. MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*2..2]->(c)
3. RETURN c.name

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are two)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \Delta \neq (a:A) \Delta \neq \_e690^\downarrow (c: [\_e689^2,2] : LIKES_{2}) \circ (a:A)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are two)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling symmetrically bounded variable length match, bounds are two)

A.43.11 Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 1

Query specification (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 1)

1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*2..1]-(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 1)

\[ \pi_{c\text{.name}} \Join \neg (\alpha: A) \Join \neg_e691 \uparrow (c) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 1)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 1)

A.43.12 Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 2

Query specification (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 2)

1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*1..0]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 2)

\[ \pi_{c.name} \left( \left( a : A \right) \left( a : A \right) \left( a : A \right) \right) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 2)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling upper and lower bounded variable length match, empty interval 2)

A.43.13 Handling upper bounded variable length match, empty interval

Query specification (Handling upper bounded variable length match, empty interval)

1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*..0]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling upper bounded variable length match, empty interval)

\[ \pi_{c.\text{name}} \Join_L \{ \{a\} \} \rangle \langle \{c\} \rangle \langle \{0\} \rangle : \langle \{0\} \rangle \]

\[ \Join_L \{ \{a\} \} \rangle \langle \{c\} \rangle \langle \{0\} \rangle : \langle \{0\} \rangle \]

\[ \Join_L \{ \{a\} \} \rangle \langle \{c\} \rangle \langle \{0\} \rangle : \langle \{0\} \rangle \]

\[ \pi_{c.\text{name}} \Join_L \{ \{a\} \} \rangle \langle \{c\} \rangle \langle \{0\} \rangle : \langle \{0\} \rangle \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling upper bounded variable length match, empty interval)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling upper bounded variable length match, empty interval)

A.43.14 Handling upper bounded variable length match 1

Query specification (Handling upper bounded variable length match 1)

1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*..1]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling upper bounded variable length match 1)

\[
\pi_{c.name} \Delta_{\text{Dual}} \bigcirc_{(a:A)} \Delta_{[a:A] \, \text{LIKES} \, \_e693} \bigcirc_{(c)} \Delta_{(a:_e693, c)} \bigcirc_{(c.name)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling upper bounded variable length match 1)
A.43.15 Handling upper bounded variable length match 2

Query specification (Handling upper bounded variable length match 2)

MATCH (a:A)
MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*..2]->(c)
RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling upper bounded variable length match 2)

$$\pi_{c.name} \ominus \neq \ominus (a:A) \times \neq \ominus a \times \ominus c$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling upper bounded variable length match 2)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling upper bounded variable length match 2)

```
Ω_{c.name}
  ⟨c.name⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨c.name⟩

π_{c.name}
  ⟨c.name⟩
  ⟨⟩
  ⟨c.name⟩

▷  {a}
  ⟨a, _e695⟩, c
  ⟨c.name⟩
  ⟨0 : (0)⟩

▷∗_{c.name}
  ⟨a, _e695⟩, c
  ⟨c.name⟩
  ⟨0 : (0)⟩

c.1

MATCH (a: A)
MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*0..]->(c)
RETURN c.name
```

A.43.16 Handling lower bounded variable length match 1

Query specification (Handling lower bounded variable length match 1)

```
1 MATCH (a: A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*0..]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling lower bounded variable length match 1)

```
π_{c.name}Dual ⊗̸≡ O(a: A) ⊗̸≡ _e696↑{(c) [a, _e695: LIKES*∞]} O(a: A)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling lower bounded variable length match 1)
 Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling lower bounded variable length match 1)

Query specification (Handling lower bounded variable length match 2)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*1..]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling lower bounded variable length match 2)

\[
\pi_{c . name} \text{Dual } \Join \neq (a: A) \Join \neq \_e697 [a] \_e697: LIKES \_e1 \Join (a: A)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling lower bounded variable length match 2)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling lower bounded variable length match 2)

A.43.18 Handling lower bounded variable length match 3

Query specification (Handling lower bounded variable length match 3)
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*2..]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handling lower bounded variable length match 3)

\[ \pi_{c.name} \Delta \neq \bigcirc(a: A) \Delta \neq \_e698 \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} \_e698 \end{bmatrix} \bigcirc(a: A) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handling lower bounded variable length match 3)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handling lower bounded variable length match 3)

A.43.19 Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, zero length 1

Query specification (Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, zero length 1)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*0]->()-[:LIKES]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.43.20 Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, zero length 2

Query specification (Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, zero length 2)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES]-(():[:LIKES*0]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.21 Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, single length 1

Query specification (Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, single length 1)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*1]-(():[:LIKES]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.22 Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, single length 2

Query specification (Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, single length 2)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES]->()-[:LIKES*1]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.23 Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, longer 1

Query specification (Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, longer 1)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES*2]-(():[:LIKES]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.43.24 Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, longer 2

Query specification (Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, longer 2)

```sql
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES]-(c)-[:LIKES*2]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.25 Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, longer 3

Query specification (Handling a variable length relationship and a standard relationship in chain, longer 3)

```sql
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES]-(c)-[:LIKES*3]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.26 Handling mixed relationship patterns and directions 1

Query specification (Handling mixed relationship patterns and directions 1)

```sql
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)<-[:LIKES]-()-[:LIKES*3]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.27 Handling mixed relationship patterns and directions 2

Query specification (Handling mixed relationship patterns and directions 2)

```sql
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (a)-[:LIKES]->()-[:LIKES*3]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.43.28 Handling mixed relationship patterns 1

Query specification (Handling mixed relationship patterns 1)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (p)-[:LIKES*1]->()-[:LIKES]->()-[r:LIKES*2]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.43.29 Handling mixed relationship patterns 2

Query specification (Handling mixed relationship patterns 2)

```
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 MATCH (p)-[:LIKES]->()-[:LIKES*2]->()-[r:LIKES]->(c)
3 RETURN c.name
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.44 VarLengthAcceptance2

```
VarLengthAcceptance2
```

A.44.1 Handling relationships that are already bound in variable length paths

Query specification (Handling relationships that are already bound in variable length paths)

```
1 MATCH ()-[r:EDGE]-()
2 MATCH p = (n)-[*0..1]-()-[r]-()-[*0..1]-(m)
3 RETURN count(p) AS c
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.45 WhereAcceptance

```
WhereAcceptance
```

A.45.1 NOT and false

Query specification (NOT and false)

```
1 MATCH (n)
2 WHERE NOT(n.name = 'apa' AND false)
3 RETURN n
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.46  WithAcceptance

A.46.1  Passing on pattern nodes

Query specification (Passing on pattern nodes)

```plaintext
MATCH (a:A)
WITH a
MATCH (a)-->(b)
RETURN *
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Passing on pattern nodes)

\[
\pi_{a,b} \pi_a \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(a: A)} \not\equiv_{\_e701} \uparrow^{(b)} \subseteq_{\_e701} \bigcirc_{(a)}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Passing on pattern nodes)
A.46.2 ORDER BY and LIMIT can be used

Query specification (ORDER BY and LIMIT can be used)

```sql
1 MATCH (a:A)
2 WITH a
3 ORDER BY a.name
4 LIMIT 1
5 MATCH (a)-->(b)
6 RETURN a
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY and LIMIT can be used)

\[
\pi_a \lambda \lambda_{\mathit{a}.\mathit{name}} \pi_a \mathbf{Dual} \Join_{\not\equiv} (a: A) \Join_{\not\equiv} \#_{e702} \uparrow (b) \mid_{e702} \Join_{\lbrack a \rbrack} \Join_{\lbrack a \rbrack}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ORDER BY and LIMIT can be used)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ORDER BY and LIMIT can be used)

A.46.3 No dependencies between the query parts

Query specification (No dependencies between the query parts)

```sql
MATCH (a)
WITH a
MATCH (b)
RETURN a, b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (No dependencies between the query parts)

\[
\pi_a \pi_b \text{Dual} \not\equiv \varnothing(a) \not\equiv \varnothing(b)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (No dependencies between the query parts)
Incremental relational algebra tree (No dependencies between the query parts)

A.46.4 Aliasing

Query specification (Aliasing)

1 MATCH (a:Begin)
2 WITH a.prop AS property
3 MATCH (b:End)
4 WHERE property = b.prop
5 RETURN b

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Aliasing)

\[ \pi_{b.\text{prop}=b.\text{prop}} \pi_{a.\text{prop}\rightarrow \text{prop}} \ \Box \not\equiv \ D \cup (a: \text{Begin}) \not\equiv \ D \cup (b: \text{End}) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Aliasing)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_b & \quad (b) \\
\pi_b & \quad (b) \\
\sigma_{\text{property}=b.\text{prop}} & \quad (\text{property}, b) \\
\bowtie \{\} & \quad (\text{property}, b) \\
\pi_{a.\text{prop} \rightarrow \text{prop}} & \quad (\text{property}) \\
\bigcirc_{(a: \text{Begin})} & \quad (a) \\
\bigcirc_{(b: \text{End})} & \quad (b) \\
\end{align*}
\]
A.46.5 Handle dependencies across WITH

Query specification (Handle dependencies across WITH)

```plaintext
MATCH (a:Begin)
WITH a.prop AS property
LIMIT 1
MATCH (b)
WHERE b.id = property
RETURN b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handle dependencies across WITH)

\[
π_b σ_{b.id=property}(π_a.prop→prop Dual ⊰ (a:Begin) ⊰ (b:End) ⊰ (a.prop) ⊰ (b.prop) ⊰ (a, a.prop) ⊰ (b, b.prop))
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle dependencies across WITH)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle dependencies across WITH)

\[ \Omega_b \]
\[ \pi_b \]
\[ \sigma_{b.id=property} \]
\[ \bowtie \{\} \]
\[ \lambda_1 \]
\[ \pi_{a.prop \rightarrow prop} \]

A.46.6 Handle dependencies across WITH with SKIP

Query specification (Handle dependencies across WITH with SKIP)

1 MATCH (a)
2 WITH a.prop AS property, a.key AS idToUse
3 ORDER BY property
4 SKIP 1
5 MATCH (b)
6 WHERE b.id = idToUse
7 RETURN DISTINCT b
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Handle dependencies across WITH with SKIP)

\[
\delta \pi_5 \sigma_{b.1d=\text{idToUse}} \lambda \pi_{\text{property}} \pi_{a.\text{prop} \rightarrow \text{prop}} \pi_{a.\text{key} \rightarrow \text{key}}^\text{Dual} \;
\neg \mathcal{O}(a) \;
\neg \mathcal{O}(b)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Handle dependencies across WITH with SKIP)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Handle dependencies across WITH with SKIP)
A.46.7 WHERE after WITH should filter results

Query specification (WHERE after WITH should filter results)

MATCH (a)
WITH a
WHERE a.name = 'B'
RETURN a

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (WHERE after WITH should filter results)

\[ \pi_a \sigma_{\text{a.name} = 'B'} \pi_a \text{Dual} \setminus \neq \bigodot_{(a)} \Join \text{Dual} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (WHERE after WITH should filter results)

![Relational algebra tree image]
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (WHERE after WITH should filter results)

```
Ωₐ
(a)
() 
(⟨a⟩)

πₐ
(a)
() 
(⟨a⟩)

σ_{a.name='B'}
(a)
() 
(⟨a⟩)
(⟨a⟩)

πₐ
(a)
() 
(⟨a⟩)

σ_{a.name='B'}
(a)
() 
(⟨a⟩)
(⟨a⟩)
```

A.46.8 WHERE after WITH can filter on top of an aggregation

**Query specification** (WHERE after WITH can filter on top of an aggregation)

1. `MATCH (a)-->()`
2. `WITH a, count(*) AS relCount`
3. `WHERE relCount > 1`
4. `RETURN a`

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (WHERE after WITH can filter on top of an aggregation)

\[ πₐσ_{a.name='B'} \circ \piₐσ_{a.name='B'} → \circ \ σ_{a.name='B'} → \piₐσ_{a.name='B'} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (WHERE after WITH can filter on top of an aggregation)
Incremental relational algebra tree (WHERE after WITH can filter on top of an aggregation)

A.46.9 ORDER BY on an aggregating key

Query specification (ORDER BY on an aggregating key)

```plaintext
MATCH (a)
WITH a.bar AS bars, count(*) AS relCount
ORDER BY a.bar
RETURN *
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.46.10 ORDER BY a DISTINCT column

Query specification (ORDER BY a DISTINCT column)

```plaintext
MATCH (a)
WITH DISTINCT a.bar AS bars
ORDER BY a.bar
RETURN *
```
A.46. WithAcceptance

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.46.11 WHERE on a DISTINCT column

Query specification (WHERE on a DISTINCT column)

```
MATCH (a)
WITH DISTINCT a.bar AS bars
WHERE a.bar = 'B'
RETURN *
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

A.46.12 A simple pattern with one bound endpoint

Query specification (A simple pattern with one bound endpoint)

```
MATCH (a:A)-[r:REL]->(b:B)
WITH a AS b, b AS tmp, r AS r
WITH b AS a, r
LIMIT 1
MATCH (a)-[r]->(b)
RETURN a, r, b
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (A simple pattern with one bound endpoint)

\[
\pi_{a,b,\lambda_1} \pi_{b\rightarrow a, \lambda_2} \pi_{a\rightarrow b, r\rightarrow \lambda_3} \text{Dual} \circ \text{Dual} (\text{Bar}(b) \uparrow (a)) \circ (\text{Bar}(a) \rightarrow (a) \circ \text{Bar}(b) \uparrow (b)) (r)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (A simple pattern with one bound end-point)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (A simple pattern with one bound endpoint)

\[
\Omega_{a,r,b} \langle a, r, b \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
\pi_{a,r,b} \langle a, r, b \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
\bowtie \{a, r\} \langle a, r, b \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
\lambda_{1} \langle a, r \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
\pi_{b \rightarrow a,r} \langle a, r \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
\pi_{a \rightarrow a,b \rightarrow b,r \rightarrow r} \langle b, \text{tmp}, r \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
\lambda^{(b: B)}_{(a: A)} [r: \text{REL}] \langle a, r, b \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
\lambda^{(b)}_{(a)} [r] \langle a, r, b \rangle \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

A.46.13 Null handling

**Query specification** (Null handling)

1. `OPTIONAL MATCH (a:Start)`
2. `WITH a`
3. `MATCH (a)-->(b)`
4. `RETURN *`
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Null handling)

\[ \pi_{a,b} \Delta \sigma_{\text{Null}} \neq \bigcirc_{(a: \text{Start})} \Delta \neq \_e705 \uparrow^{(b)}(\_e705) \bigcirc_{(a)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Null handling)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Null handling)

A.46.14 Nested maps

Query specification (Nested maps)

```sql
1 WITH {foo: {bar: 'baz'}} AS nestedMap
2 RETURN nestedMap.foo.bar
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
A.46.15 Connected components succeeding WITH

Query specification (Connected components succeeding WITH)

MATCH (n:A)
WITH n
LIMIT 1
MATCH (m:B), (n)-->(x:X)
RETURN *

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Connected components succeeding WITH)

\[ \pi_{m,n,x} \lambda_1 \pi_{n} \quad \text{Dual} \times \not\equiv \bigcup_{(n: A)} \not\equiv [\_e706] \bigcup_{(n: B)} \uparrow_{(n)}^{(x: X)} \not\equiv [\_e706] \bigcup_{(n)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Connected components succeeding WITH)
A.46.16  Single WITH using a predicate and aggregation

**Query specification** (Single WITH using a predicate and aggregation)

```
1 MATCH (n)
2     WITH n
3 WHERE n.prop = 42
4 RETURN count(*)
```

**Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation** (Single WITH using a predicate and aggregation)

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(*)} \sigma_{n \text{.prop} = 42} \pi_B \Join \neq \bigcirc \Join \Join \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Single WITH using a predicate and aggregation)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Single WITH using a predicate and aggregation)

A.46.17 Multiple WITHs using a predicate and aggregation

Query specification (Multiple WITHs using a predicate and aggregation)

```
1 MATCH (david {name: 'David'})--(otherPerson)-->()
2 WITH otherPerson, count(*) AS foaf
3 WHERE foaf > 1
4 WITH otherPerson
5 WHERE otherPerson.name <> 'NotOther'
6 RETURN count(*)
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (Multiple WITHs using a predicate and aggregation)

\[
\gamma_{\text{count}(\cdot)} \sigma_{\text{otherPerson}.\text{name} \neq \text{'NotOther'}} \pi_{\text{n}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (Multiple WITHs using a predicate and aggregation)
Incremental relational algebra tree (Multiple WITHs using a predicate and aggregation)
Appendix B

Fraud Detection

B.1 Queries
B.1.1 create

Query specification (create)

1 // Create account holders
2 CREATE (accountHolder1:AccountHolder { FirstName: "John", LastName: "Doe", UniqueId: "JohnDoe" })
3 CREATE (accountHolder2:AccountHolder { FirstName: "Jane", LastName: "Appleseed", UniqueId: "JaneAppleseed" })
4 CREATE (accountHolder3:AccountHolder { FirstName: "Matt", LastName: "Smith", UniqueId: "MattSmith" })

5 // Create Address
6 CREATE (address1:Address { Street: "123 NW 1st Street", City: "San Francisco", State: "California", ZipCode: "94101" })

6 // Connect 3 account holders to 1 address
7 CREATE (accountHolder1)-[:HAS_ADDRESS]->(address1), (accountHolder2)-[:HAS_ADDRESS]->(address1), (accountHolder3)-[:HAS_ADDRESS]->(address1)

8 // Create Phone Number
9 CREATE (phoneNumber1:PhoneNumber { PhoneNumber: "555-555-5555" })

9 // Connect 2 account holders to 1 phone number
10 CREATE (accountHolder1)-[:HAS_PHONENUMBER]->(phoneNumber1), (accountHolder2)-[:HAS_PHONENUMBER]->(phoneNumber1)

11 // Create SSN
12 CREATE (ssn1:SSN { SSN: "241-23-1234" })

13 // Connect 2 account holders to 1 SSN
14 CREATE (accountHolder2)-[:HAS_SSN]->(ssn1), (accountHolder3)-[:HAS_SSN]->(ssn1)

15 // Create SSN and connect 1 account holder
16 CREATE (ssn2:SSN { SSN: "241-23-4567" })<-[::HAS_SSN]-(accountHolder1)

17 // Create Credit Card and connect 1 account holder
18 CREATE (creditCard1:CreditCard { AccountNumber: "1234567890123456", Limit: 5000, Balance: 1442.23, ExpirationDate: "01-20", SecurityCode: "123" })<-[::HAS_CREDITCARD]-(accountHolder1)

18 // Create Bank Account and connect 1 account holder
19 CREATE (bankAccount1:BankAccount { AccountNumber: "2345678901234567", Balance: 7054.43 })<-[::HAS_BANKACCOUNT]-(accountHolder1)

20 // Create Credit Card and connect 1 account holder
21 CREATE (creditCard2:CreditCard { AccountNumber: "1234567890123456", Limit: 4000, Balance: 2345.56, ExpirationDate: "02-20", SecurityCode: "456" })<-[::HAS_CREDITCARD]-(accountHolder2)

22 // Create Bank Account and connect 1 account holder
23 CREATE (bankAccount2:BankAccount { AccountNumber: "3456789012345678", Balance: 4231.12 })<-[::HAS_BANKACCOUNT]-(accountHolder2)

24 // Create Unsecured Loan and connect 1 account holder
25 CREATE (unsecuredLoan2:UnsecuredLoan { AccountNumber: "4567890123456789-0", Balance: 9045.53, APR: .0541, LoanAmount: 12000.00 })<-[::HAS_UNSECUREDLOAN]-(accountHolder2)

26 // Create Bank Account and connect 1 account holder
27 CREATE (bankAccount3:BankAccount { AccountNumber: "4567890123456789", Balance: 12345.45 })<-[::HAS_BANKACCOUNT]-(accountHolder3)

28 // Create Unsecured Loan and connect 1 account holder
29 CREATE (unsecuredLoan3:UnsecuredLoan { AccountNumber: "5678901234567890-0", Balance: 16341.95, APR: .0341, LoanAmount: 22000.00 })<-[::HAS_UNSECUREDLOAN]-(accountHolder3)

30 // Create Phone Number and connect 1 account holder
31 CREATE (phoneNumber2:PhoneNumber { PhoneNumber: "555-555-1234" })<-[::HAS_PHONENUMBER]-(accountHolder3)
Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

B.1.2 financial-risk

Query specification (financial-risk)

```
MATCH (accountHolder:AccountHolder)-[]->(contactInformation)
WITH contactInformation, count(accountHolder) AS RingSize
MATCH (contactInformation)<-[r]-(accountHolder),
(accountHolder)-[r:HAS_CREDITCARD|HAS_UNSECUREDLOAN]->(unsecuredAccount)
WITH collect(DISTINCT accountHolder.UniqueId) AS AccountHolders,
contactInformation, RingSize,
SUM(CASE type(r) WHEN 'HAS_CREDITCARD' THEN unsecuredAccount.LIMIT WHEN 'HAS_UNSECUREDLOAN' THEN unsecuredAccount.Balance ELSE 0 END) AS FinancialRisk
WHERE RingSize > 1
RETURN AccountHolders AS FraudRing,
labels(contactInformation) AS ContactType,
RingSize,
round(FinancialRisk) AS FinancialRisk
ORDER BY FinancialRisk DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (financial-risk)

Cannot visualize expression.

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (financial-risk)

Cannot visualize tree.

Incremental relational algebra tree (financial-risk)

Cannot visualize incremental tree.
B.1.3 graphconnect-2017-example-1

Query specification (graphconnect-2017-example-1)

```
1 MATCH (term:Terminal)<-[[:IN_TERMINAL]-[:NEXT*]]-(t)-[n:NEXT*]->(:FraudTx)
2 WITH
3 term,
4 count(DISTINCT t.cardno) as ct,
5 min(t.timestamp) AS mindate,
6 max(t.timestamp) AS maxdate
7 WHERE ct > 1
8 MATCH (term)<-[[:IN_TERMINAL]]-(otherTx)
9 WHERE otherTx.timestamp < maxdate
10 AND otherTx.timestamp > mindate
11 RETURN
12 term.tid AS terminal,
13 mindate,
14 maxdate,
15 100 * ct / count(DISTINCT otherTx.cardno) AS impact,
16 (maxdate - mindate) / (24*3600000) AS timewindow
17 ORDER BY impact DESC, timewindow DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (graphconnect-2017-example-1)

```
τ↑impact, timewindow

σct > 1

σotherTx.timestamp < maxdate ∧ otherTx.timestamp > mindate

σct > 1

γterm

γterm

Dual ⊘ ◁ ≠ e142.m

↑ (e143: FraudTx) [n: NEXT*∞] ↓ (t) [e142: IN_TERMINAL] O(term: Terminal) ⊘

≠ e144 \downarrow (otherTx) [e144: IN TERMINAL] O(term)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (graphconnect-2017-example-1)
Incremental relational algebra tree (graphconnect-2017-example-1)
B.1.4 shared-contact-information

Query specification (shared-contact-information)

```sql
MATCH (accountHolder:AccountHolder)-[]->(contactInformation)
WITH
contactInformation,
count(accountHolder) AS RingSize

MATCH (contactInformation)<[]-(accountHolder)
WITH
collect(accountHolder.UniqueId) AS AccountHolders,
contactInformation, RingSize
WHERE RingSize > 1
RETURN
AccountHolders AS FraudRing,
labels(contactInformation) AS ContactType,
RingSize
ORDER BY
RingSize DESC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (shared-contact-information)

\[
\text{\(\tau_{\text{RingSize}}\Pi_{\text{AccountHolders}}\rightarrow\text{AccountHolders,labels(contactInformation)}\rightarrow\text{ContactType, RingSize} \land \text{RingSize} > 1\)}
\]

\[
\text{\(\gamma_{\text{collect(accountHolder.UniqueId)}\rightarrow\text{AccountHolders,contactInformation,RingSize}}\)}
\]

\[
\text{\(\gamma_{\text{contactInformation, count(accountHolder) \rightarrow RingSize Dual } \neq \_e146 \uparrow (contactInformation) \rightarrow \_e145} \)}
\]

\[
\text{\(\bigcirc_{\text{accountHolder: AccountHolder} \neq \_e146 \downarrow (contactInformation) \rightarrow \_e146} \circ_{\text{contactInformation}} \neq \_e145} \)}
\]

\[
\text{\(\bigotimes_{\text{accountHolder: AccountHolder} \neq \_e146 \downarrow (contactInformation) \rightarrow \_e146} \bigodot_{\text{contactInformation}} \neq \_e145} \)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (shared-contact-information)
Incremental relational algebra tree (shared-contact-information)
Appendix C

LDBC Social Network Benchmark – Simple Test

C.1 Queries

C.1.1 simple-1

Query specification (simple-1)

1. MATCH (comment:Comment)-[r:replyOf*]->(message:Message)
2. RETURN comment.content, message.content
3. ORDER BY comment.content, message.content

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-1)

\[
\tau_{\text{comment.content, message.content}} \pi_{\text{comment.content, message.content}} \text{Dual} \bowtie_{r} \left(\text{comment \bowtie_{[r: replyOf_{\infty}]} message} \right) \circ (\text{comment: Comment})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-1)
C.1.2 simple-2

Query specification (simple-2)

1 MATCH (message:Message)<-[r:replyOf*]-(comment:Comment)
2 RETURN message.content, comment.content
3 ORDER BY message.content, comment.content

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-2)

$$\tau\uparrow_{\text{message.content} \downarrow \text{comment.content}} \pi_{\text{message.content}, \text{comment.content}} \text{Dual} \triangleleft_{\text{r:replyOf}} \{\text{comment: Comment} \mid [r: \text{replyOf}] \}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-2)
C.1.3 \textbf{simple-3} \\

\textbf{Query specification (simple-3)}

\begin{verbatim}
1 MATCH (:Comment)-[r:replyOf*]->(message:Message)
2 RETURN message.content
3 ORDER BY message.content
\end{verbatim}

\textbf{Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-3)}

\begin{verbatim}
τ(message.content)π(message.content)Dual ⊙(r:replyOf*\overset{\infty}{\backsim}(_a30) (message:Message) [r:replyOf*\overset{\infty}{\backsim}(_a30) \bigcirc(_a30:Comment)
\end{verbatim}
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-3)
Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-3)

C.1.4 simple-4

Query specification (simple-4)

1 MATCH (person:Person)-[:hasCreator]-(comment:Comment)-[r:replyOf*]->(message:Message)
2 RETURN person.firstName, person.lastName, comment.content, message.content
3 ORDER BY person.firstName, person.lastName, comment.content, message.content

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-4)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-4)
C.1. Queries

Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-4)

Query specification (simple-5)

1 MATCH (tag:Tag)-[:hasTag]->(:Message)<-[:replyOf*]-(:Comment)
2 RETURN tag.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-5)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-5)
C.1. Queries

Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-5)

Query specification (simple-6)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-6)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-6)
C.1.7 simple-7

Query specification (simple-7)

1 MATCH (p1:Person)-[:knows*]->(p2:Person)
2 WHERE p1.id = 41
3 RETURN p1.id, p2.id
4 ORDER BY p1.id, p2.id

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-7)

\[
\tau_{p1.id=41} \quad \Pi_{p1.id,p2.id} \quad \sigma_{p1.id=41} \quad \exists^*_{e35} \quad \{p1\} \
\]

Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-6)

\[
\Omega_{p1.id,p2.id} \quad \Pi_{p1.id,p2.id} \quad \tau_{p1.id,p2.id} \quad \Pi_{p1.id,p2.id} \quad \sigma_{p1.id=41} \
\]

\[
\exists^*_{e35} \quad \{p1\} \
\]

\[
\tau_{p1.id=41} \quad \Pi_{p1.id,p2.id} \quad \sigma_{p1.id=41} \
\]

\[
\exists^*_{e35} \quad \{p1\} \
\]

\[
\bigodot_{p1:Person} \quad \bigodot_{p2:Person} \quad \bigodot_{p1:Person} \quad \bigodot_{p1:Person} \
\]

\[
\exists^*_{e35} \quad \{p1\} \
\]

\[
\bigodot_{p1:Person} \quad \bigodot_{p2:Person} \quad \bigodot_{p1:Person} \
\]

\[
\exists^*_{e35} \quad \{p1\} \
\]

\[
\bigodot_{p1:Person} \quad \bigodot_{p2:Person} \quad \bigodot_{p1:Person} \
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-7)

\[ \Omega_{p1.id, p2.id} \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \]

\[ \tau^{↑}_{p1.id, p2.id} \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \]

\[ \pi_{p1.id, p2.id} \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \]

\[ \sigma_{p1.id = 41} \langle p1, [\_e36]_1^{∞}, p2 \rangle \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \langle p1, [\_e36]_1^{∞}, p2, p1.id, p2.id \rangle \]

\[ \uparrow_{(p2: \text{Person})}^{[\_e36: \text{knows}^1_{∞}]} \langle p1, [\_e36]_1^{∞}, p2 \rangle \langle p1.id, p2.id \rangle \langle p1, [\_e36]_1^{∞}, p2, p1.id, p2.id \rangle \]

\[ ∘_{(p1: \text{Person})} \langle p1 \rangle \langle p1.id \rangle \langle p1, p1.id \rangle \]
C.1.8 simple-8

Query specification (simple-8)

```sql
// Top tags for country, age, gender, time
MATCH (country:Country)<-[[:isPartOf]]->(city)<-[[:isLocatedIn]]->(person:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]]->(message:Message)<-[[:hasTag]]->(tag:Tag)
RETURN country.name AS countryName,
message.creationDate AS month,
person.gender AS gender,
person.birthday AS birthday,
tag.name AS tagName,
count(message) AS messageCount
ORDER BY messageCount DESC, tagName ASC, gender ASC, month ASC, countryName ASC
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-8)

\[ \tau_{messageCount, \tagName, \text{birthday}, \text{gender}, \text{month}, \text{countryName}} \bowtie (country, \text{name}, \text{creationDate}, \text{person}, \text{birthday}, \text{person}, \text{gender}, \text{tagName}) \]

\[ \text{Dual} \left[ \left\langle e_{38, \ e_{39}, e_{40}, e_{41}} \right\rangle \right] \]

\[ (\text{tag: Message} \left\{ e_{40}: \text{hasTag} \right\}) \]

\[ (\text{person: Person} \left\{ e_{37} \right\}) \]

\[ (\text{Country} \left\{ e_{38} \right\}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-8)
C.1.9  simple-9

Query specification (simple-9)

1 // Tag evolution
2 MATCH (tag:Tag)<-[hasTag]-[:message:Message]
3 RETURN tag.name, count(message) AS countMonth
4 ORDER BY tag.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-9)

\[
\text{\text{Dual}} \neq e_{42}^\downarrow (\text{message}: \text{Message}) \quad (\text{tag})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-9)
C.1.10 simple-10

Query specification (simple-10)

1 // Popular topics in a country
2 MATCH ([:Country]<-[:isPartOf]-[:City]<-[:isLocatedIn]-[:isModerator]-(:Person)<-[:hasTag]-(forum: Forum)<-[:containerOf]->(post:Post)<-[:hasTag]-(:Tag)<-[:hasType]-(:TagClass)
3 RETURN forum.id, forum.title, forum.creationDate, person.id, count(post) AS count
4 ORDER BY count DESC, forum.id ASC
5 LIMIT 20

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-10)

\[ \lambda_{\text{count}} \tau_{\text{forum.creationDate, forum.id, forum.title, person.id}} \downarrow_{\text{Dual}} \]
\[ _{-e47}_{-e48}_{-e49} [-e45: \text{hasTag}] \circ [-e43: \text{Country}] \]
\[ [-e46: \text{isLocatedIn}] \downarrow [-e44: \text{City}] \]
\[ [-e47: \text{isHasOf}] \downarrow [-e44: \text{Forum}] \]
\[ [-e48: \text{containerOf}] \downarrow [-e46: \text{hasTag}] \circ [-e44: \text{Forum}] \]
\[ [-e49: \text{hasTag}] \circ [-e47: \text{hasModerator}] \]
\[ [-e51: \text{TagClass}] \circ [-e49: \text{Tag}] \]
\[ [-e52: \text{hasType}] \circ [-e48: \text{containerOf}] \]
\[ [-e50: \text{hasTag}] \circ [-e49: \text{Tag}] \]
\[ [-e51: \text{TagClass}] \circ [-e47: \text{hasTag}] \]
\[ [-e47: \text{hasModerator}] \circ [-e44: \text{Forum}] \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-10)
Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-10)

C.1.11 simple-11

Query specification (simple-11)

```
MATCH
  (country:Country)<-[:isPartOf]-(:City)<-[:isLocatedIn]-(person:Person)<-[:hasCreator]-(message :Message)<-[:replyOf]-(reply:Comment),
  (message)<-[:hasTag]->(tag:Tag),
  (fan:Person)<-[:likes]->(reply)
WHERE NOT (tag)<-[:hasTag]->(reply)
RETURN person.id, tag.name, count(fan) AS countLikes, count(reply) AS countReplies, reply. content
ORDER BY countLikes DESC, person.id ASC, tag.name ASC
LIMIT 100
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-11)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-11)
C.1.2 simple-12

Query specification (simple-12)

1 // Top posters in a country
2 MATCH (country:Country)-[:isPartOf]->(:City)-[:isLocatedIn]->(person:Person)-[:hasMember]->(forum:Forum)
3 RETURN country.name, forum.title
4 ORDER BY country.name, forum.title
5 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-12)

\[
\lambda^{100}\forall \text{country.name, forum.title} (\text{country, forum} : \text{Country, Forum})
\downarrow \leftarrow (\text{person} : \text{Person})
\downarrow (\text{e61}: \text{isLocatedIn}) \downarrow (\text{e61}: \text{City}) \downarrow (\text{e62}: \text{isPartOf}) \downarrow (\text{country}: \text{Country})
\]

\[
\text{Dual \(\bowtie\) e62._e63._e64: hasMember} \quad \text{(forum: Forum)}
\]

Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-11)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-12)
C.1.13 simple-13

Query specification (simple-13)

1 // Most active Posters of a given Topic
2 MATCH (:Tag)<-[hasTag]-(message:Message)-[:hasCreator]->(person: Person),
3 (message)<-[likes]-fan:Person,
4 (message)<-[replyOf*]-(comment:Comment)
5 RETURN person.id, message.content, fan.id, comment.id
6 ORDER BY person.id, message.content, fan.id, comment.id
7 LIMIT 100
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-13)

\[
\lambda_{100} \pi_{\text{person.id}, \text{message.content}, \text{fan.id}, \text{comment.id}} \sigma_{\text{person.id} = \text{message.content}, \text{fan.id} = \text{comment.id}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \\
\neq_{e67, e68, e66, e69} \triangleright (\text{person} : \text{Person}) \downarrow (\text{message} : \text{Message}) \quad \neq_{e67 : \text{hasCreator}} \downarrow (\text{message} : \text{Message}) \\
\neq_{e66 : \text{hasTag}} \circ_{e66 : \text{Tag}} \\
\downarrow (\text{message} : \text{Message}) \\
\circ_{e68 : \text{likes}} \circ_{e66 : \text{Tag}} \\
\downarrow (\text{comment} : \text{Comment}) \\
\circ_{e69 : \text{replyOf} \infty} \\
\circ_{e65 : \text{Message}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-13)
INCREMENTAL RELATIONAL ALGEBRA TREE (SIMPLE-13)

Most authoritative users on a given topic

1 // Most authoritative users on a given topic
2 MATCH
3 (tag:Tag)<-[hasTag]-(::Message)-[:hasCreator]->(person1:Person)
4 MATCH
5 (person)<-[hasCreator]-[:Message]->(tag),
6 (message)<-[ likes ]-(person2:Person)<-[hasCreator]-(::Message)<-[ likes ]-(person3:Person)
7 RETURN person1.id, person2.id, person3.id, tag.name
8 ORDER BY person1.id, person2.id, person3.id, tag.name
9 LIMIT 100
C.1. Queries

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-14)

\[ \lambda_{1007}[\text{person1.id}, \text{person2.id}, \text{person3.id}, \text{tag.name}] \\exists \text{tag} \in \text{e72, e71} \\
\uparrow (\text{person1: Person}) \downarrow (\text{e70: Message}) \downarrow (\text{e71: hasTag} \circ (\text{tag: Tag}) \downarrow (\text{e78}: hasTag) \downarrow (\text{e74: hasTag} \circ (\text{person: Person}) \downarrow (\text{e77}: hasCreator) \circ (\text{message: Message}) \circ (\text{person2}) \downarrow (\text{e75}: likes)} \circ (\text{message: Message})

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-14)
C.1. Queries

C.1.15  simple-15

Query specification  (simple-15)

1  // Related Topics
2  MATCH (tag:Tag)<-[::hasTag*]-(:Message)<-[[:replyOf*]-(:Message)]-[:Comment]
3  RETURN tag.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation  (simple-15)

\[
\pi_{\text{tag.name}} \text{Dual} \left[ \exists \neq \text{e80, e81} \downarrow \left( \text{Comment} \right) \square_{\text{e80: hasTag}} \left[ \text{e81: replyOf*} \right] \downarrow \left( \text{tag} \right) \text{Message} \right]
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-15)
Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-15)

C.1.16 simple-16
Query specification (simple-16)

1 // Forum with related Tags
2 MATCH
3 (:TagClass)<-[:hasType]->(:Tag)<-[:hasTag]->(post1:Post)<-[:containerOf]->(forum:Forum)-[:containerOf]->(post2:Post)<-[:hasTag]->(:Tag)<-[:hasType]->(:TagClass),
4 (forum)-[:hasMember]->(person:Person)
5 RETURN forum.id, count(post1) AS count1, count(post2) AS count2
6 ORDER BY forum.id
7 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-16)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-16)
C.1. Queries

C.1.17 simple-17

Query specification (simple-17)

1 // Central Person for a Tag
2 MATCH (person:Person), (tag:Tag)
3 OPTIONAL MATCH (person)-[i:hasInterest]->(tag)
4 OPTIONAL MATCH (person)<-[c:hasCreator]-(message:Message)-[:hasTag]->(tag)
5 WITH person, CASE i WHEN null THEN 0 END + count(message) AS score
6 // TODO ADD friendsScore
7 RETURN person.id, score
8 ORDER BY score DESC, person.id ASC
9 LIMIT 100

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
C.1.18 simple-18

Query specification (simple-18)

```
// Trending Posts
MATCH
(message:Message)-[:hasCreator]->(creator:Person),
(message)<-[:likes]-(fan:Person)
WHERE message.creationDate > "2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
RETURN message.id, message.creationDate, creator.firstName, creator.lastName, fan.firstName, fan.lastName
ORDER BY message.id ASC, creator.id DESC
LIMIT 100
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-18)

\[ \lambda_{true} \pi_{message.id, creator.id, message.creationDate, creator.firstName, creator.lastName, fan.firstName, fan.lastName} \sigma_{message.creationDate > 2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000} \pi_{message.creationDate, creator.firstName, creator.lastName, fan.firstName, fan.lastName} (\text{creator} : \text{Person}) \Join_{\text{hasCreator}} (\text{message}) \Join_{\text{likes}} (\text{fan} : \text{Person}) \Join_{\text{Message}} (\text{message}) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-18)
Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-18)

C.1.19 simple-19

Query specification (simple-19)
1 MATCH (country:Country)<-[:isLocatedIn]-(message:Message)-[:hasTag]->(tag:Tag)
2 RETURN country.name, message.content, tag.name
3 ORDER BY country.name, message.content, tag.name
4 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-19)

\[ \lambda_{100?\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \neg \text{tag.name}} \text{country.name, message.content, tag.name}^\text{Dual} \triangleright_{\text{e96}, \text{e97}} \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{tag}: \text{Tag}) \] \[ _{\text{e97}: \text{hasTag}} \uparrow (\text{message}: \text{Message}) \] \[ _{\text{e96}: \text{isLocatedIn}} \odot (\text{country}: \text{Country}) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-19)

\(\Omega\)_{country.name, message.content, tag.name}
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)
\(\emptyset\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)

\(\lambda_{100}\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)
\(\emptyset\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)

\(\tau\)_{country.name, message.content, \uparrow{tag.name}}
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)
\(\emptyset\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)

\(\pi\)_{country.name, message.content, tag.name}
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)
\(\emptyset\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)

\(\neq\)_{e96, e97}
\((\text{country}, \_e96, \text{message}, \_e97, \text{tag})\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)
\((\text{country}, \_e96, \_e97, \text{message}, \_e97, \_e97, \text{tag}, \_\text{country.name}, \_\text{message.content}, \_\text{tag.name})\)

\(\uparrow\)_{\text{tag}: \text{Tag}}\_{\downarrow\text{e97}: \text{hasTag}}\)
\((\text{country}, \_e96, \text{message}, \_e97, \text{tag})\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content}, \text{tag.name})\)
\((\text{country}, \_e96, \_e97, \text{message}, \_e97, \_e97, \text{tag}, \_\text{country.name}, \_\text{message.content}, \_\text{tag.name})\)

\(\downarrow\)_{\text{message}: \text{Message}}\_{\downarrow\text{e96}: \text{isLocatedIn}}\)
\((\text{country}, \_e96, \text{message})\)
\((\text{country.name}, \text{message.content})\)
\((\text{country}, \_e96, \_e96, \_\text{message}, \_\text{country.name}, \_\text{message.content})\)

\(\circ\)_{\text{country}: \text{Country}}
\((\text{country})\)
\((\text{country.name})\)
\((\text{country}, \_\text{country.name})\)
Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-19)

Query specification (simple-20)

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-20)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-20)

\[ \Omega_{\text{tagClass}.\text{name}, \text{postCount}} \]

\[ \lambda_{100} \]

\[ \tau_{\text{postCount}, \text{tagClass}.\text{name}} \]

\[ \gamma_{\text{tagClass}.\text{name}} \]

\[ \neq_{\text{e99}_1, \text{e101}_2, \text{e100}} \]

\[ \downarrow_{(\text{tag})} \]

\[ \downarrow_{(\text{tag}: \text{Tag})} \]

\[ \downarrow_{(\text{tagClass})} \]
C.1.21 simple-21

Query specification (simple-21)

```
MATCH (person:Person)<-[:hasCreator]-(message:Message)<-[:replyOf*]-(reply:Message)
WHERE message.creationDate >= "2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
AND message.creationDate <= "2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
AND reply.creationDate >= "2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
AND reply.creationDate <= "2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
RETURN person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, message.creationDate
ORDER BY person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, message.creationDate
LIMIT 100
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-21)

\[
\lambda_{100} \left[ \text{person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, message.creationDate} \right]
\]
\[
\pi \text{person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, message.creationDate}
\]
\[
\sigma \text{message.creationDate} \geq '2010-01-01T00:00:00+00:00' \land \text{message.creationDate} \leq '2011-01-01T00:00:00+00:00'
\]
\[
\text{Dual} \left[ \text{e103, e102} \right] \downarrow (\text{reply, Message}) \left[ \text{e103: replyOf} \land \text{e102: hasCreator} \right]
\]
\[
\bigcirc (\text{person, Person})
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-21)
C.1.22 simple-22

Query specification (simple-22)

```sql
// Social normals
MATCH
(country:Country),
(country)<[:isPartOf]-> (:City)<[:isLocatedIn]->(somePerson:Person),
(country)<[:isPartOf]-> (:City)<[:isLocatedIn]->(friendOfSomePerson:Person),
(somePerson)<[:knows]->(friendOfSomePerson)
RETURN count(friendOfSomePerson) as cosp, count(somePerson) AS csp
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-22)

```sql
?count(friendOfSomePerson)→cosp.count(somePerson)→cspDual ⋈_e106_.e109_.e106_.e108_.e110 ⋈(country: Country) ⋈
↓ (somePerson: Person) [__e104]
↓ (__e104: City) [__e105: isLocatedIn (country: Country) ⋈
↓ (friendOfSomePerson: Person) [__e107]
↓ (__e107: City) [__e108: isPartOf (country: Country) ⋈
↑ (friendOfSomePerson) [__e110: knows] (somePerson: Person)
```

Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-21)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-22)
C.1.23  simple-23

Query specification (simple-23)

1 // Holiday specification
2 MATCH (homeCountry:Country)<[:isPartOf]-[:City]<[:isLocatedIn]-[:Person]<[:hasCreator]-[:message:Message]-[:isLocatedIn]-[:country:Country]
3 WHERE homeCountry <> country
4 RETURN count(message) AS messageCount, country.name, message.creationDate
5 ORDER BY messageCount DESC, country.name ASC, message.creationDate DESC
6 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-23)

\[\lambda_{100}: messageCount \uparrow country.name, message.creationDate \quad \text{count(message)} \rightarrow messageCount, country.name, message.creationDate\]

\[\text{homeCountry} \quad \text{city} \quad \text{message} \quad \text{country: Country} \quad [\_e116: isLocatedIn] \]

\[\text{__e115: hasCreator} \quad [\_e113: Person] \quad [\_e114: isLocatedIn] \]

\[\text{__e111: City} \quad [\_e112: isPartOf] \quad \text{homeCountry: Country}\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-23)
### C.1.24 Query specification (simple-24)

```sql
// Messages by Topic and Continent
MATCH
  (:TagClass)-[:hasType]->(:Tag)-[:hasTag]->(:message:Message)<-[:likes]->(:person:Person),
  (message)-[:isLocatedIn]->(:Country)-[:isPartOf]->(continent:Continent)
WITH message, person, continent
RETURN count(message) AS messageCount, count(person) AS likeCount, continent.name
ORDER BY messageCount, likeCount, continent.name
LIMIT 100
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-24)

$$\lambda_{100}^\top \text{messageCount}, \text{likeCount}, \text{continent.name} \to \text{messageCount}.\text{count(message)} \to \text{messageCount}.\text{count}(\text{person}) \to \text{likeCount}, \text{continent.name}$$

$$\pi_{\text{message}, \text{person}, \text{continent}} \text{Dual} \Join \neq \_e119, \_e124 \Join \_e121 \Join \_e120 \Join \_e123 \Join (\text{person} : \text{Person}) \Join (\_e121 : \text{likes})$$

$$\nabla (\text{message} : \text{Message}) \Join \_e120 : \text{hasTag} \Join \_e118 \Join \_e117 \Join (\_e119 : \text{hasType}) \Join (\_e117 : \text{TagClass})$$

$$\nabla (\text{continent} : \text{Continent}) \Join \_e124 : \text{isPartOf} \Join (\_e122 : \text{Country}) \Join (\_e118 : \text{isLocatedIn}) \Join (\_e117 : \text{Message})$$

Dual
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-24)
C.1.25  simple-25

Query specification (simple-25)

1 // Experts in social circle
2 MATCH (person:Person)-[:knows*1..2]-(:Person)
3 RETURN person.id

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-25)

\[
\pi_{\text{person.id}} \text{Dual } \exists \neq_1 \text{e126} (\text{person}) [\text{e125}: \text{Person}] \bigcup [\text{e126}: \text{knows*2}] \bigcup (\text{person}: \text{Person})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-25)

Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-25)
C.1.26   simple-26

Query specification (simple-26)

1 // Stranger's interaction
2 MATCH
3 (:TagClass)<-[[:hasType]-(:Tag)<-[[:hasTag]-(forum1:Forum),
4 (:TagClass)<-[[:hasType]-(:Tag)<-[[:hasTag]-(forum2:Forum),
5 (forum1)-[:hasMember]->(person:Person)<-[[:hasMember]-(forum2),
6 (forum1)-[:hasMember]->(stranger:Person)<-[[:hasMember]-(forum2)
7 WHERE NOT
8     (person)-[:knows]-(stranger)
9     AND person.birthday > "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
10 RETURN person.id, stranger.id
11 ORDER BY person.id, stranger.id
12 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (simple-26)

\[\lambda_{100} \pi_{\text{person.id}, \text{stranger.id}} \sigma_{\text{NOT}\ (\text{person} \neq \text{null})} (\text{AND person.birthday > "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000")} (\text{return person.id, stranger.id})\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (simple-26)
Incremental relational algebra tree (simple-26)
Appendix D

LDBC Social Network Benchmark – Interactive Workload

D.1 Queries
D.1.1 interactive-1

Query specification (interactive-1)

1 // Q1. Extract description of friends with a given name Given a person's firstName, return up to 20 people with the same first name, sorted by increasing distance (max 3) from a given person, and for people within the same distance sorted by last name. Results should include the list of workplaces and places of study.
2 MATCH ([:Person]-[path:knows*1..3]-(friend:Person))
3 WHERE friend.firstName = "firstName"
4 WITH friend, min(length(path)) AS distance
5 ORDER BY distance ASC, friend.lastName ASC, friend.id ASC
6 LIMIT 10
7
8 MATCH (friend)-[:isLocatedIn]->(friendCity:City)
9 OPTIONAL MATCH (friend)-[:studyAt:studyAt]->(uni:University)-[:isLocatedIn]->(uniCity:City)
10 WITH friend,
11 collect([(uni.name, studyAt.classYear, uniCity.name)]) AS unis,
12 friendCity,
13 distance
14 OPTIONAL MATCH (friend)-[:worksAt:worksAt]->(company:Company)-[:isLocatedIn]->(companyCountry:Country)
15 WITH friend,
16 collect([company.name, worksAt.workFrom, companyCountry.name]) AS companies,
17 unis,
18 friendCity,
19 distance
20 RETURN
21 friend.id AS id,
22 friend.lastName AS lastName,
23 distance,
24 friend.birthday AS birthday,
25 friend.creationDate AS creationDate,
26 friend.gender AS gender,
27 friend.browserUsed AS browser,
28 friend.locationIP AS locationIP,
29 friend.email AS emails,
30 friend.languages AS languages,
31 friendCity.name AS cityName,
32 unis,
33 companies
34 ORDER BY distance ASC, friend.lastName ASC, friend.id ASC
35 LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-1)

\lambda_{10}^{\tau_{\text{distance}, \tau_{\text{friend.lastName}, \tau_{\text{friend.id}}}}
|\begin{array}{l}
\neg rightleftharpoons
friend.id -> id, friend.lastName -> lastName, distance, friend.birthday -> birthday, friend.creationDate -> creationDate, friend.gender -> gender, friend.browserUsed -> browser, friend.locationIP -> locationIP, friend.email -> emails, friend.languages -> languages, friendCity.name -> cityName, unis, companies
\end{array}
\}^\tau_{\text{distance}, \tau_{\text{friend.lastName}, \tau_{\text{friend.id}}}}^{\text{Dual}}$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-1)

Incremental relational algebra tree (interactive-1)
D.1.2 interactive-2

Query specification (interactive-2)

// Q2. Find the newest 20 posts and comments from your friends. Given a start Person, find (most recent) Posts and Comments from all of that Person’s friends, that were created before (and including) a given Date. Return the top 20 Posts/Comments, and the Person that created each of them. Sort results descending by creation date, and then ascending by Post identifier.

MATCH (:Person)-[:knows]-(friend:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]-(message)
WHERE message.creationDate <= "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" AND (message:Post OR message:Comment)
RETURN friend.id AS personId,
    friend.firstName AS personFirstName,
    friend.lastName AS personLastName,
    message.id AS messageId,
    coalesce(message.content, message.imageFile) AS messageContent,
    message.creationDate AS messageDate
ORDER BY messageDate DESC, messageId ASC
LIMIT 10

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
D.1.3 interactive-3

Query specification (interactive-3)

1 // Q3. Friends within 2 steps that recently traveled to countries X and Y. Find top 20 friends
2 and friends of friends of a given Person who have made a post or a comment in the foreign
3 CountryX and CountryY within a specified period of DurationInDays after a startDate. Sorted
4 results descending by total number of posts.
5 MATCH
6 (person:Person)-[:knows*1..2]-(friend:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]-(messageX),
7 (messageX)<[:isLocatedIn]-->((countryX:Country)
8 WHERE NOT(person = friend) // I think this condition is unnecessary as Cypher will not travel
9 the same edge twice (szarnyasg)
10 AND NOT((friend)-[:isLocatedIn]-->()[-[:isPartOf]-->((countryX))
11 AND countryX.name = "countryXName"
12 AND messageX.creationDate >= "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
13 AND messageX.creationDate < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
14 WITH friend, count(DISTINCT messageX) AS xCount
15 MATCH (friend)<-[[:hasCreator]-(messageY)-[:isLocatedIn]-->((countryY:Country)
16 WHERE countryY.name = "countryYName"
17 AND NOT((friend)-[:isLocatedIn]-->()[-[:isPartOf]-->((countryY))
18 AND messageY.creationDate >= "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
19 AND messageY.creationDate < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
20 WITH
21 friend.id AS friendId,
22 friend.firstName AS friendFirstName,
23 friend.lastName AS friendLastName,
24 xCount,
25 yCount,
26 xCount + yCount AS xyCount
27 RETURN
28 friend.id, friend.firstName, friend.lastName, xCount, yCount, xyCount
29 ORDER BY
30 xyCount DESC,
31 friendId ASC
32 LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-3)

\[ \lambda^{xyCount, friendId, friendFirstName, friendLastName, xCount, yCount, xyCount} \]
\[ \sigma^{\text{countryX.name} = "countryXName" \land \neg (\text{friend} \neq \text{null} \land \_e338 \neq \text{null} \land \_e339 \neq \text{null} \land \text{countryY} \neq \text{null}) \land \text{messageY.creationDate} \geq "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \neg (\text{friend} \neq \text{null} \land \text{friend.lastName} \neq \text{null}) \land \text{countryX.name} = "countryXName" \land \text{messageX.creationDate} \geq "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \text{messageX.creationDate} < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"} \]
\[ 
\text{Dual} \gg \_e331 \gg \_e330 \gg \_e332 \gg \_e331 \gg \text{hasCreator} \gg \_e330 \gg \text{knows*2} \]
\[ \sigma^{\neg (\text{person} = \text{friend}) \land \neg (\text{friend} \neq \text{null} \land \_e338 \neq \text{null} \land \_e339 \neq \text{null} \land \text{countryY} \neq \text{null}) \land \text{messageY.creationDate} \geq "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \neg (\text{friend} \neq \text{null} \land \text{friend.lastName} \neq \text{null}) \land \text{countryX.name} = "countryXName" \land \text{messageX.creationDate} \geq "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \text{messageX.creationDate} < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"} \]
\[ 
\text{Dual} \gg \_e331 \gg \_e330 \gg \_e332 \gg \_e331 \gg \text{hasCreator} \gg \_e330 \gg \text{knows*2} \]
\[ \sigma^{\neg (\text{person} = \text{friend}) \land \neg (\text{friend} \neq \text{null} \land \_e338 \neq \text{null} \land \_e339 \neq \text{null} \land \text{countryY} \neq \text{null}) \land \text{messageY.creationDate} \geq "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \neg (\text{friend} \neq \text{null} \land \text{friend.lastName} \neq \text{null}) \land \text{countryX.name} = "countryXName" \land \text{messageX.creationDate} \geq "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \text{messageX.creationDate} < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"} \]
\[ 
\text{Dual} \gg \_e331 \gg \_e330 \gg \_e332 \gg \_e331 \gg \text{hasCreator} \gg \_e330 \gg \text{knows*2} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-3)

Incremental relational algebra tree (interactive-3)
D.1.4 interactive-4

Query specification (interactive-4)

// Q4. New Topics. Given a start Person, find the top 10 most popular Tags (by total number of posts with the tag) that are attached to Posts that were created by that Person's friends within a given time interval.
MATCH (person:Person)-[:knows]-(:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]-(post:Post)-[hasTag]->(tag:Tag)
WHERE post.creationDate >= "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
AND post.creationDate < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
OPTIONAL MATCH (tag)<-[[:hasTag]-(oldPost:Post)
WHERE oldPost.creationDate < "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
WITH tag, post, length(collect(oldPost)) AS oldPostCount
WHERE oldPostCount = 0
RETURN
  tag.name AS tagName,
  length(collect(post)) AS postCount
ORDER BY
  postCount DESC,
  tagName ASC
LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-4)

\[
\lambda_{10}[(\text{postCount}, \uparrow \text{tagName}, \uparrow \text{name}(\text{collect}(\text{post})), \rightarrow \text{postCount}, \rightarrow \text{oldPostCount} = 0 \\
\text{post}, \text{tag}
\rightarrow \text{post}, \text{tag.length}(\text{collect}(\text{oldPost})), \rightarrow \text{oldPostCount}
\rightarrow \uparrow (\text{post}, \text{creationDate} \geq "1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \text{post.creationDate} < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000") \land \neg \mathcal{\Delta} \neg \equiv \mathcal{\Delta} \\
\uparrow (\text{e341}, \text{Person}) \land \uparrow \rightarrow (\text{e342}, \text{Person}) \land \neg \mathcal{\Delta} \neg \equiv \mathcal{\Delta} \\
\uparrow (\text{e341}, \text{Person}) \land \uparrow \rightarrow (\text{e342}, \text{Person}) \land \neg \mathcal{\Delta} \neg \equiv \mathcal{\Delta}]
\text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-4)
Incremental relational algebra tree (interactive-4)
D.1.5 interactive-5

Query specification (interactive-5)

// Q5. New groups. Given a start Person, find the top 20 Forums the friends and friends of
friends of that Person joined after a given Date. Sort results descending by the number of
Posts in each Forum that were created by any of these Persons.

MATCH (person:Person)-[:knows*1..2]-(friend:Person)<-[membership:hasMember]-(forum:Forum)
WHERE membership.joinDate > "2000-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
AND NOT(person = friend) // I think this condition is unnecessary as Cypher will not travel
the same edge twice (szarnyasg)
WITH DISTINCT friend, forum
OPTIONAL MATCH (friend)<-[[:hasCreator]-(post:Post)<-[[:containerOf]-(forum)
WITH forum, count(post) AS postCount
RETURN
  forum.title AS forumName,
  postCount
ORDER BY
  postCount DESC,
  forum.id ASC
LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-5)

$$\lambda_{10} \delta \sigma_{\text{membership}.joinDate > "2000-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \lnot (\text{person} = \text{friend})} \pi_{\text{forum}.id, \text{forum}.title, \text{postCount}} \rho_{\text{forum}} \alpha_{\text{forum}} \gamma_{\text{forum}, \text{count}\left(\text{post}\right)} \rightarrow_{\text{friend}, \text{forum}}$$

$$\nabla \downarrow_{\text{postCount}} \uparrow \downarrow_{\text{forum}} \text{title} \rightarrow_{\text{postCount}} \text{forum} \pi_{\text{forum}} \sigma_{\text{membership}.joinDate > "2000-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \lnot (\text{person} = \text{friend})} \delta \pi_{\text{friend}, \text{forum}}$$

$$\nabla \downarrow_{\text{friend}} \uparrow \downarrow_{\text{post}} \text{ knows}*2 \rightarrow_{\text{person}} \text{ forum} \pi_{\text{forum}} \sigma_{\text{membership}.joinDate > "2000-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \lnot (\text{person} = \text{friend})} \delta \pi_{\text{friend}, \text{forum}}$$

$$\nabla \downarrow_{\text{e346}, \text{e347}} \uparrow \downarrow_{\text{post}} \text{ containerOf} \rightarrow_{\text{friend}} \text{ forum} \pi_{\text{forum}} \sigma_{\text{membership}.joinDate > "2000-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \lnot (\text{person} = \text{friend})} \delta \pi_{\text{friend}, \text{forum}}$$

$$\nabla \downarrow_{\text{e346}, \text{e347}} \uparrow \downarrow_{\text{post}} \text{ hasCreator} \rightarrow_{\text{friend}} \text{ forum} \pi_{\text{forum}} \sigma_{\text{membership}.joinDate > "2000-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000" \land \lnot (\text{person} = \text{friend})} \delta \pi_{\text{friend}, \text{forum}}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-5)
Incremental relational algebra tree (interactive-5)
D.1.6 interactive-6

Query specification (interactive-6)

1. // Q6. Tag co-occurrence. Given a start Person and some Tag, find the other Tags that occur together with this Tag on Posts that were created by Person's friends and friends of friends. Return top 10 Tags, sorted descending by the count of Posts that were created by these Persons, which contain both this Tag and the given Tag.

2. MATCH (person:Person)-[:knows*1..2]-(friend:Person),
3. (friend)<-[[:hasCreator]]-(friendPost:Post)-[:hasTag]->(knownTag:Tag)
4. WHERE NOT (person = friend) // I think this condition is unnecessary as Cypher will not travel the same edge twice (szarnyasg)
5. MATCH (friendPost)-[:hasTag]->(commonTag:Tag)
6. WHERE NOT (commonTag = knownTag)
7. WITH DISTINCT commonTag, knownTag, friend
8. MATCH (commonTag)<-[[:hasTag]]-(commonPost:Post)-[:hasTag]->(knownTag)
9. WHERE (commonPost)-[:hasCreator]->(friend)
10. RETURN
11. commonTag.name AS tagName,
12. count(commonPost) AS postCount
13. ORDER BY
14. postCount DESC,
15. tagName ASC
16. LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-6)

\[
\lambda_{10} \cdot \text{postCount}, \text{tagName} \cdot \pi_{\text{commonTag.name}}(\sigma_{\text{knownTag}}(\lambda_{e348} \cdot \text{friend}) \circ \sigma_{\text{knownTag}}(\lambda_{e349} \cdot \text{friendPost}) \cdot \pi_{\text{commonPost}}(\lambda_{\text{hasCreator}}(\lambda_{e350} \cdot \text{friendPost}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasTag}}(\lambda_{e351} \cdot \text{knownTag}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasTag}}(\lambda_{e352} \cdot \text{commonPost}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasCreator}}(\lambda_{e354} \cdot \text{commonPost}) \circ \lambda_{\text{commonPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{commonTag}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{friendPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{knownTag}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{commonPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{knownTag}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{friendPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{hasCreator}}(\lambda_{e355} \cdot \text{knownTag}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasTag}}(\lambda_{e356} \cdot \text{commonPost}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasTag}}(\lambda_{e357} \cdot \text{knownTag}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasCreator}}(\lambda_{e358} \cdot \text{commonPost}) \circ \lambda_{\text{commonPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{knownTag}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{friendPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{hasCreator}}(\lambda_{e359} \cdot \text{knownTag}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasTag}}(\lambda_{e360} \cdot \text{commonPost}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasTag}}(\lambda_{e361} \cdot \text{knownTag}) \circ \sigma_{\text{hasCreator}}(\lambda_{e362} \cdot \text{commonPost}) \circ \lambda_{\text{commonPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{knownTag}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{friendPost}}(\text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null} \land \text{null}) \circ \lambda_{\text{hasCreator}}(\lambda_{e363} \cdot \text{knownTag}))
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-6)
Incremental relational algebra tree (interactive-6)
D.1.7 interactive-7

Query specification (interactive-7)
1  // Q7. Recent likes. For the specified Person get the most recent likes of any of the person's 
2  posts, and the latency between the corresponding post and the like. Flag Likes from outside 
3  the direct connections. Return top 20 Likes, ordered descending by creation date of the like 
4  .
5  MATCH (person:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]]-(message)<-[[:like:likes]]-(liker:Person)
6  WITH liker, message, like.creationDate AS likeTime, person
7  ORDER BY
8  likeTime DESC,
9  message.id ASC
10  WITH liker, head(collect({msg: message, likeTime: likeTime})) AS latestLike, person
11  RETURN
12  liker.id AS personId,
13  liker.firstName AS personFirstName,
14  liker.lastName AS personLastName,
15  NOT((liker)-[:knows]-(person)) AS isNew,
16  latestLike.msg.id AS messageId,
17  latestLike.msg.content AS messageContent
18  ORDER BY
19  likeTime DESC,
20  personId ASC
21  LIMIT 10

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query 
 specification.

D.1.8 interactive-8

Query specification (interactive-8)
1  // Q8. Most recent replies. This query retrieves the 20 most recent reply comments to all the 
2  posts and comments of Person, ordered descending by creation date.
3  MATCH (start:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]]-()<-[[:replyOf]]-(comment:Comment)-[[:hasCreator]->(person:
4  Person)
5  RETURN
6  person.id AS personId,
7  person.firstName AS personFirstName,
8  person.lastName AS personLastName,
9  comment.id AS commentId,
10  comment.creationDate AS commentCreationDate,
11  comment.content AS commentContent
12  ORDER BY
13  commentCreationDate DESC,
14  commentId ASC
15  LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-8)

\[ \lambda_{10} \tau_{\downarrow commentCreationDate, \uparrow commentId} \pi_{person.id \rightarrow id, person.firstName \rightarrow firstName, person.lastName \rightarrow lastName, comment.id \rightarrow id, comment.creationDate \rightarrow creationDate, comment.content \rightarrow content} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-8)
Query specification (interactive-9)

// Q9. Latest Posts. Find the most recent 20 posts and comments from all friends, or friends-of-

friend.firstName
friend.id
message.creationDate
coalesce(message.content, message.imageFile)
message.id

RETURN DISTINCT

WHERE

message.creationDate < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"

D.1.9 interactive-9
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-9)
Incremental relational algebra tree (interactive-9)
D.1.10 interactive-10

Query specification (interactive-10)

// Q10. Friend recommendation. Find top 10 friends of a friend who posts much about the interests of Person and little about not interesting topics for the user. The search is restricted by the candidate's horoscopeSign. Returns friends for whom the difference between the total number of their posts about the interests of the specified user and the total number of their posts about topics that are not interests of the user, is as large as possible. Sort the result descending by this difference.

MATCH (person:Person)-[:knows*2..2]-(friend:Person)-[:isLocatedIn]->(city:City)
WHERE ((friend.birthday_month = 10 AND friend.birthday_day >= 21)
OR (friend.birthday_month = (10 + 1) % 12 AND friend.birthday_day < 22))
AND NOT(friend = person) // I think this condition is unnecessary as Cypher will not travel the same edge twice (szarnyasg)
AND NOT((friend)-[:knows]-(person))
WITH DISTINCT friend, city, person
OPTIONAL MATCH (friend)<-[[:hasCreator]-(post:Post)
WITH friend, city, collect(post) AS posts,
length(posts) AS postCount,
length([p IN posts WHERE (p)-[:hasTag]->(:Tag)<-[[:hasInterest]-(person)]] AS commonPostCount
RETURN friend.id AS personId,
friend.firstName AS personFirstName,
friend.lastName AS personLastName,
friend.gender AS personGender,
city.name AS personCityName,
commonPostCount - (postCount - commonPostCount) AS commonInterestScore
ORDER BY
commonInterestScore DESC,
personId ASC
LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-10)

\( \lambda_{10} \uparrow \text{commonInterestScore,} \downarrow \text{personId} \)

\( \pi_{\text{friend.id} \rightarrow \text{id,}} \text{friend.firstName} \rightarrow \text{firstName,} \text{friend.lastName} \rightarrow \text{lastName,} \text{friend.gender} \rightarrow \text{gender,} \text{city.name} \rightarrow \text{name,} \text{commonPostCount} \rightarrow \text{postCount,} \text{commonInterestScore} \rightarrow \text{commonInterestScore} \)

\( \pi_{\text{friend.city.length(posts) \rightarrow postCount,} \text{length(NULL)} \rightarrow \text{commonPostCount} \rightarrow \text{friend.city.collect(post) \rightarrow posts.person}} \)

\( \pi_{\text{friend.city.person}} \)

\( \sigma_{\text{friend.birthday_month} = 10 \land \text{friend.birthday_day} \geq 21 \lor \text{friend.birthday_month} = 10 + 1 \mod 12 \land \text{friend.birthday_day} < 22 \land \neg \text{friend = person} \land \neg \text{friend}\)}

Dual \( \neq_e 364 \neq_e 363 \updownarrow_{\text{city,}} \text{City} \downarrow [\text{e364: isLocatedIn}] \uparrow_{\text{friend,}} \text{Person} \mid [\text{e363: knows}] \)

Dual \( \neq_e 365 \neq_e 366 \downarrow_{\text{person,}} \text{Person} \downarrow [\text{e365: knows}] \neq_e 366 \updownarrow_{\text{post,}} \text{Post} \mid [\text{e366: hasCreator}] \)

Dual
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-10)
D.1.11 interactive-11

Query specification (interactive-11)

1 // Q11. Job referral. Find top 10 friends of the specified Person, or a friend of her friend (excluding the specified person), who has long worked in a company in a specified Country. Sort ascending by start date, and then ascending by person identifier.
2 MATCH (person:Person)-[:knows*1..2]-(friend:Person)
3 WHERE NOT(person = friend) // I think this condition is unnecessary as Cypher will not travel the same edge twice (azarnyag)
4 WITH DISTINCT friend
5 MATCH (friend)-[worksAt:worksAt]->(company:Company)-[:isLocatedIn]->(:Country)
6 WHERE worksAt.workFrom < "2050-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000"
7 RETURN
8 friend.id AS friendId,
9 friend.firstName AS friendFirstName,
10 friend.lastName AS friendLastName,
11 worksAt.workFrom AS workFromYear,
12 company.name AS companyName
13 ORDER BY
14 workFromYear ASC,
15 friendId ASC,
16 companyName ASC
17 LIMIT 10
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-11)

\[ \lambda_{10\tau\pi\delta\pi\sigma}(\text{workFromYear},\text{id},\text{friendId},\text{companyName}) \]

\[ \pi_{\text{id}, \text{id}, \text{firstName}, \text{lastName}, \text{workFrom}, \text{workFrom}, \text{company}, \text{name}} \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{workFrom}<"2050-01-01T00:00:00.0000+0000", \text{id}, \text{friend}} \]

\[ \delta_{\text{friend}=\text{friend}} \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{workFrom} \neq \text{workFrom}, \text{company}} \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{friend}: \text{Person}) \uparrow (\text{company}: \text{Company}) \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{company}: \text{Country}) \uparrow (\text{friend}: \text{Person}) \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{company}: \text{Company}) \uparrow (\text{friend}: \text{Person}) \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{company}: \text{Country}) \uparrow (\text{friend}: \text{Person}) \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{company}: \text{Company}) \uparrow (\text{friend}: \text{Person}) \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{company}: \text{Country}) \uparrow (\text{friend}: \text{Person}) \]

\[ \uparrow (\text{company}: \text{Company}) \uparrow (\text{friend}: \text{Person}) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-11)
Incremental relational algebra tree (interactive-11)
D.1.12 interactive-12

Query specification (interactive-12)

1 // Q12. Expert Search. Find friends of a Person who have replied the most to posts with a tag in a given TagCategory. Return top 20 persons, sorted descending by number of replies.
2 MATCH (:Person)-[:knows]-(friend:Person)
3 OPTIONAL MATCH
4 (friend)<-[[:hasCreator]-[:hasTag]-(tag:Post)-[:replyOf]->(:Comment)-[:hasCreator]->(tag:Tag),
5 (tag)-[:hasType]->(tagClass:TagClass)-[:isSubclassOf*0..]->(baseTagClass:TagClass)
6 WHERE tagClass.name = "class"
7 OR baseTagClass.name = "class"
8 RETURN
9 friend.id AS friendId,
10 friend.firstName AS friendFirstName,
11 friend.lastName AS friendLastName,
12 collect(DISTINCT tag.name) AS tagNames,
13 count(DISTINCT comment) AS count
14 ORDER BY
15 count DESC,
16 friendId ASC
17 LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (interactive-12)

\[\lambda_{\text{friend id}}\top_{\text{friend id, friend firstName, friend lastName, count, tagNames}}\left\langle\text{ Dual }\not\equiv_{\text{tag}} \downarrow (\text{friend: Person}) \circ_{\text{e371: knows}} \bigcirc_{\text{e370: Person}} \downarrow \not\equiv_{\text{e370: e371}} \bigcirc_{\text{e374: replyOf}} \downarrow (\text{comment: Comment}) \circ_{\text{e372: hasCreator}} \bigcirc_{\text{e373: Post}} \downarrow \not\equiv_{\text{e373: e374}} \bigcirc_{\text{e377: isSubclassOf*}} \downarrow \not\equiv_{\text{e377: e376}} \bigcirc_{\text{e376: hasType}} \bigcirc_{\text{tag: Tag}}\right\rangle\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (interactive-12)
D.1.13 interactive-13

Query specification (interactive-13)

1 // Q13. Single shortest path. Given PersonX and PersonY, find the shortest path between them in
   the subgraph induced by the Knows relationships. Return the length of this path.
2 MATCH (person1:Person), (person2:Person)
3 OPTIONAL MATCH path = shortestPath((person1)-[:knows]-(person2))
4 RETURN length(path) AS pathLength

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
Appendix E

LDBC Social Network Benchmark – Business Intelligence Workload

E.1 Queries

E.1.1 bi-1

Query specification (bi-1)

```sql
// Posting summary
MATCH (message:Message)
WHERE message.creationDate <= '2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'
UNWIND labels(message) AS label
WITH message,
toiInt(substring(message.creationDate, 0, 4)) AS year,
length(message.content) AS length,
label
WITH message,
year,
CASE
  WHEN length < 40 THEN 'short'
  WHEN length < 80 THEN 'one liner'
  WHEN length < 160 THEN 'tweet'
  ELSE 'long'
END AS lengthCategory,
label
WHERE label <> 'Message'
RETURN year,
label AS messageType,
lengthCategory,
count(message) AS messageCount,
avg(message.length) AS averageMessageLength,
sum(message.length) AS sumMessageLength
ORDER BY year DESC, messageType ASC, lengthCategory ASC
LIMIT 100
```
Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

E.1.2 bi-2

Query specification (bi-2)

```sql
// Top tags for country, age, gender, time
MATCH (country:Country)<-[[:isPartOf]]-(city:City)<-[[:isLocatedIn]]-(person:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]]-(message:Message)<-[[:hasTag]]->(tag:Tag)

WITH
country.name AS countryName,
toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 5, 2)) AS month,
person.gender AS gender,
floor(toFloat(2013-toInt(substring(person.birthday, 0, 4))))/5 AS ageGroup,
tag.name AS tagName,
message

WITH
countryName, month, gender, ageGroup, tagName,
count(message) AS messageCount

// WHERE messageCount > 100
RETURN countryName, month, gender, ageGroup, tagName, messageCount

ORDER BY messageCount DESC, tagName ASC, ageGroup ASC, gender ASC, month ASC, countryName ASC
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-2)

$$
\tau_{\text{messageCount}}\downarrow\text{messageCount}, \uparrow\text{tagName}, \uparrow\text{ageGroup}, \uparrow\text{gender}, \uparrow\text{month, } \uparrow\text{countryName}
\pi_{\text{countryName, month, gender, ageGroup, tagName, count}(message)\ AS \ messageCount}
\gamma_{\text{ageGroup, countryName, gender, month, tagName}}
\pi_{\text{country.name} \rightarrow \text{name}, \text{toInt}(\text{substring}(\text{message.creationDate}, 5, 2)) \rightarrow \text{month}, \text{person.gender} \rightarrow \text{gender}}
\text{Dual} \bowtie^{\text{e205, e203, e206, e204}} \uparrow^{\text{tag}: \text{Tag}} \underline{\text{e206}: \text{hasTag}}
\uparrow^{\text{message}: \text{Message}} \underline{\text{e205}: \text{hasCreator}}
\uparrow^{\text{person}: \text{Person}} \underline{\text{e204}: \text{isLocatedIn}}
\downarrow^{\text{city}: \text{City}} \underline{\text{e203}: \text{isPartOf}} \circ_{\text{country}: \text{Country}} \text{Dual} \\
$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-2)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-2)

E.1.3 bi-3

Query specification (bi-3)

1 // Tag evolution
2 MATCH (tag:Tag)<-[hasTag]-(message:Message)
3 RETURN tag.name, count(message) AS countMonthi, length(tag.name) AS tn
4 ORDER BY tag.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-3)

\[
\tau_{\text{tag.name}} \pi_{\text{tag.name}, \text{count(message)}, \text{countMonthi}, \text{length(tag.name)}} (\text{tn} \leftarrow \text{Dual}(\text{message} : \text{Message} \mid \text{e207} : \text{hasTag})) (\text{tag})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-3)
E.1.4 bi-4

Query specification (bi-4)

```
MATCH (:Country)<[:isPartOf]-(:City)<[:isLocatedIn]-(person:Person)<[:hasModerator]-(forum:Forum)<[:containerOf]->(post:Post)<[:hasTag]->(:Tag)<[:hasType]->(:TagClass)
RETURN forum.id, forum.title, forum.creationDate, person.id, count(post) AS count
ORDER BY count DESC, forum.id ASC
LIMIT 20
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-4)

```
λE_207.?count.(forum:Forum)\{forum.id,forum.title,forum.creationDate,person.id\}→count(Dual ⊢)
≠_e217.,_e212.,_e211.,_e213.,_e215.,_e210↑(_e216.:TagClass)[_e217.:hasType]↑(_e214.:Tag)[_e215.:hasTag]
↑(post:Post)[_e213.:containerOf]↑(forum:Forum)[_e212.:hasModerator]
downarrow(person:Person)[_e211.:isLocatedIn]↓(_e209.:City)[_e203.:isPartOf]Ο(_e208.:Country)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-4)
E.1.5  bi-5

Query specification (bi-5)

1 // Top posters in a country
2 MATCH (:Country)<-[[:isPartOf]-[:City]<-[[:isLocatedIn]-(person:Person)<-[[:hasMember]-(forum:Forum)]]
3 WITH forum, count(person) AS numberOfMembers
4 ORDER BY numberOfMembers DESC
5 LIMIT 100
6 MATCH (forum)<-[[:hasMember]-(person:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]-(post:Post)]
7 RETURN person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, count(post) AS postCount
8 ORDER BY postCount DESC, person.id ASC
9 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-5)

\[
\lambda_{100} \neg \text{postCount} \land \text{postCount} \land \text{id} \land \text{firstName} \land \text{lastName} \land \text{creationDate} \land \text{count(person)} \rightarrow \text{postCount} \land \text{100}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-5)

1. Queries

\( \Pi \text{person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, person.postCount} \)
\( \{ \text{person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, person.postCount} \} \)

\( \lambda_{\text{forum}} \)
\( \{ \text{person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, person.postCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, person.postCount} \} \)

\( \tau_{\text{numberOfMembers}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{postCount}: \text{person.id}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, person.postCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, person.postCount} \} \)

\( \bowtie \{ \text{forum} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \lambda_{\text{forum}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \uparrow \{ \text{person: Person} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)

\( \delta_{\text{post}: \text{Post}} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
\( \{ \text{forum.id, forum.numberOfMembers, forum.personCount} \} \)
E.1.6 bi-6

Query specification (bi-6)

1 // Most active Posters of a given Topic
2 MATCH (:Tag)<-[[:hasTag]]-(message:Message)-[:hasCreator]->(person: Person),
3 (message)<-[[:replyOf*]]-(comment:Comment)
4 WITH person, count(message) AS postCount, count(comment) AS replyCount, count(fan) AS likeCount
5 RETURN person.id, postCount, replyCount, likeCount, 1*postCount+2*replyCount+10*likeCount AS score
6 ORDER BY likeCount DESC, person.id ASC
7 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-6)

\[
\lambda^{1007} \text{likeCount, person.id, postCount, replyCount, likeCount, 1*postCount+2*replyCount+10*likeCount} \rightarrow \text{score}
\]

\[
\text{Dual} \times \text{e229} \times \text{e228} \times \text{e226} \times \text{e227} \\
\text{Dual} \times \text{e229} \times \text{e228} \times \text{e226} \\
\text{Dual} \times \text{e229} \times \text{e228} \\
\text{Dual} \times \text{e229} \\
\text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-6)
E.1.7 bi-7

Query specification (bi-7)

```sql
// Most authoritative users on a given topic
MATCH (tag:Tag)-[:hasTag]-(:Message)-[:hasCreator]->(person1:Person)
MATCH (person)<-[:hasCreator]-(message:Message)-[:hasTag]-(tag),
(message)<-[:likes]-(person2:Person)<-[:hasCreator]-(:Message)<[:likes]-(person3:Person)
RETURN person1.id, count(person) AS authorityScore
ORDER BY authorityScore DESC, person1.id ASC
LIMIT 100
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-7)

\[
\lambda_{1007}[^{\text{authorityScore}, \text{person1.id}}]^{\text{person1.id}} \text{count}^{\text{person3}} \rightarrow ^{\text{authorityScore}} \text{Dual} \triangledown \neq \neq \text{e232}, \text{e231}
\]

\[
\uparrow \text{person1: Person} \quad [\text{e232: hasCreator}] \quad \downarrow \quad \text{(_e230: Message)} \quad [\text{e231: hasTag}] \quad \triangledown \quad \text{(tag)}
\]

\[
\neq \neq \text{e234}, \text{e235}, \text{e233}, \text{e238}, \text{e237} \quad \uparrow \quad \text{(_e234: Tag)} \quad \downarrow \quad \text{(_e231: hasTag)} \quad \triangledown \quad \text{(tag: Tag)}
\]

\[
\circ \quad \text{(_e233: hasCreator)} \quad \triangledown \quad \text{(_e237: hasCreator)}
\]

\[
\downarrow \quad \text{(_e235: likes)} \quad \circ \quad \text{(message: Message)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-7)
E.1.8  bi-8-b

Query specification (bi-8-b)

MATCH (comment:Comment)-[:replyOf*]->(:Message)-[:hasTag]->(tag:Tag)
RETURN tag.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-8-b)

\[ \pi_{\text{tag.name}} \text{Dual} \neq \exists_{\text{e240}, \text{e241}} \uparrow (\text{tag:Tag}) \neq_{\text{e239}} \exists_{\text{Message}} \neq_{\text{e241}} \text{hasTag} \uparrow \text{Message} \neq_{\text{e240}} \text{replyOf}\_1 \]

\[ \ominus (\text{comment:Comment}) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-8-b)

\[ \Omega_{\text{tag.name}} \]
\[ \pi_{\text{tag.name}} \]
\[ \uparrow \text{(tag: Tag)} \quad \_e239: \text{hasTag} \]
\[ \langle \text{comment, } \_e240]^{\infty}, \_e239, \_e241, \text{tag} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{tag.name} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \_e240]^{\infty}, \_e239, \_e241, \text{tag}, \_e239, \_e241, \_e239 \rangle \]
\[ \uparrow \text{(comment: Message)} \quad \_e240: \text{replyOf}^{\infty} \]
\[ \langle \text{comment, } \_e240]^{\infty}, \_e239 \rangle \]
\[ \langle \text{comment} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \_e240]^{\infty}, \_e239 \rangle \]
\[ \cup \text{(comment: Comment)} \]
\[ \langle \text{comment} \rangle \]
\[ \langle \_e239 \rangle \]
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-8-b)

E.1.9 bi-8

Query specification (bi-8)

```
1 // Related Topics
2 MATCH (tag:Tag)<-[:hasTag]-(:Message)<-[:replyOf*]-(comment:Comment)
3 RETURN tag.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-8)

```
\pi_{tag.name} (\pi_{tag.name} (\Omega_{tag.name} ((\exists \{ _e239 \}) (\{ comment, [_e240]\^\infty, _e239, _e241, tag \}) (tag.name) (\{ comment, [_e240]\^\infty, _e239 \}) (tag.name) (\{ comment, [_e240]\^\infty, _e239 \}) (tag.name) (\{ comment, [_e240]\^\infty, _e239 \}) (tag.name)))))
```

\( \bigcirc (comment : Comment) \) (\{ comment \}) (\{ comment \}) (\{ comment \}) (\{ comment \}) (\{ comment \})
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-8)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-8)

E.1.10 bi-9

Query specification (bi-9)

1  // Forum with related Tags
2 MATCH
3  (:TagClass)<-[:hasType]->(:Tag)<-[:hasTag]-(post1:Post)<-[:containerOf]-(:forum:Forum)-[:hasTag]-(post2:Post)<-[:containerOf]->(person:Person)
4  (forum)-[:hasMember]->(person)
5 WITH forum, count(post1) AS count1, count(post2) AS count2, count(person) AS members
6 WHERE members > 0
7 RETURN forum.id, count1, count2
8 ORDER BY count2 DESC, count1 DESC, forum.id ASC
9 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-9)

λ_{100} \{count2, count1, forum.id\} \pi_{forum.id} \pi_{count1} \pi_{count2} \pi_{members > 0} (\text{for all forum}

\text{count}(post1) \rightarrow \text{count}(post2) \rightarrow \text{count}(person) \rightarrow \text{members})

Dual →

\ne_251 : TagClass
\e_251 : Tag
\e_252 : hasTag
\e_253 : TagClass
\e_254 : hasType
\e_255 : hasMember
\e_244 : replyOf
\e_242 : Message
\e_243 : hasTag
\e_247 : hasType
\e_248 : hasTag
\e_249 : containerOf
\e_250 : containerOf
\e_256 : Tag
\e_255 : hasMember
\e_254 : replyOf
\e_253 : TagClass
\e_252 : hasTag
\e_251 : Tag
\e_254 : hasType
\e_255 : hasMember
\e_256 : Tag
\e_249 : containerOf
\e_248 : hasTag
\e_247 : hasType
\e_246 : Tag
\e_245 : containerOf
\e_244 : replyOf
\e_243 : hasTag
\e_242 : Message
\e_241 : hasTag
\e_240 : message
\e_239 : author
\e_238 : post
\e_237 : post
\e_236 : post
\e_235 : post
\e_234 : post
\e_233 : post
\e_232 : post
\e_231 : post
\e_230 : post
\e_229 : post
\e_228 : post
\e_227 : post
\e_226 : post
\e_225 : post
\e_224 : post
\e_223 : post
\e_222 : post
\e_221 : post
\e_220 : post
\e_219 : post
\e_218 : post
\e_217 : post
\e_216 : post
\e_215 : post
\e_214 : post
\e_213 : post
\e_212 : post
\e_211 : post
\e_210 : post
\e_209 : post
\e_208 : post
\e_207 : post
\e_206 : post
\e_205 : post
\e_204 : post
\e_203 : post
\e_202 : post
\e_201 : post
\e_200 : post
\e_199 : post
\e_198 : post
\e_197 : post
\e_196 : post
\e_195 : post
\e_194 : post
\e_193 : post
\e_192 : post
\e_191 : post
\e_190 : post
\e_189 : post
\e_188 : post
\e_187 : post
\e_186 : post
\e_185 : post
\e_184 : post
\e_183 : post
\e_182 : post
\e_181 : post
\e_180 : post
\e_179 : post
\e_178 : post
\e_177 : post
\e_176 : post
\e_175 : post
\e_174 : post
\e_173 : post
\e_172 : post
\e_171 : post
\e_170 : post
\e_169 : post
\e_168 : post
\e_167 : post
\e_166 : post
\e_165 : post
\e_164 : post
\e_163 : post
\e_162 : post
\e_161 : post
\e_160 : post
\e_159 : post
\e_158 : post
\e_157 : post
\e_156 : post
\e_155 : post
\e_154 : post
\e_153 : post
\e_152 : post
\e_151 : post
\e_150 : post
\e_149 : post
\e_148 : post
\e_147 : post
\e_146 : post
\e_145 : post
\e_144 : post
\e_143 : post
\e_142 : post
\e_141 : post
\e_140 : post
\e_139 : post
\e_138 : post
\e_137 : post
\e_136 : post
\e_135 : post
\e_134 : post
\e_133 : post
\e_132 : post
\e_131 : post
\e_130 : post
\e_129 : post
\e_128 : post
\e_127 : post
\e_126 : post
\e_125 : post
\e_124 : post
\e_123 : post
\e_122 : post
\e_121 : post
\e_120 : post
\e_119 : post
\e_118 : post
\e_117 : post
\e_116 : post
\e_115 : post
\e_114 : post
\e_113 : post
\e_112 : post
\e_111 : post
\e_110 : post
\e_109 : post
\e_108 : post
\e_107 : post
\e_106 : post
\e_105 : post
\e_104 : post
\e_103 : post
\e_102 : post
\e_101 : post
\e_100 : post
\e_99 : post
\e_98 : post
\e_97 : post
\e_96 : post
\e_95 : post
\e_94 : post
\e_93 : post
\e_92 : post
\e_91 : post
\e_90 : post
\e_89 : post
\e_88 : post
\e_87 : post
\e_86 : post
\e_85 : post
\e_84 : post
\e_83 : post
\e_82 : post
\e_81 : post
\e_80 : post
\e_79 : post
\e_78 : post
\e_77 : post
\e_76 : post
\e_75 : post
\e_74 : post
\e_73 : post
\e_72 : post
\e_71 : post
\e_70 : post
\e_69 : post
\e_68 : post
\e_67 : post
\e_66 : post
\e_65 : post
\e_64 : post
\e_63 : post
\e_62 : post
\e_61 : post
\e_60 : post
\e_59 : post
\e_58 : post
\e_57 : post
\e_56 : post
\e_55 : post
\e_54 : post
\e_53 : post
\e_52 : post
\e_51 : post
\e_50 : post
\e_49 : post
\e_48 : post
\e_47 : post
\e_46 : post
\e_45 : post
\e_44 : post
\e_43 : post
\e_42 : post
\e_41 : post
\e_40 : post
\e_39 : post
\e_38 : post
\e_37 : post
\e_36 : post
\e_35 : post
\e_34 : post
\e_33 : post
\e_32 : post
\e_31 : post
\e_30 : post
\e_29 : post
\e_28 : post
\e_27 : post
\e_26 : post
\e_25 : post
\e_24 : post
\e_23 : post
\e_22 : post
\e_21 : post
\e_20 : post
\e_19 : post
\e_18 : post
\e_17 : post
\e_16 : post
\e_15 : post
\e_14 : post
\e_13 : post
\e_12 : post
\e_11 : post
\e_10 : post
\e_9 : post
\e_8 : post
\e_7 : post
\e_6 : post
\e_5 : post
\e_4 : post
\e_3 : post
\e_2 : post
\e_1 : post
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-9)

E.1.11 bi-10

Query specification (bi-10)

```
// Central Person for a Tag
MATCH (person:Person), (tag:Tag)
OPTIONAL MATCH (person)<-[i:hasInterest]-(tag)
OPTIONAL MATCH (person)<-[c:hasCreator]-(message:Message)<-[h:hasTag]-(tag)
WITH person, CASE i WHEN null THEN 0 ELSE 100 END + count(message) AS score
// TODO ADD friendsScore
RETURN person.id, score
ORDER BY score DESC, person.id ASC
LIMIT 100
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
E.1.12 bi-11

Query specification (bi-11)

```sql
WITH ['avid', 'acid'] AS blacklist
MATCH (country:Country)<-[[:isPartOf]-(:City)<-[[:isLocatedIn]-(person:Person)<-[[:hasCreator]-(message :Message)<-[[:replyOf]-(reply:Comment)), (message)-[:hasTag]->(tag:Tag), (fan:Person)-[:likes]->(reply)
WHERE NOT (tag)<-[[:hasTag]-(reply)
AND size([word IN blacklist WHERE reply.content CONTAINS word | word]) = 0
RETURN person.id, tag.name, count(fan) AS countLikes, count(reply) AS countReplies, reply.
ORDER BY countLikes DESC, person.id ASC, tag.name ASC
LIMIT 100
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-11)

\[
\lambda_{100}^\top \text{countLikes} \downarrow \text{person.id} \downarrow \text{tag.name} \downarrow \text{person.id} \downarrow \text{tag.name} \downarrow \text{count(fan)} \downarrow \text{count(reply)} \downarrow \text{reply.content}
\]

\[
\text{π}_{\text{person.id} \downarrow \text{tag.name} \downarrow \text{count(fan)} \downarrow \text{count(reply)}} \text{σ} \neg (\text{tag} \neq \text{NULL} \land \text{reply} \neq \text{NULL}) \land \text{size(NULL)} = 0 \land \text{['avid', 'acid']}
\]

\[
\text{π}_{\text{blacklist}} \text{Dual} \leftarrow \text{Dual} \leftarrow \text{Dual} \leftarrow
\]

\[
\leftarrow \text{preventReplication} \text{[\text{e261}: replyOf]}
\]

\[
\leftarrow \text{message: Message}[\text{\_e260}: hasCreator] \downarrow \text{person: Person}[\text{\_e259}: isLocatedIn]
\]

\[
\leftarrow \text{\_e257: City}[\text{\_e258}: isPartOf] \leftarrow \text{country: Country}[\text{\_e262}: hasTag] \leftarrow \text{message: Message}[\text{\_e264}: hasTag]
\]

\[
\downarrow \text{fan: Person}[\text{\_e263}: likes] \downarrow \text{reply: Comment}[\text{\_e265}: replyOf]
\]

\[
\text{ORDER BY countLikes DESC, person.id ASC, tag.name ASC}
\]

\[
\text{LIMIT 100}
\]
E.1. Queries

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-11)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-11)

E.1.13 bi-12
Query specification (bi-12)

```sql
// Trending Posts
MATCH
(message:Message)-[:hasCreator]->(creator:Person),
(message)<[:likes]-(fan:Person)
WHERE message.creationDate > '2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'
WITH message, creator, count(fan) AS likeCount
WHERE likeCount > 0
RETURN message.id, message.creationDate, creator.firstName, creator.lastName, likeCount
ORDER BY likeCount DESC, message.id ASC
LIMIT 100
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-12)

\[
\lambda_{100}^\top \left( \text{likeCount}, \text{message.id} \right) \left( \text{message.creationDate}, \text{creator.firstName}, \text{creator.lastName}, \text{likeCount} \right) \land_{\text{likeCount} > 0} \left( \text{creator.count(fan)} \right) \land_{\text{message.creationDate} > '2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'}(\text{Dual} \bowtie_e266, e265)
\]

\[
\uparrow (\text{creator} \cdot \text{Person}) \left[ e265 : \text{hasCreator} \right] \bowtie (\text{message} \cdot \text{Message}) \bowtie (\text{fan} \cdot \text{Person}) \left[ e266 : \text{likes} \right]
\]

\[
\bowtie (\text{message} \cdot \text{Message}) \bowtie \text{Dual}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-12)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-12)

\[
\Omega_{\text{message.id} , \text{message.creationDate} , \text{creator.firstName} , \text{creator.lastName} , \text{likeCount} (\text{message.id} , \text{message.creationDate} , \text{creator.firstName} , \text{creator.lastName} , \text{likeCount})} \\
\end{array} \\
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\end{array} \

E.1.14  bi-13

Query specification (bi-13)

1 // Popular Tags per month in a country
2 MATCH (:Country)<-[[:isLocatedIn]]-(message:Message)-[[:hasTag]]->(tag:Tag)
3 WITH
toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 0, 4)) AS year,
toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 5, 2)) AS month,
message,
tag
4 WITH
5 year,
6 month,
count(message) AS popularity,
tag
7 ORDER BY popularity DESC, tag.name ASC
8 RETURN year, month, collect([tag.name, popularity]) AS popularTags
9 ORDER BY year DESC, month ASC
10 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-13)

\[\lambda_{100^7,year, month, collect([tag.name, popularity])} \rightarrow \text{popularTags}_{11}^{7, popularity, tag.name} \]
\[\pi_{toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 0, 4)), year, month, collect([tag.name, popularity])} \rightarrow \text{popularTags}_{10}^{7, popularity, tag.name} \]
\[\pi_{toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 5, 2)), year, month} \rightarrow \text{popularTags}_{11}^{7, popularity, tag.name} \]
\[\ne_{e269, e268} \rightarrow [tag: Tag] \downarrow (message: Message) \downarrow [e269: hasTag] \downarrow (message: Message) \downarrow [e268: isLocatedIn] \uparrow (e267: Country) \]
\[\text{Dual} \Join \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-13)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-13)

\[ \Omega_{\text{year.month.popularTags}} \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularTags}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularTags}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularTags}) \]

\[ \pi_{\text{month.year}} \]
\[ \text{year.month.collect([tag.name.popularity])} \rightarrow \text{popularTags} \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularTags}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularTags}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularTags}) \]

\[ \tau_{\text{popularity, tag.name}} \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularity.tag}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularity.tag}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularity.tag}) \]

\[ \mu_{\text{month.tag.year.tag.name}} \]
\[ \text{year.month.count(message)} \rightarrow \text{popularTag.tag.tag.name} \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularity.tag}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularity.tag}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.popularity.tag}) \]

\[ \pi_{\text{toIn(substring(message.creationDate,0,4))}} \rightarrow \text{year.toIn(substring(message.creationDate,5,2))} \rightarrow \text{month.message.tag} \]
\[ (\text{year.month.message.tag}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.message.tag}) \]
\[ (\text{year.month.message.tag}) \]

\[ \neg_{e269 \neq e268} \]
\[ (\text{message, e268, e267, e269, tag}) \]
\[ (\text{tag.name, message.creationDate}) \]
\[ (\text{message, e268, e267, message.creationDate, e269, tag, tag.name}) \]

\[ \ast \{ \text{message} \} \]
\[ (\text{message, e268, e267, e269, tag}) \]
\[ (\text{tag.name, message.creationDate}) \]
\[ (\text{message, e268, e267, message.creationDate, e269, tag, tag.name}) \]

\[ \ast_{\text{Country}} \]
\[ (\text{message, e268, e268, isLocatedIn}) \]
\[ (\text{e268, e267}) \]
\[ (\text{message.creationDate}) \]
\[ (\text{message, e268, e267, message.creationDate}) \]

\[ \ast_{\text{Tag}} \]
\[ (\text{message, e269, hasTag}) \]
\[ (\text{e269, tag}) \]
\[ (\text{tag.name}) \]
\[ (\text{message, e269, tag, tag.name}) \]
E.1.15 bi-14
Query specification (bi-14)

1 // Top thread initiators
2 MATCH (person:Person)<-[hasCreator]-[:Message]->[replyOf*]-(reply:Message)
3 WHERE message.creationDate >= '2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'
4 AND message.creationDate <= '2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'
5 AND reply.creationDate >= '2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'
6 AND reply.creationDate <= '2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'
7 RETURN person.id, person.firstName, person.lastName, person.creationDate, count(message) AS threadCount, count(reply) AS messageCount
8 ORDER BY messageCount DESC, person.id ASC
9 LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-14)

\[ \lambda_{100} \sigma_{\text{message.creationDate} \geq '2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'} \wedge \text{message.creationDate} \leq '2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000' \wedge \text{reply.creationDate} \geq '2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000' \wedge \text{reply.creationDate} \leq '2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000' \]

Dual \[ \text{reply} \rightarrow \text{Message} \]
\[ \text{message.creationDate} \geq '2010-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000' \]
\[ \text{message.creationDate} \leq '2011-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000' \]
\[ \text{threadCount, count(reply)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-14)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-14)

E.1.6 bi-15

Query specification (bi-15)

// Social normals
MATCH
(c:Country),
(c:Location)<-[:isLocatedIn]-(c:City)<-[:isPartOf]-(somePerson:Person),
(c:Location)<-[:isLocatedIn]-(friendOfSomePerson:Person),
(somePerson)-[:knows]->(friendOfSomePerson)
RETURN count(friendOfSomePerson) as cosp, count(somePerson) AS csp

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-15)

\( \forall \text{count}(\text{friendOfSomePerson}) \rightarrow \text{cosp}, \text{count}(\text{somePerson}) \rightarrow \text{cosp} \)

\[ \exists (\text{somePerson} : \text{Person}) \rightarrow \text{cosp}, \text{count}(\text{somePerson}) \rightarrow \text{cosp} \]

\[ \exists \text{e274 : isLocatedIn} \]

\[ \exists \text{e272} \]

\[ \exists \text{e273 : isPartOf} \]

\[ \exists \text{friendOfSomePerson} : \text{Person} \rightarrow \text{cosp}, \text{count}(\text{friendOfSomePerson}) \rightarrow \text{cosp} \]

\[ \exists \text{e275} \]

\[ \exists \text{e276 : isPartOf} \]

\[ \exists \text{friendOfSomePerson} : \text{Person} \rightarrow \text{cosp}, \text{count}(\text{friendOfSomePerson}) \rightarrow \text{cosp} \]

\[ \exists \text{e278} : \text{knows} \rightarrow \text{somePerson} : \text{Person} \rightarrow \text{cosp}, \text{count}(\text{somePerson}) \rightarrow \text{cosp} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-15)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-15)

E.1.17   bi-16

Query specification (bi-16)
1 // Experts in social circle
2 MATCH (person:Person)-[:knows*1..2]-(:Person)
3 RETURN person.id

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-16)

\[ \pi_{\text{person.id}} \text{Dual} \left( \overline{\text{e279}: \text{Person}} \right) \left( \text{e280}: \text{knows} \right) \cap (\text{person}: \text{Person}) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-16)

Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-16)
E.1.18 bi-19

Query specification (bi-19)

// Stranger’s interaction
MATCH (Person:Person)<-[[:hasMember]]-(Forum:Forum),
    (Message:Message)<-[[:replyOf]]-(Comment:Comment)
WHERE NOT (Forum)-[:hasMember]->(Person:Person)<-[[:hasMember]]-(Forum)
AND person.birthday > '1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000'
WITH person, stranger
MATCH (Person)-[:hasCreator]-(Message)-[:replyOf]-(Comment)
    WITH person, count(stranger) AS strangersCount, count(Comment) AS comment1Count
    RETURN person.id, strangersCount, comment1Count + comment2Count AS interactionCount
ORDER BY interactionCount DESC, person.id ASC
LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-19)

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda & \text{interactionCount} | \text{person.id} \pi_{\text{person.id}, \text{strangersCount}, \text{comment1Count} + \text{comment2Count} \rightarrow \text{interactionCount}} \\
\sigma & (\text{person} \neq \text{NULL} \land e_{293} \land \text{stranger} \neq \text{NULL} \land e_{293} \land \text{person.birthday} \geq '1950-01-01T00:00:00.000+0000' A_{\text{Dual}}) \\
\downarrow & e_{289}, e_{291}, e_{292}, e_{284}, e_{280}, e_{288}, e_{287} \\
\downarrow & e_{282}: \text{Tag} \bigcirc_{e_{281}: \text{TagClass}} e_{281} (\text{Forum}: \text{Forum}) [e_{288}: \text{hasTag}] \\
\downarrow & e_{286}: \text{Tag} \bigcirc_{e_{285}: \text{TagClass}} e_{285} (\text{Person}: \text{Person}) [e_{290}: \text{hasMember}] \\
\uparrow & \text{Person} [e_{289}: \text{hasMember}] (\text{Forum}: \text{Forum}) \bigcirc_{e_{282}: \text{Tag}} e_{282} (\text{Person}: \text{Person}) [e_{293}: \text{knows}] \\
\uparrow & \text{Message} (\text{Forum}: \text{Forum}) [e_{294}: \text{hasCreator}] (\text{Message}: \text{Message}) [e_{295}: \text{hasCreator}] (\text{Person}: \text{Person}) \bigcirc (\text{Comment}: \text{Comment}) [e_{296}: \text{replyOf}] \\
\uparrow & \text{Comment} (\text{Comment2}: \text{Comment}) [e_{298}: \text{hasCreator}] (\text{Message}: \text{Message}) [e_{299}: \text{hasCreator}] \bigcirc (\text{stranger}) \bigcirc (\text{stranger}) A_{\text{Dual}}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-19)

Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-19)
E.1.19  bi-20

Query specification (bi-20)

1  // High-level topics
2  MATCH (tagClass:TagClass)<-[:hasType]-(:Tag)<-[:isSubclassOf*0..]-(tag:Tag)<-[:hasTag]-(message:Message)
3  RETURN tagClass.name, count(message) AS postCount
4  ORDER BY postCount DESC, tagClass.name ASC
5  LIMIT 100

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-20)

\[ \lambda_{100} \tau \downarrow \text{postCount}, \uparrow \text{tagClass}.\text{name} \rightarrow \text{postCount} \] 
\[ \downarrow (\text{message}: \text{Message}) \land \downarrow (\text{tag}) \land \downarrow (\text{Tag}) \land \downarrow (\text{TagClass}) \land \text{count(message)} \]
\[ \land \downarrow (\text{array}(\text{Tag}) : \text{hasTag}) \land \downarrow (\text{Tag}) \land \downarrow (\text{TagClass}) \land \text{count(message)} \]
\[ \land \downarrow (\text{TagClass}) \land \text{count(message)} \land \downarrow (\text{tagClass}) \land \text{count(message)} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-20)

$$\Omega_{\text{tagClass.name,postCount}}$$

$$\lambda_{100}$$

$$\tau_{\text{postCount,tagClass.name}}$$

$$\gamma_{\text{tagClass.name}}$$

$$\neq_{\text{e304, e303, e305}}$$

$$\downarrow \text{(tag)}$$

$$\downarrow \text{(tag: Tag)}$$

$$\downarrow \text{(tagClass: TagClass)}$$
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-20)

E.1.20 bi-23

Query specification (bi-23)

1 // Holiday destinations
2 MATCH (homeCountry:Country)<-[:isPartOf]-(:City)<-[:isLocatedIn]-(:Person)<-[:hasCreator]-(message:Message)-[:isLocatedIn]->(country:Country)
3 WHERE homeCountry <> country
4 WITH message, country, toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 5, 2)) AS month
5 RETURN count(message) AS messageCount, country.name, month
6 ORDER BY messageCount DESC, country.name ASC, month DESC
7 LIMIT 100
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-23)

\[ \lambda_{100} \pi_{\text{messageCount}, \text{country.name}, \text{month}} \gamma_{\text{count}(\text{message}) \rightarrow \text{messageCount}, \text{country.name}, \text{month}} \]

\[ \pi_{\text{message}, \text{country}, \text{tohn(substring(message.creationDate, 5, 2))} \rightarrow \text{month} \sigma_{\text{homeCountry} \neq \text{countryDual}} \downarrow \]

\[ \neq _{\text{e307}, \text{e310}, \text{e311}, \text{e309}}^{(\text{country: Country})}[_{\text{e311}: \text{isLocatedIn}} \downarrow ^{\text{message: Message}}[_{\text{e310}: \text{hasCreator}}]
\]

\[ \downarrow ^{(_{\text{e308}: \text{Person}})[_{\text{e309}: \text{isLocatedIn}} \downarrow ^{(_{\text{e306}: \text{City}})[_{\text{e307}: \text{isPartOf}} \bowtie (\text{homeCountry: Country}) \neq \text{Dual}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-23)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-23)
E.1.21 bi-24

Query specification (bi-24)

```sql
// Messages by Topic and Continent
MATCH
  (:TagClass)<-[:hasType]-(:Tag)<-[:hasTag]-(:message:Message)<-[:likes]-(person:Person),
  (message)-[:isLocatedIn]->(:Country)-[:isPartOf]->(continent:Continent)
WITH
  message,
  person,
  toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 0, 4)) AS year,
  toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 5, 2)) AS month,
  continent
RETURN
  count(message) AS messageCount,
  count(person) AS likeCount,
  year,
  month,
  continent.name
ORDER BY
  messageCount, likeCount, year, month, continent.name
LIMIT 100
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (bi-24)

\[\lambda_{100}^{\text{messageCount}}\lambda_{100}^{\text{likeCount}}\lambda_{100}^{\text{year}}\lambda_{100}^{\text{month}}\lambda_{100}^{\text{continent.name}}\]

\[\pi_{\text{message, person, toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 0, 4)) → year, toInt(substring(message.creationDate, 5, 2)) → month, continent}}\]

\[\text{Dual} \triangledown \neg \equiv \_e314, \_e319, \_e316, \_e315, \_e318 \downarrow \text{message, person} \times \_e316: \text{likes} \downarrow \text{message, hasTag}_{\_e315} \downarrow \text{message, hasTag}_{\_e316: \text{likes}} \downarrow \text{message, hasTag}_{\_e315: \text{hasTag}}\]

\[\Downarrow \_e317: \text{Tag} \times \_e314: \text{hasType} \cup \_e312: \text{TagClass} \triangledown \_e317: \text{Continent} \quad \_e319: \text{isPartOf}\]

\[\Uparrow \_e317: \text{Country} \times \_e318: \text{isLocatedIn} \cup \_e318: \text{isLocatedIn} \triangledown \text{message, Message} \triangledown \text{Dual}\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (bi-24)
Incremental relational algebra tree (bi-24)
Appendix F

Movie Database

F.1 Queries

F.1.1 movie-database-1

Query specification (movie-database-1)

1 MATCH (m:Movie {title: 'Forrest Gump'}) -[:ACTS_IN]-(a:Actor)
2 RETURN a.name, a.birthplace

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-1)

\[
\pi_{a.name, a.birthplace} \text{Dual} \left[ \left[ e1 \neq \_e1 \right]^{(a:Actor)} \_e1:ACTS\_IN \right] \bowtie_{(m:Movie)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-1)

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-1)

F.1.2 movie-database-2

Query specification (movie-database-2)

```sql
MATCH (a:Actor)-[:ACTS_IN]->(m:Movie)
RETURN a, count(*)
ORDER BY count(*) DESC LIMIT 10;
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-2)

\[ \lambda_{10} \tau \downarrow \text{count}(+) \gamma \downarrow \text{count}(+) \text{Dual} \bowtie \neq \epsilon_2 \uparrow (a: \text{Movie}) [\_e2: \text{ACTS}_\text{IN}] \bigcirc (a: \text{Actor}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-2)
F.1.3 movie-database-3

Query specification (movie-database-3)

```sql
1 MATCH (a:Actor)-[:ACTS_IN]->(m:Movie)
2 WITH a, count(m) AS movie_count
3 WHERE movie_count < 3
4 RETURN a, movie_count
5 ORDER BY movie_count DESC LIMIT 5;
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-3)

\[ \lambda\gamma a.\,\text{count}(m) \triangleright (a) \]

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-2)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-3)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-3)

F.1.4 movie-database-4

Query specification (movie-database-4)

1 MATCH (a:Actor)-[:ACTS_IN]->(m:Movie)
2 WITH a, collect(m.title) AS movies
3 WHERE length(movies) >= 20
4 RETURN a, movies
5 ORDER BY length(movies) DESC LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-4)

\[
\lambda_{10} \tau_{\text{length}(\text{movies})} \pi_{\text{movies}} \sigma_{\text{length}(\text{movies}) \geq 20} a, \text{collect}(m.\text{title}) \rightarrow \text{movies} \upharpoonright \bigtriangleup_{e4} (a) \left[ e4: \text{ACTS}_\text{IN} \right] \\
\bigtriangleup_{(a: \text{Actor})} \upharpoonright \bigtriangleup_{\text{Dual}}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-4)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-4)

```
Ω_{a.movie} (a.movie) () (\partial_{a movie})

τ_{\lambda_{10}} length(movie) \lambda_{10} (a.movie) () (\partial_{a movie})

π_{a.movie} (a.movie) () (\partial_{a movie})

σ_{\lambda_{10}} length(movie) \geq 20 (a.movie) () (\partial_{a movie})

γ_{collect} (a.movie) \rightarrow movie (a.movie) () (\partial_{a movie})

⇑ (m:Movie) (a:Actor) \[ e4 : ACTS_IN \]

F.1.5 movie-database-5

Query specification (movie-database-5)

1 MATCH (a:Actor)-[:ACTS_IN]->(m:Movie)
2 WITH a, count(m) AS acted
3 WHERE acted >= 10
4 WITH a, acted
5 MATCH (a:Director)-[:DIRECTED]->(m:Movie)
6 WITH a, acted, collect(m.title) AS directed
7 WHERE length(directed) >= 2
8 RETURN a.name, acted, directed
9 ORDER BY length(directed) DESC, acted DESC
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-5)

\[ \tau_{\text{length}(\text{directed})} \pi \text{a.name, acted, directed} \sigma_{\text{length}(\text{directed}) \geq 2} \pi \text{a.acted} \] 

\[ \sigma_{\text{acted} \geq 10} \] 

\[ \gamma_{\text{a.count} \rightarrow \text{acted}} \text{Dual} \] 

\[ \Delta \neq \epsilon \] 

\[ \text{e5: Movie} \circ \text{e5: ACTS IN} \psi \text{e5: Actor} \] 

\[ \text{e6: DIRECTED} \circ \text{e6: Director} \] 

\[ \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-5)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-5)
F.1.6 movie-database-6

Query specification (movie-database-6)

1. MATCH (a:Actor:Director)-[:ACTS_IN]->(m:Movie)
2. WITH a, count(1) AS acted
3. WHERE acted >= 10
4. WITH a, acted
5. MATCH (a:Actor:Director)-[:DIRECTED]->(m:Movie)
6. WITH a, acted, collect(m.title) AS directed
7. WHERE length(directed) >= 2
8. RETURN a.name, acted, directed
9. ORDER BY length(directed) DESC, acted DESC

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-6)

\[ \text{τ}_{\text{length(directed)}} \text{π}_{a\text{-name}, \text{acted}, \text{directed}} \text{σ}_{\text{length(directed)} \geq 2} \gamma_{\text{a}, \text{acted}} \text{π}_{\text{a}, \text{acted}} \text{σ}_{\text{acted} \geq 10} \gamma_{\text{a}, \text{count}(1) \rightarrow \text{acted}} \text{Dual} \times \not\equiv_{\text{e7}}^{\uparrow} (\text{m} : \text{Movie}) \bigcirc_{(\text{a} : \text{Actor} \land \text{Director}) \times \text{Dual}} \not\equiv_{\text{e8}}^{\uparrow} (\text{a} : \text{Movie}) \bigcirc_{(\text{e8} : \text{DIRECTED})} \bigcirc_{(\text{a} : \text{Actor} \land \text{Director})} \times \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-6)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-6)
F.1.7 movie-database-7

Query specification (movie-database-7)

1. MATCH (a:Director)-[:ACTS_IN]->(m)
2. WITH a, count(m) AS acted
3. WHERE acted >= 10
4. WITH a, acted
5. MATCH (a)-[:DIRECTED]->(m)
6. WITH a, acted, collect(m.title) AS directed
7. WHERE length(directed) >= 2
8. RETURN a.name, acted, directed
9. ORDER BY length(directed) DESC, acted DESC

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-7)

\[ \tau_{\text{length}(\text{directed})} \downarrow \text{acted} \wedge \pi_{\text{a}.name, \text{acted}, \text{directed}} \sigma_{\text{length}(\text{directed})} \geq 2 \gamma_{\text{a}, \text{acted}} \gamma_{\text{a}, \text{acted}} \text{collect(m}.title) \rightarrow \text{directed} \pi_{\text{a}.acted} \geq 10 \]

\[ \uparrow_{\text{a}} \text{count(a)} \rightarrow \text{acted} \Prem \_e9 \uparrow_{\text{a}} \text{e9: ACTS\_IN} \bigodot_{\text{a}. \text{Director}} \Downarrow_{\text{a}} \_e10 \text{DIRECTED} \bigodot_{\text{a}} \Downarrow_{\text{Dual}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-7)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-7)
F.1.8 movie-database-8

Query specification (movie-database-8)
1 MATCH (u:User {login: 'Michael'})-[[:FRIEND]-(f:Person)-(r:RATED)->(m:Movie)
2 WHERE r.stars > 3
3 RETURN f.name, m.title, r.stars, r.comment

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-8)
\[
\pi_{f.name, m.title, r.stars, r.comment} \sigma_{r.stars > 3} Dual \Join_{\neq} \epsilon_{11} \uparrow_{(f)} (R: RATED) \downarrow_{(u)} (\_e11: FRIEND) \circ (u: User)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-8)

\[
\Omega_{\text{f.name}, \text{m.title}, \text{r.stars}, \text{r.comment}}
\]

\[
\pi_{\text{f.name}, \text{m.title}, \text{r.stars}, \text{r.comment}}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{r.stars} > 3}
\]

\[
\not=_{\text{e11.r}}
\]

\[
\uparrow_{\text{f: Person}}[\text{e11: FRIEND}]
\]

\[
\uparrow_{\text{u: User}}[\text{u: User}]
\]
F.1.9  movie-database-9

Query specification (movie-database-9)

1 MATCH (u:User)-[r:RATED]->(m:Movie)<-[r2:RATED]-(likeminded),
2 (u)-[:FRIEND]-(friend)
3 WHERE r.stars > 3 AND r2.stars >= 3
4 RETURN likeminded, count(*)
5 ORDER BY count(*) desc LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-9)

\[
\lambda_{10^7}[\text{count(+)\:\text{likeminded}}]^{\text{likeminded}} \sigma_{r.stars>3} \land r2.stars\geq 3 \land \exists \text{Dual} \: \exists r2._e12\downarrow (\text{likeminded}) [r2: \text{RATED}]
\]

\[
\uparrow (a: \text{Movie}) [r: \text{RATED}] \bigcirc_{(u: \text{User})} \Leftrightarrow (u: \text{User}) [-e12: \text{FRIEND}] \bigcirc (u: \text{User})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-9)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-9)

\[ \Omega \text{likeminded.count(+)} \]
\[ \langle \text{likeminded}, \_iname1 \rangle \]
\[ \langle \rangle \]
\[ \langle 0 \rangle \text{likeminded}, 1 \_iname1 \rangle \]

\[ \tau \text{count(+)} \lambda 10 \]
\[ \langle \text{likeminded}, \_iname1 \rangle \]
\[ \langle \rangle \]
\[ \langle 0 \rangle \text{likeminded}, 1 \_iname1 \rangle \]

\[ \gamma \text{likeminded} \]
\[ \langle \text{likeminded}, \_iname1 \rangle \]
\[ \langle \rangle \]
\[ \langle 4 \rangle \text{likeminded}, 0 \_iname1 \rangle \]

\[ \sigma r.\text{stars}>3 \land r2.\text{stars} \geq 3 \]
\[ \langle u, r, m, \text{likeminded}, r2, \_e12 \rangle \]
\[ \langle \rangle \]
\[ \langle 0 \_u, 1 \_r, m, 3 \_r.\text{stars}, 4 \_r2.\text{stars}, 6 \_r2.\text{stars}, \_friend, 8 \_e12 \rangle \]

\[ \not= r2.\_e12 \]
\[ \langle u, r, m, \text{likeminded}, r2, \_e12 \rangle \]
\[ \langle r.\text{stars}, r2.\text{stars} \rangle \]
\[ \langle 0 \_u, 1 \_r, m, 3 \_r.\text{stars}, 4 \_r2.\text{stars}, 6 \_r2.\text{stars}, \_friend, 8 \_e12 \rangle \]

\[ \times \{ u \} \]
\[ \langle u, r, m, \text{likeminded}, r2, \_e12 \rangle \]
\[ \langle r.\text{stars}, r2.\text{stars} \rangle \]
\[ \langle 0 \_u, 1 \_r, m, 3 \_r.\text{stars}, 4 \_r2.\text{stars}, 6 \_r2.\text{stars}, \_friend, 8 \_e12 \rangle \]
\[ \langle 0 : 2 \rangle \]

\[ \times \{ m \} \]
\[ \langle u, r, m, \text{likeminded}, r2 \rangle \]
\[ \langle r.\text{stars}, r2.\text{stars} \rangle \]
\[ \langle 0 \_u, 1 \_r, m, 3 \_r.\text{stars}, 4 \_r2.\text{stars}, 6 \_r2.\text{stars} \rangle \]
\[ \langle 2 : 2 \rangle \]
F.1.10  movie-database-10

Query specification (movie-database-10)

```
MATCH (u:User {login: 'Michael'})-[r:RATED]->(m:Movie)
WHERE r.stars > 3
RETURN m.title, r.stars, r.comment
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-10)

```
π_{m.title,r.stars,r.comment}σ_{r.stars>3}Dual \bowtie_F \left(\mu_{\text{Movie}}[r: RATED] \circ \mu_{\text{User}}\right)
```

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-10)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-10)

F.1.11 movie-database-11

Query specification (movie-database-11)

MATCH (u:User {login: 'Michael'})-[[:FRIEND]-()-[r:RATED]->(m:Movie)
RETURN m.title, avg(r.stars), count(*)
ORDER BY AVG(r.stars) DESC, count(*) DESC

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-11)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-11)
**Incremental relational algebra tree** (movie-database-11)

```
Ωm.title, avg(r.stars), count(*) (.title, _iname2, __iname3)
   ()
   (m.title, _iname2, __iname3)

τ_|avg(r.stars), |count(*) (.title, _iname2, __iname3)
   ()
   (m.title, 1_iname2)

γm.title
   m.title
   avg(r.stars), count(*) (.title, _iname2, __iname3)
   ()
   (m.title, _iname2, __iname3)
   (0, 0, m.title)

 ≠ _el4.r
   (_el3, _el4, u{log in: "Michael"}, r, n)
   (m.title)
   (0 _el3, _el4, u{log in: "Michael"}, 0, u, m.title)

Vm.name, Dual ⊙ ◁ ≠ _el4
   (_el3, _el4, u{log in: "Michael"}, r, n)
   (m.title)
   (0 _el3, _el4, u{log in: "Michael"}, 0, u, m.title)
   (0)

F.1.12 movie-database-12

Query specification (movie-database-12)

```
MATCH (tom {name: "Tom Hanks"})
RETURN tom
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-12)

```
πtom Dual ≠ _el4 ○ (tom)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-12)

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-12)

F.1.13 movie-database-13

Query specification (movie-database-13)
1 \texttt{MATCH (cloudAtlas \{} \texttt{title: "Cloud Atlas"}})\texttt{)}
2 \texttt{RETURN cloudAtlas}

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-13)

\[ \pi_{\text{cloudAtlas}} \text{Dual} \bowtie \bigcirc_{\text{cloudAtlas}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-13)

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-13)

F.1.14 movie-database-14
Query specification (movie-database-14)

1 MATCH (people:Person)
2 RETURN people.name
3 LIMIT 10

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-14)

\[ \lambda_{10} \pi_{\text{people.name}} \text{Dual} \triangleleft \not\equiv \bigcirc_{\text{people: Person}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-14)

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-14)
F.1.15 movie-database-15

Query specification (movie-database-15)

1 MATCH (nineties:Movie)
2 WHERE nineties.released > 1990 AND nineties.released < 2000
3 RETURN nineties.title

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-15)

\[ \pi_{\text{nineties.title}} \sigma_{\text{nineties.released} > 1990 \land \text{nineties.released} < 2000} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (\text{nineties} : \text{Movie}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-15)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-15)

F.1.16 movie-database-16

Query specification (movie-database-16)

```
MATCH (tom:Person {name: "Tom Hanks"})-[[:ACTED_IN]]->(tomHanksMovies)
RETURN tom, tomHanksMovies
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-16)

```
π_{tom,tomHanksMovies} Dual ⨿_{\_e15} (tomHanksMovies) [\_e15: ACTED_IN] O_{tom: Person}
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-16)

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-16)

F.1.17 movie-database-17
Query specification (movie-database-17)

```
MATCH (cloudAtlas {title: "Cloud Atlas"})-[[:DIRECTED]-{directors}
RETURN directors.name
```
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-17)

\[ \pi_{\text{directors.name}} \text{Dual} \bowtie_{\neq} \text{e16} \text{(directors)} \text{DIRECTED} \bigcap \text{cloudAtlas} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-17)

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-17)
F.1.18 movie-database-18

Query specification (movie-database-18)

1 MATCH (tom:Person {name:"Tom Hanks"})-[[:ACTED_IN]->(m)<-[:ACTED_IN]-](coActors)
2 RETURN coActors.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-18)

\[ \pi_{\text{coActors.name}} \text{Dual} \big[ \neq_{e17} \neq_{e18} \downarrow (\text{coActors}) \big]_{e18: \text{ACTED_IN}} \uparrow (\text{m}) \big[ e17: \text{ACTED_IN} \big] \bowtie (\text{tom}: \text{Person}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-18)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-18)

F.1.19 movie-database-19

Query specification (movie-database-19)

1. MATCH (people:Person)-[relatedTo]-(:Movie {title: "Cloud Atlas"})
2. RETURN people.name, Type(relatedTo), relatedTo

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-19)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-19)

Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-19)

F.1.20 movie-database-20

Query specification (movie-database-20)

1 MATCH (bacon:Person {name:"Kevin Bacon"})-[*1..4]-(hollywood)
2 RETURN DISTINCT hollywood
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-20)

\[ \delta \pi_{\text{hollywood}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv_{\text{e}20} \left\{ \text{hollywood} \right\} \left( \text{bacon} \right) \left[ \text{e}20 \right] \bigcup \left\{ \text{bacon} : \text{Person} \right\} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-20)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-20)

```
Ω
(hollywood)
(⟨hollywood⟩)
(⟨⟩)
(⟨0⟩)

δ
(hollywood)
(⟨⟩)
(⟨⟩)
(⟨0⟩)

Π
hollywood
(⟨hollywood⟩)
(⟨⟩)
(⟨1⟩)

⊿ ◁
*
[\_e20]
4
1
{bacon}

⟨bacon\{name:"Kevin Bacon"\},hollywood,[_e20]\rangle
(⟨⟩)

⟨bacon\{name:"Kevin Bacon"\},hollywood,[_e20]\rangle
(⟨0⟩)
(2)

isor
(bacon: Person)
{bacon\{name:"Kevin Bacon"\}}
(⟨⟩)

(bacon\{name:"Kevin Bacon"\})
(⟨⟩)

(bacon\{name:"Kevin Bacon"\})
(⟨⟩)

(bacon\{name:"Kevin Bacon"\})
(⟨⟩)
```

**F.1.21 movie-database-21**

Query specification (movie-database-21)

1 MATCH p=shortestPath(
2  (bacon:Person \{name:"Kevin Bacon"\})-[*]-(meg:Person \{name:"Meg Ryan"\})
3 )
4 RETURN p

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

**F.1.22 movie-database-22**

Query specification (movie-database-22)

1 MATCH (tom:Person \{name:"Tom Hanks"\})-[[:ACTED_IN]->(m)<-[:ACTED_IN]-(coActors),
2 (coActors)-[:ACTED_IN]->(m2)<-[:ACTED_IN]-(cocoActors)
3 WHERE NOT (tom)-[:ACTED_IN]->(m2)
4 RETURN cocoActors.name AS Recommended, count(*) AS Strength ORDER BY Strength DESC
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-22)

\[
\tau_{\text{Strength}} \downarrow \text{cocoActors.name} \rightarrow \text{nose.count}(+) \rightarrow \text{Strength} \sigma_{\neg \text{(tom=NULL\land _e25=NULL\land _e23=NULL\land _e24=NULL)\Dual \not\equiv _e23\_e22\_e24\_e21}} \\
\downarrow \text{(coActors)} \_e22: \text{ACTED\_IN} \uparrow \text{(tom)} \_e21: \text{ACTED\_IN} \bigcirc \text{(tom: Person) \Join} \\
\downarrow \text{(coActors)} \_e24: \text{ACTED\_IN} \uparrow \text{(coActors)} \_e23: \text{ACTED\_IN} \bigcirc \text{(coActors) \Join} \\
\uparrow \text{(tom)} \_e25: \text{ACTED\_IN} \bigcirc \text{(tom: Person)}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-22)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-22)

F.1.23 movie-database-23

Query specification (movie-database-23)

1 MATCH (tom:Person {name:"Tom Hanks"})-[[:ACTED_IN]->(m)<-[:ACTED_IN]-(coActors),
2 (coActors)-[:[:ACTED_IN]->(m2)<-[:ACTED_IN]-(cruise:Person {name:"Tom Cruise"}))
3 RETURN tom, m, coActors, m2, cruise

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (movie-database-23)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (movie-database-23)
Incremental relational algebra tree (movie-database-23)
Appendix G

Static Analysis for Java

G.1 Queries
Appendix H

Static Analysis for JavaScript

H.1 Queries

H.1.1 BlockStatement

Query specification (BlockStatement)

```sql
MATCH
  (bs:BlockStatement)-[:block]->(b:Block)-[:statements]->(list:List),
  (bs) -[:`_end`]-> (bsE:End),
  (list) -[:`_end`]-> (listE:End)
MERGE
  (bs) -[:`_normal`]-> (list) -[:`_end`]->
  (listE) -[:`_normal`]-> (bsE)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.2 Boolean

Query specification (Boolean)

```sql
MATCH
  (lit:LiteralBooleanExpression),
  (ts:TypeSystem)-[:`_instance`]->(tag:Tag:`Boolean`)
MERGE
  (lit)-[:`_type`]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1.3 CallExpressionNoParam

Query specification (CallExpressionNoParam)

MATCH
(call:CallExpression)-[:callee]->(:IdentifierExpression)
<[:node]-(:Reference)<[:references]-(:Variable)
-[:declarations]->(:Declaration)-[:node]->(:BindingIdentifier)
<[:name]-(fd:FunctionDeclaration),

(call) -[:`_end`]-> (callE:End),
(fd) -[:`_end`]-> (fdE:End)

WHERE
NOT (call)-[:arguments]->()

MERGE
(call) -[:`_normal`]-> (fd) -[:`_end`]->
(fdE) -[:`_normal`]-> (callE)

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.4 CallExpressionParam

Query specification (CallExpressionParam)

MATCH
(arguments:List) <-[:arguments]- (callExp:CallExpression) -[:callee]->
(:IdentifierExpression) <-[:`node`]- (:Reference) <-[:references]-
(:Variable) -[:declarations]-> (:Declaration) -[:`node`]->
(:BindingIdentifier) <-[:name]-(fd:FunctionDeclaration) -[:params]->
(:FormalParameters) -[:items]-> (params:List),

(callExp) -[:`_end`]-> (callExpE:`End`),
(fd) -[:`_end`]-> (fdE:`End`),
(arguments) -[:`_end`]-> (argumentsE:`End`)

MERGE
(callExp) -[:`_normal`]-> (arguments) -[:`_end`]->
(argumentsE) -[:`_normal`]-> (fd) -[:`_end`]->
(fdE) -[:`_normal`]-> (callExpE)

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.5 ExpressionStatement

Query specification (ExpressionStatement)

MATCH
(es:ExpressionStatement)-[:expression]->(exp:Expression),

(es) -[:`_end`]-> (esE:End),
(exp) -[:`_end`]-> (expE:End)

MERGE
(es) -[:`_normal`]-> (exp) -[:`_end`]->
(expE) -[:`_normal`]-> (esE)
Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.6 FunctionDeclaration
Query specification (FunctionDeclaration)

```
MATCH
(fd:FunctionDeclaration)-[:body]->(b:FunctionBody)-[:statements]->(list:List),
(fd) -[:``_end``]-> (fdE:End),
(list) -[:``_end``]-> (listE:End)
MERGE
(fd) -[:``_normal``]-> (list) -[:``_end``]->
(listE) -[:``_normal``]-> (fdE)
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.7 IfStatementAlternate
Query specification (IfStatementAlternate)

```
MATCH
(test:Node)<-[:test]-(if:IfStatement)-[:consequent]->(consequent:Node),
(if)-[:alternate]->(alternate:Statement),
(alternate) -[:``_end``]-> (alternateE:End),
(if) -[:``_end``]-> (ifE:End),
(test) -[:``_end``]-> (testE:End),
(consequent) -[:``_end``]-> (consequentE:End)
MERGE
(if) -[:``_normal``]-> (test) -[:``_end``]->
(testE) -[:``_true``]-> (consequent) -[:``_end``]->
(consequentE) -[:``_normal``]-> (ifE)
MERGE
(testE) -[:``_false``]-> (alternate) -[:``_end``]->
(alternateE) -[:``_normal``]-> (ifE)
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1.8 IfStatementNoAlternate

**Query specification (IfStatementNoAlternate)**

```plaintext
MATCH (test:Node)<-[[:test]]-[:consequent]->(consequent:Node),
    (if) -[:`_end`]-> (ifE:End),
    (test) -[:`_end`]-> (testE:End),
    (consequent) -[:`_end`]-> (consequentE:End)
WHERE NOT (if)-[:alternate]->(:Statement)
MERGE (if) -[:`_normal`]-> (test) -[:`_end`]-> (testE) -[:`_true`]-> (consequent) -[:`_end`]->
    (consequentE) -[:`_normal`]-> (ifE)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.9 Infinity

**Query specification (Infinity)**

```plaintext
MATCH (lit:LiteralInfinityExpression),
    (ts:TypeSystem)-[:`_instance`]->(tag:Tag:`Infinity`)\nMERGE (lit)-[:`_type`]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.10 ListNoItem

**Query specification (ListNoItem)**

```plaintext
MATCH (l:List),
    (l) -[:`_end`]-> (lE:End)
WHERE NOT (l)-[:`0`]->()
MERGE (l) -[:`_normal`]-> (lE)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1.11 ListWithItem

Query specification (ListWithItem)

```
MATCH
  (l:List)<-[:0]->(first),
  (l)<-[:last]->(last),

  (l)<-[:_end]-> (lE:End),
  (last)<-[:_end]-> (lastE:End)
MERGE (l) -[:_normal]-> (first)
MERGE (lastE) -[:_normal]-> (lE)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.12 LiteralX

Query specification (LiteralX)

```
MATCH (le:Literal) -[:_end]-> (leE:End)
MERGE (le) -[:_normal]-> (leE)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.13 LogicalOr

Query specification (LogicalOr)

```
MATCH
  (ltag:Tag)<-[:_type]->(left:Expression)
  <[:left]->(exp:BinaryExpression)<[:right]->
  (right:Expression)<-[:_type]->(rtag:Tag),
  (ts:TypeSystem)<-[:_instance]->(btag:Tag:`Boolean`)
WHERE
  exp.operator = 'LogicalOr'
MERGE (exp)<-[:_type]->(rtag)
MERGE (exp)<-[:_type]->(ltag)
MERGE (exp)<-[:_type]->(btag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1.14 Null

Query specification (Null)

```
MATCH
(lit:LiteralNullExpression),
(ts:TypeSystem)-[:"_instance"]->(tag:Tag:`Null`)

MERGE
(lit)-[:"_type"]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.15 Numeric

Query specification (Numeric)

```
MATCH
(lit:LiteralNumericExpression),
(ts:TypeSystem)-[:"_instance"]->(tag:Tag:`Number`)

MERGE
(lit)-[:"_type"]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.16 Read

Query specification (Read)

```
MATCH
(v:Variable)-[:references]->(r:Reference)-[:node]->(ide:IdentifierExpression),
(v)-[:"_type"]->(tag:Tag)

MERGE
(ide)-[:"_type"]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.17 RegExp

Query specification (RegExp)

```
MATCH
(lit:LiteralRegExpExpression),
(ts:TypeSystem)-[:"_instance"]->(tag:Tag:`RegExp`)

MERGE
(lit)-[:"_type"]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1.18 String

Query specification (String)

```
MATCH
(lit:LiteralStringExpression),
(ts:TypeSystem)-[:_instance]->(tag:Tag:`String`)
MERGE
(lit)-[:_type]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.19 TypeSystem

Query specification (TypeSystem)

```
MERGE (ts:TypeSystem)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Undefined`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Null`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Boolean`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Number`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`String`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Symbol`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Object`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Function`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Error`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Math`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Date`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`RegExp`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Array`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Map`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Set`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`JSON`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`ArrayBuffer`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`DataView`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Promise`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Proxy`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Reflect`)
MERGE (ts)-[:_instance]->(:Tag:`Infinity`)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1.20 VariableDeclarationStatement

Query specification (VariableDeclarationStatement)

```
MATCH
  (vds:VariableDeclarationStatement)<-[declaration]-(vdion:VariableDeclaration)
  -[declarators]->(vdor:VariableDeclarator)<-[init]-(exp:Expression),
  (vds) -[:_end]-> (vdsE:End),
  (vdion) -[:_end]-> (vdionE:End),
  (exp) -[:_end]-> (expE:End)
MERGE
  (vdion) -[:_normal]-> (vdionE)
MERGE
  (vds) -[:_normal]-> (exp) -[:_end]->
  (expE) -[:_normal]-> (vdion) -[:_end]->
  (vdionE) -[:_normal]-> (vdsE)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.21 VariableDeclarator

Query specification (VariableDeclarator)

```
MATCH
  (bi:BindingIdentifier)<-[binding]-(vd:VariableDeclarator)
  -[init]->(exp:Expression),
  (exp)-[:_type]->(tag:Tag)
MERGE
  (bi)-[:_type]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.22 Write

Query specification (Write)

```
MATCH
  (v:Variable)<-[references]->(r:Reference)<-[node]->(bid:BindingIdentifier),
  (bid)-[:_type]->(tag:Tag)
MERGE
  (v)-[:_type]->(tag)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1.23 generatecalls

Query specification (generatecalls)

MATCH // Match called FunctionDeclarations for every CallExpression
(call:CallExpression)-[:callee]->(:IdentifierExpression)
<-[:node]-(:Reference)<[:references]-(:Variable)
-[:declarations]->(:Declaration)-[:node]->(:BindingIdentifier)
<-[:name]-(fd:FunctionDeclaration)

MATCH // List every call from a function body
(fun:FunctionDeclaration), (call:CallExpression),
p = shortestPath((fun)-[*]->(call))

MERGE // Create a calls relationship between the caller FunctionDeclaration and the called FunctionDeclaration
(fun)-[:calls]->(fd)

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

H.1.24 getlastcommithash

Query specification (getlastcommithash)

MATCH (:MetaInfo)-[:lastCommit]->(c:Commit)
RETURN c.hash as commitHash

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (getlastcommithash)

\[ \pi_{c.hash \rightarrow hash} Dual \Delta \not\equiv_{e321} \uparrow (c: Commit)[\_e321: lastCommit] \bowtie (_e320: MetaInfo) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (getlastcommithash)

Incremental relational algebra tree (getlastcommithash)
**H.1.25 removefile**

Query specification (removefile)

```sql
MATCH

  ( cu:CompilationUnit
  {{
    path: {path}
  }}

)-[:contains]-(el)

WHERE

// iff the provided sessionid parameter is NULL, then delete the fix graph of
// the CompilationUnit; else delete the temporal one with the given sessionid

  ( {sessionid} IS NULL AND NOT exists(cu.sessionid) )

  OR ( cu.sessionid = {sessionid} )

DETACH DELETE

  cu, el
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

**H.1.26 setcommithash**

Query specification (setcommithash)

```sql
MERGE (:MetaInfo)-[:lastCommit]->(c:Commit)

SET c.hash = {commithash}
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
H.1. Queries
H.1.27  typing

Query specification (typing)

```java
/**
 * Type inferencing
 */

/**
 * Repeat the following steps until either no change occurs in the database or a
given repeat limit is reached.
 */

1. Find the literal values and assign type tags to them.
2. Propagate the type information to the Variables in the given Scope for
every VariableDeclaration with initial value. Handle the corner cases.
3. Handle built-in Unary and Binary Expressions.
4. Propagate type information into function calls taking care of in-function
   type differentiation based on input types.
*/

// LiteralNullExpression -> NullTag
MATCH (exp:LiteralNullExpression)
MERGE (exp)-[:type]->(tag:Tag:NullTag)
SET tag.session = exp.session
;

// LiteralStringExpression -> StringTag
MATCH (exp:LiteralStringExpression)
MERGE (exp)-[:type]->(tag:Tag:StringTag)
SET tag.session = exp.session
;

// LiteralBooleanExpression -> BooleanTag
MATCH (exp:LiteralBooleanExpression)
MERGE (exp)-[:type]->(tag:Tag:BooleanTag)
SET tag.session = exp.session
;

// LiteralNumericExpression -> NumberTag
MATCH (exp:LiteralNumericExpression)
MERGE (exp)-[:type]->(tag:Tag:NumberTag)
SET tag.session = exp.session
;

// IdentifierExpression.
* Propagate the Variable type information to the appropriate
/**
*/

// (exp)-[:type]-(:Tag)-[:from]->(type)
 MATCH (exp:Expression)-[:type]->(tag:Tag)
MERGE apoc.refactor.cloneNodes([type]) YIELD input, output as tag, error
CALL apoc.create.addLabels(tag, labels(type))
WHERE NOT (var)-[:type]->(:Tag)-[:from]->(type)
MERGE
```
Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.

**H.1.28 unusedfunctions**

**Query specification (unusedfunctions)**

```javascript
//**
/* Get not used FunctionDeclarations */
MATCH // Find the exported FunctionDeclaration that may be an entrance point
      p = (main)-[:items]->(:ExportDeclaration)-[:declaration]->(fd:FunctionDeclaration)
MATCH // Find every FunctionDeclaration that should be available through the
      // entrance points
      q = (dead:FunctionDeclaration)-[:location]->(span:SourceSpan),
          (start:SourceLocation)<-[:start]-(span)-[:end]->(end:SourceLocation)
WHERE // List the ones that are not available (Kleene closure) from the
      // entrance nodes (thus are not the entrance nodes "<>").
      ( NOT (fd)-[:calls*]->(dead) )
      AND ( dead <> fd )
      AND ( main:Script OR main:Module )
      AND ( ALL
            x in (nodes(p) + nodes(q))
            WHERE NOT exists(x.session) OR x.session = {sessionid}
      )
RETURN DISTINCT
ID(dead) as id, start.line, start.column, end.line, end.column, dead.session
```

Cannot parse query
Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
Appendix I

Train Benchmark

I.1 Queries

I.1.1 ActiveRoute

Query specification (ActiveRoute)

1. MATCH (inactiveRoute:Route)-[:follows]->(swP:SwitchPosition)-[:target]->(sw:Switch)
2. WHERE swP.position <> sw.currentPosition
3. WITH collect(inactiveRoute) AS inactiveRoutes
4. MATCH (activeRoute:Route)
5. WHERE NOT activeRoute IN inactiveRoutes
6. RETURN activeRoute

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ActiveRoute)

\[
\pi_{\text{activeRoute}} \sigma_{\text{collect}(\text{inactiveRoute}) \neq \text{inactiveRoutes}} (\text{activeRoute:Route}) \neq \text{inactiveRoutes}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{collect}(\text{inactiveRoute}) \rightarrow \text{inactiveRoutes}} (\text{SWP: SwitchPosition}) \neq \text{swP: SwitchPosition}
\]

\[
\left(\text{SWP: SwitchPosition}\right) \neq \text{swP: SwitchPosition}
\]

\[
\left(\text{SWP: SwitchPosition}\right) \left[\text{SWP: SwitchPosition}\right] = \text{target}
\]

\[
\left(\text{inactiveRoute}\right) \left[\text{inactiveRoute}\right] = \text{follows}
\]

\[
\left(\text{activeRoute}\right) \left[\text{activeRoute}\right] = \text{Route}
\]

959
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation \((\text{ActiveRoute})\)
Incremental relational algebra tree (ActiveRoute)

\[ \Omega_{\text{activeRoute}} \]
\[ (\text{activeRoute}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]
\[ (\text{activeRoute}) \]

\[ \pi_{\text{activeRoute}} \]
\[ (\text{activeRoute}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]
\[ (\text{activeRoute}) \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{collect}(\text{inactiveRoute} \rightarrow \text{inactiveRoutes})} \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoutes}, \text{activeRoute}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoutes}, \text{activeRoute}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]

\[ \delta \]
\[ \{ \} \]

\[ \gamma_{\text{collect}(\text{inactiveRoute} \rightarrow \text{inactiveRoutes})} \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoutes}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoutes}) \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{swP}.\text{position} \neq \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition}} \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, \_e157, \text{swP}, \_e158, \text{sw}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, 1, \_e157, \text{swP}, 3, \text{swP}.\text{position}, 4, \_e158, 5, \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition}) \]

\[ \neq \_e157 \_e158 \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, \_e157, \_e158, \text{sw}) \]
\[ (\text{swP}.\text{position}, \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition}) \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, 1, \_e157, \text{swP}, 3, \text{swP}.\text{position}, 4, \_e158, 5, \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition}) \]

\[ \delta \]
\[ \{ \text{swP} \} \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{swP}.\text{position} \neq \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition}} \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, \_e157, \text{swP}, \_e158, \text{sw}) \]
\[ (\text{swP}.\text{position}, \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, 1, \_e157, \text{swP}, 3, \text{swP}.\text{position}, 4, \_e158, 5, \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition}) \]
\[ (2) : (0) \]

\[ \delta \]
\[ \{ \text{swP}.\text{SwitchPosition} \} \]
\[ \_e157: \text{follows} \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, \_e157, \text{swP}) \]
\[ (\text{swP}.\text{position}) \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, 1, \_e157, \text{swP}, 3, \text{swP}.\text{position}) \]

\[ \delta \]
\[ \{ \text{swP}.\text{SwitchPosition} \} \]
\[ \_e158: \text{target} \]
\[ (\text{swP}, \_e158, \text{sw}) \]
\[ (\text{swP}.\text{position}) \]
\[ (\text{inactiveRoute}, 1, \_e157, \text{swP}, 3, \text{swP}.\text{position}) \]

\[ \delta \]
\[ \{ \text{activeRoute}: \text{Route} \} \]
\[ (\text{activeRoute}) \]
\[ (\emptyset) \]
\[ (\text{activeRoute}) \]
I.1.2 ConnectedSegments

Query specification (ConnectedSegments)

MATCH
(sensor:Sensor)<-[:monitoredBy]-(segment1:Segment),
(segment1:Segment)-[:connectsTo]->
(segment2:Segment)-[:connectsTo]->
(segment3:Segment)-[:connectsTo]->
(segment4:Segment)-[:connectsTo]->
(segment5:Segment)-[:connectsTo]->
(segment5:Segment)-[:connectsTo]-(segment6:Segment),
(segment2:Segment)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor:Sensor),
(segment3:Segment)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor:Sensor),
(segment4:Segment)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor:Sensor),
(segment5:Segment)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor:Sensor),
(segment6:Segment)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor:Sensor)
RETURN sensor, segment1, segment2, segment3, segment4, segment5, segment6

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (ConnectedSegments)

π_{sensor, segment1, segment2, segment3, segment4, segment5, segment6} Dual [\Join]

↓ (segment1: Segment) [\Join] (sensor)
○ (segment6: Segment) [\Join] (segment5)
↑ (segment5: Segment) [\Join] (segment4)
↑ (segment4: Segment) [\Join] (segment3)
↑ (segment3: Segment) [\Join] (segment2)
↑ (segment2: Segment) [\Join] (segment1)
○ (segment1: Segment) [\Join]
↑ (sensor: Sensor) [\Join] (segment2)
○ (segment2: Segment) [\Join]
↑ (sensor: Sensor) [\Join] (segment3)
○ (segment3: Segment) [\Join]
↑ (sensor: Sensor) [\Join] (segment4)
○ (segment4: Segment) [\Join]
↑ (sensor: Sensor) [\Join] (segment5)
○ (segment5: Segment) [\Join]
↑ (sensor: Sensor) [\Join] (segment6)
○ (segment6: Segment) [\Join]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (ConnectedSegments)

Incremental relational algebra tree (ConnectedSegments)
I.1.3 PosLength-simple-return

Query specification (PosLength-simple-return)

1 MATCH (segment:Segment)
2 WHERE segment.length <= 0
3 RETURN segment

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (PosLength-simple-return)

\[ \pi_{segment} \sigma_{segment.length \leq 0} Dual \not\equiv \bigcirc_{(segment: Segment)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (PosLength-simple-return)
Incremental relational algebra tree (PosLength-simple-return)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\text{segment}} & \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow \text{segment} \\
\pi_{\text{segment}} & \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow \text{segment} \\
\sigma_{\text{segment.length} \leq 0} & \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow (\text{segment}, \text{segment.length}) \\
\delta \pi_{\text{segment.segment.length} \rightarrow \text{length}} \sigma_{\text{segment.length} \leq 0} & \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (\text{segment} : \text{Segment})
\end{align*}
\]

I.1.4 PosLength

Query specification (PosLength)

1. MATCH (segment:Segment)
2. WHERE segment.length <= 0
3. RETURN DISTINCT segment, segment.length AS length

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (PosLength)

\[
\delta \pi_{\text{segment.segment.length} \rightarrow \text{length}} \sigma_{\text{segment.length} \leq 0} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (\text{segment} : \text{Segment})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (PosLength)
I.1. Queries

Incremental relational algebra tree (PosLength)

I.1.5 RouteSensor

Query specification (RouteSensor)

1. MATCH (route:Route)-[:follows]->(swP:SwitchPosition)-[:target]->(sw:Switch)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor:Sensor)
2. WHERE NOT ((route)-[g:requires]->(sensor))
3. RETURN DISTINCT route, sensor, swP, sw

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (RouteSensor)

$$\delta_{\pi_{\text{route}, \text{swP}, \text{sw}}(\sigma_{\text{route}: \text{Route}, \text{swP}: \text{SwitchPosition}, \text{sw}: \text{Switch}})}$$

$$\ominus$$

$$\text{↑(sensor: Sensor)[e172: monitoredBy][sw: Switch][e171: target][swP: SwitchPosition][e170: follows]}$$

$$\text{↑(route: Route)[e172: monitoredBy][swP: SwitchPosition][e171: target][sw: Switch][g: requires]}$$

$$\ominus$$

$$\text{(route: Route)}$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (RouteSensor)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\text{route}, \text{sensor}, \text{swP}, \text{sw}} \\
\delta \\
\pi_{\text{route}, \text{sensor}, \text{swP}, \text{sw}} \\
\sigma_{(\text{route} \neq \text{NULL}) \land (\text{sw} \neq \text{NULL})} \\
\rho_{\text{route}, \text{sensor}, \text{swP}, \text{sw}} \\
\delta \\
\pi_{\text{route}, \text{sensor}, \text{swP}, \text{sw}} \\
\sigma_{(\text{route} \neq \text{NULL}) \land (\text{sw} \neq \text{NULL})} \\
\rho_{\text{route}, \text{sensor}, \text{swP}, \text{sw}} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Incremental relational algebra tree (RouteSensor)

I.1.6 RouteSensorPositive

Query specification (RouteSensorPositive)

1 MATCH (route:Route)-[:follows]->(swP:SwitchPosition)-[:target]->(sw:Switch)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor:Sensor)
2 RETURN DISTINCT route, sensor, swP, sw

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (RouteSensorPositive)

\[
\delta_{\text{RouteSensorPositive}} \cup \delta_{\text{RouteSensorPositive}}(\text{route}, \text{sensor}, \text{swP}, \text{sw})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (RouteSensorPositive)
Incremental relational algebra tree (RouteSensorPositive)

$$\Omega_{\text{route, sensor, swP, sw}}$$

$$\delta$$

$$\pi_{\text{route, sensor, swP, sw}}$$

$$\not=_{\text{e175, e174, e173}}$$

$$\bowtie \{\text{sw} \}$$

$$\bowtie \{\text{swP} \}$$

I.1.7 SemaphoreNeighbor

Query specification (SemaphoreNeighbor)

1. MATCH (semaphore:Semaphore)<-[[:exit]-(route1:Route)-[:requires]->(sensor1:Sensor)<-[[:monitoredBy ]-(te1)-[:connectsTo]->(te2)-[:monitoredBy]->(sensor2:Sensor)<-[[:requires]-(route2:Route)}
2. WHERE NOT ((semaphore)<-[[:entry]-(route2)}
3. AND route1 <> route2
4. RETURN DISTINCT semaphore, route1, route2, sensor1, sensor2, te1, te2
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (SemaphoreNeighbor)

\[ \delta \pi_{\text{Semaphore}.\text{Route1}, \text{Route2}, \text{Sensor1}, \text{Sensor2}, \text{Te1}, \text{Te2}} \sigma_\neg (\text{Semaphore} \neq \text{Null} \land \_\_\text{e182} \neq \text{Null} \land \text{Route2} \neq \text{Null}) \land \text{Route1} \neq \text{Route2} ]

\[ \nabla \_\_\text{e181}: \text{requires} \]

\[ \nabla \_\_\text{e180}: \text{monitoredBy} \]

\[ \nabla \_\_\text{e179}: \text{connectsTo} \]

\[ \nabla \_\_\text{e178}: \text{entry} \]

\[ \nabla \_\_\text{e176}: \text{exit} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (SemaphoreNeighbor)

1.1. Queries

```sql
Ω_{semaphore \text{stmt2}}(\text{semaphore} \text{stmt2} \text{sensor1} \text{sensor2} \text{tel} \text{te2})
σ_{semaphore \text{stmt2} \text{sensor1} \text{sensor2} \text{tel} \text{te2}}(\text{semaphore} \text{stmt2} \text{sensor1} \text{sensor2} \text{tel} \text{te2})
σ_{semaphore \text{stmt2} \text{sensor1} \text{sensor2} \text{tel} \text{te2}}(\text{semaphore} \text{stmt2} \text{sensor1} \text{sensor2} \text{tel} \text{te2})
```

```
\text{Sensor} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Sensor} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Sensor} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
```

```
\text{Route} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Route} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Route} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
```

```
\text{Semaphore} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Semaphore} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Semaphore} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
```

```
\text{Semaphore} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Semaphore} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
\text{Semaphore} \text{stmt2}(\text{te2})
```
I.1.8 SwitchMonitored

Query specification (SwitchMonitored)

1. MATCH (sw:Switch)
2. WHERE NOT ((sw)-[:monitoredBy]->(:Sensor))
3. RETURN DISTINCT sw

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (SwitchMonitored)

$$\delta_{\pi_{sw}}(\neg(sw\neq\text{NULL}\land e183\neq\text{NULL}\land e184\neq\text{NULL})\text{Dual} \{\neg (sw: \text{Switch}) \times (e184: \text{Sensor}) \mid [e183: \text{monitoredBy}] \})$$
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (SwitchMonitored)
Incremental relational algebra tree (SwitchMonitored)

I.1.9 SwitchSet-simple-return

Query specification (SwitchSet-simple-return)

1 MATCH (semaphore:Semaphore)<-[[:entry]-(route:Route)-[:follows]->(swP:SwitchPosition)-[:target ]->(sw:Switch)
2 WHERE semaphore.signal = "GO"
3 AND sw.currentPosition <> swP.position
4 RETURN semaphore, route, swP, sw

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (SwitchSet-simple-return)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (SwitchSet-simple-return)
Incremental relational algebra tree (SwitchSet-simple-return)

I.1.10 SwitchSet

Query specification (SwitchSet)

1. MATCH (semaphore:Semaphore)←[:entry]-(route:Route)←[:follows]→(swP:SwitchPosition)←[:target]→(sw:Switch)
2. WHERE semaphore.signal = "GO"
3. AND sw.currentPosition <> swP.position
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (SwitchSet)

\[ \delta_{\text{semaphore}} \pi_{\text{route}, \text{swP}, \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition} \rightarrow \text{currentPosition}, \text{swP}.\text{position} \rightarrow \text{position}} \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{semaphore}.\text{signal} = "GO" \land \text{sw}.\text{currentPosition} \neq \text{swP}.\text{position}} \]

\[ \left( \text{swP}: \text{Switch} \right) \left[ \_e189: \text{target} \right] \]

\[ \left( \text{route}: \text{Route} \right) \left[ \_e189: \text{follows} \right] \]

\[ \left( \text{semaphore}: \text{Semaphore} \right) \left[ \_e188: \text{entry} \right] \]

\[ \bigcup_{\text{semaphore}: \text{Semaphore}} \]

\[ \left( \text{sw}: \text{Switch} \right) \left[ \_e190: \text{target} \right] \]

\[ \left( \text{swP}: \text{SwitchPosition} \right) \left[ \_e190: \text{target} \right] \]

\[ \left( \text{route}: \text{Route} \right) \left[ \_e189: \text{follows} \right] \]

\[ \left( \text{semaphore}: \text{Semaphore} \right) \left[ \_e188: \text{entry} \right] \]

\[ \bigcup_{\text{semaphore}: \text{Semaphore}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (SwitchSet)

\[ \Omega_{\text{semaphore, route, swP, sw, currentPosition, position}} \] 
\[ \delta \] 
\[ \pi_{\text{semaphore, route, swP, sw, currentPosition, position}} \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{semaphore, route, swP, sw, currentPosition, position}} \]
\[ \neq_{\text{e188, e190, e188}} \]
\[ \uparrow_{\text{sw: Switch}} \]
\[ \uparrow_{\text{swP: SwitchPosition}} \]
\[ \downarrow_{\text{route: Route}} \]
Incremental relational algebra tree (SwitchSet)
Appendix J

ADBIS examples

J.1 Queries

J.1.1 alldifferent

Query specification (alldifferent)

1 MATCH (p1)-[k1:KNOWS]-(p2),
2 (p2)-[k2:KNOWS]-(p3)
3 RETURN p1, k1, p2, k2, p3

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (alldifferent)

\[ \pi_{p_1,k_1,p_2,k_2,p_3} \text{Dual} \bowtie\exists_{k_2,k_1}(p_2) \text{KNOWS}(p_1) \bowtie\exists_{k_2,k_1}(p_3) \text{KNOWS}(p_2) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (alldifferent)
J.1. Queries

Incremental relational algebra tree (alldifferent)

```
Ω_{p1,k1,p2,k2,p3} (p1,k1,p2,k2,p3) () (∅_{p1,k1,p2,k2,p3})
```

```
π_{p1,k1,p2,k2,p3} (p1,k1,p2,k2,p3) () (∅_{p1,k1,p2,k2,p3})
```

```
≠_{k2,k1} (p2,k1,p1,p3,k2) () (∅_{p2,k1,p1,p3,k2})
```

```
▷ \{p2\} (p2,k1,p1,p3,k2) () (∅_{p2,k1,p1,p3,k2}) (0 : (2)
```

```
[|]_{p2} [k1:KNOWS] (p2,k1,p1) () (∅_{p2,k1,p1})
```

```
[|]_{p3} [k2:KNOWS] (p3,k2,p2) () (∅_{p3,k2,p2})
```

J.1.2 create-graph

Query specification (create-graph)

```
CREATE
(a:Person:Student {name: 'Alice', speaks: ['en']}),
(b:Person {name: 'Bob', speaks: ['fr']}),
(c:Person:Teacher {name: 'Cecil', speaks: ['en', 'de']}),
(d:Person {name: 'Daisy', speaks: []}),
(e:Message:Post {language: 'en'}),
(f:Message:Comment {language: 'en'}),
(g:Message:Comment {language: 'fr'}),
(a)-[:KNOWS {since: 2011}]->(b),
(b)-[:KNOWS {since: 1979}]->(c),
(a)-[:LIKES]->(e),
(b)-[:LIKES]->(e),
(c)-[:LIKES]->(f),
(e)<-[[:REPLY_OF]-(f),
(f)<-[[:REPLY_OF]-(g)
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
J.1.3 duplicate-elimination-sorting

Query specification (duplicate-elimination-sorting)

```sql
MATCH (p:Person)
RETURN DISTINCT p.name
ORDER BY p.name
SKIP 1 LIMIT 2
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (duplicate-elimination-sorting)

\[
\lambda_2 \tau_{p\text{.name}} \delta \pi_{p\text{.name}} \text{Dual } \neq \bigcirc_{(p: \text{Person})}
\]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (duplicate-elimination-sorting)
Incremental relational algebra tree (duplicate-elimination-sorting)

J.1.4 expand-out

Query specification (expand-out)

1 MATCH (p:Person)-[:LIKES]->(m:Message)
2 RETURN p.name, m.language

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (expand-out)

\[ \pi_{p.name,m.language} \bowtie_{e147} (p: Person) \bowtriangleright_{e147: LIKES} (m: Message) \bowtie_{e147} (p: Person) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (expand-out)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}} \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\emptyset \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\pi_{p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}} \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\emptyset \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\uparrow (m: \text{Message})[\_e147: \text{LIKES}] \\
(p, \_e147, m) \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
(p_0, \_e147, \_e147, m, \_e147, p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Incremental relational algebra tree (expand-out)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}} \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\emptyset \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\pi_{p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}} \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\emptyset \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\uparrow (m: \text{Message})[\_e147: \text{LIKES}] \\
(p, \_e147, m) \\
(p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
(p_0, \_e147, \_e147, m, \_e147, p.\text{name}, m.\text{language}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

J.1.5 get-vertices

Query specification (get-vertices)

1 MATCH (p:Person)
2 RETURN p
Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (get-vertices)

\[ \pi_{p} \cap_{\neg \equiv} \bigcirc_{(p: \text{Person})} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (get-vertices)

Incremental relational algebra tree (get-vertices)

J.1.6 grouping

Query specification (grouping)

1. MATCH (p:Person) WITH p
2. UNWIND p.speaks AS language
3. RETURN language,
4. count(DISTINCT p.name) as cnt

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (grouping)

\[ \wedge_{\text{language}} \cap_{\text{count(p.name) \to cnt \cap p.speaks \to speaks \pi_{p} \cap_{\neg \equiv} \bigcirc_{(p: \text{Person})} \cap_{\neg \equiv} \bigcirc_{\text{Dual}}} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (grouping)

\[ \Omega_{\text{language}, \text{cnt}} \]

\( (\text{speaks, cnt}) \)

\( () \)

\( (\text{speaks, cnt}) \)

\[ \gamma_{\text{language}, \text{count}(\text{p.name}) \rightarrow \text{cnt}} \]

\( (\text{speaks, cnt}) \)

\( () \)

\( (\text{speaks, } \gamma_{\text{cnt}}) \)

\[ \omega_{\text{p.speaks} \rightarrow \text{speaks}} \]

\( (\text{p}) \)

\( () \)

\( (\text{p}) \)

\[ \pi_{\text{p}} \]

\( (\text{p}) \)

\( () \)

\( (\text{p}) \)

\[ (\text{p} : \text{Person}) \]

\( (\text{p}) \)

()}

\( (\text{p}) \)
Incremental relational algebra tree (grouping)

J.1.7 join

Query specification (join)

1 MATCH
2 ()-[[:LIKES]->(m:Message)←[:LIKES]←()]
3 (m)<<[:REPLY_OF]→(r)
4 RETURN r

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (join)

\[
\pi_p \Delta \left[ \_e149, \_e151, \_e152 \uparrow (a, \_e150), \_e151 : \text{LIKES} \uparrow (a, \_e148), \_e149 : \text{LIKES} \bigcirc (a, \_e148) \right] = (s) \_e152 : \text{REPLY_OF} \bigcirc (a, \_e148)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (join)
Incremental relational algebra tree (join)

J.1.8 multihop

Query specification (multihop)

```
MATCH  
(p1:Person)-[ks:KNOWS*1..2]-(p2:Person)  
RETURN p1, p2
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (multihop)

\[
\pi_{p1,p2} \text{Dual} \Join \neq \left[ \text{Message} \right] \left[ \text{ks:KNOWS} \times 2 \right] (p1 : \text{Person})
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (multihop)

Incremental relational algebra tree (multihop)
J.1.9 multiple-subqueries

Query specification (multiple-subqueries)

1 MATCH (m1:Message)
2 WITH m1.language AS singleLang, count(*) AS cnt
3 WHERE cnt = 1
4 MATCH (m2:Message) WHERE m2.language = singleLang
5 OPTIONAL MATCH (m2)-[:REPLY_OF]->(m3:Message)
6 RETURN m2.language as reply, m3.language as orig

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (multiple-subqueries)

\[
\pi_{m2 \text{.language} \rightarrow \text{language}, m3 \text{.language} \rightarrow \text{language}} \times_{m2 \text{.language} = \text{singleLang}, \text{cnt} = 1} \gamma_{m1 \text{.language} \rightarrow \text{language}, \text{count(*)} \rightarrow \text{cnt}} \Delta \not\equiv \{(m1: \text{Message}) \not\equiv (m2: \text{Message}) \not\equiv e_{153} \}
\]

\[
\uparrow_{(m3: \text{Message})} [\_e_{153}: \text{REPLY_OF}] \circ_{(m2: \text{Message})}
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (multiple-subqueries)
Incremental relational algebra tree (multiple-subqueries)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{\text{reply, orig}} \\
\text{(reply, orig)} \\
\text{()} \\
\text{()}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\pi_{\text{m2.language} \rightarrow \text{language}, \text{m3.language} \rightarrow \text{language}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(reply, orig)} \\
\text{()} \\
\text{()} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\bowtie \text{\{m2\}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(singleLang, cnt, m2, \_e153, m3)} \\
\text{(m2.language, m3.language)} \\
\text{\\{(\_singleLang, cnt, m2, \_m2, m2.language, \_e153, m3, \_m3, m3.language\}\}} \\
\text{(2) : (0)}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{m2.language} = \text{singleLang}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(singleLang, cnt, m2)} \\
\text{(m2.language)} \\
\text{\\{(\_singleLang, cnt, m2, m2.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\bowtie \text{\{\}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(singleLang, cnt, m2)} \\
\text{(m2.language)} \\
\text{\\{(\_singleLang, cnt, m2, m2.language\}\}} \\
\text{()} : ()
\end{array}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{cnt} = 1}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(singleLang, cnt)} \\
\text{()} \\
\text{\\{(\_singleLang, cnt\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{m1.language} \\
\text{\{\text{m1.language} \rightarrow \text{language}, \text{count}(\*) \rightarrow \text{cnt}\}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(singleLang, cnt)} \\
\text{()} \\
\text{\\{(\_singleLang, cnt\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{O} \text{\{m1: Message\}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(m1)} \\
\text{(m1.language)} \\
\text{\{(\_m1, m1.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{O} \text{\{m2: Message\}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(m2)} \\
\text{(m2.language)} \\
\text{\{(\_m2, m2.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{\text{O} \text{\{m2: Message\}} \text{\{\_e153: REPLY_OF\}}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(m2, \_e153, m3)} \\
\text{(m3.language)} \\
\text{\{(\_m2, \_e153, m3, m3.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\gamma \text{\{m1: Message\}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(m1)} \\
\text{(m1.language)} \\
\text{\{(\_m1, m1.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{\text{O} \text{\{m2: Message\}}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(m2)} \\
\text{(m2.language)} \\
\text{\{(\_m2, m2.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{\text{O} \text{\{m2: Message\}} \text{\{\_e153: REPLY_OF\}}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(m2, \_e153, m3)} \\
\text{(m3.language)} \\
\text{\{(\_m2, \_e153, m3, m3.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{\text{O} \text{\{m2: Message\}} \text{\{\_e153: REPLY_OF\}}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(m2, \_e153, m3)} \\
\text{(m3.language)} \\
\text{\{(\_m2, \_e153, m3, m3.language\}\}}
\end{array}
\]
J.1.10 non-unique-edges

Query specification (non-unique-edges)

1 MATCH (p1)-[k1:KNOWS]-(p2)
2 MATCH (p2)-[k2:KNOWS]-(p3)
3 RETURN p1, k1, p2, k2, p3

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (non-unique-edges)

\[ \pi_{p1,k1,p2,k2,p3} \text{Dual} \Join \;
\neq_{k1}^{(p2)} \;
\bigcirc_{(p1)} \Join \neq_{k2}^{(p3)} \;
\bigcirc_{(p2)} \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (non-unique-edges)
Incremental relational algebra tree (non-unique-edges)

J.1.11 selection1

Query specification (selection1)

```
1 MATCH (p1:Person)-[k:KNOWS]-(p2:Person)
2 WHERE k.since < 2000
3 RETURN p1.name, p2.name
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (selection1)

```
π_{p1.name,p2.name}σ_{k.since < 2000}[p2:Person] [k:KNOWS] ∘ (p1: Person)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (selection1)
J.1. Queries 1000

Incremental relational algebra tree (selection1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{p1.name,p2.name} & \quad (p1.name, p2.name) \\
& \quad () \\
& \quad (p1.name, p2.name) \\
\pi_{p1.name,p2.name} & \quad (p1.name, p2.name) \\
& \quad () \\
& \quad (p1.name, p2.name) \\
\sigma_{k.since<2000} & \quad (p2,k,p1) \\
& \quad (p1.name, p2.name) \\
& \quad (p1.name, p2.name, k.since) \\
\end{align*}
\]

J.1.12 selection2

Query specification (selection2)

```
MATCH (p:Person)
WHERE p.name = 'Bob'
RETURN p.speaks
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (selection2)

\[
\pi_{p.speaks} \sigma_{p.name='Bob'} \text{Dual } \otimes \not\equiv (p: Person)
\]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (selection2)

Incremental relational algebra tree (selection2)
J.1.13 triangle

Query specification (triangle)

1 MATCH (m:Message)<-[[:LIKES]-(p1:Person)--(p2:Person)-[:LIKES]->(m)
2 RETURN p1, p2, m

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (triangle)

\[ \pi_{p1, p2, m} \text{Dual } \not\equiv_{e156, e155, e154} \]
\[ \uparrow (p2: \text{Person}) [e156: \text{LIKES}] \]
\[ \downarrow (p1: \text{Person}) [e154: \text{LIKES}] \]
\[ \bigcirc (m: \text{Message}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (triangle)
Incremental relational algebra tree (triangle)

J.1.14 unwind

Query specification (unwind)

1 MATCH (p:Person)
2 WITH p
3 UNWIND p.speaks AS lang
4 RETURN p.name, lang

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (unwind)

\[ \pi_{p.\text{name}, \text{lang}} \circ \text{p.speaks} \rightarrow \text{speaks} \circ \pi_{\text{p}} \text{Dual} \not\equiv \bigcirc (p: \text{Person}) \circ \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (unwind)

\[\Omega_{\text{p.name, lang}}(\langle \text{p.name, speaks} \rangle)\]
\[\pi_{\text{p.name, lang}}(\langle \text{p.name, speaks} \rangle)\]
\[\omega_{\text{p.speaks} \rightarrow \text{speaks}}(\langle \text{p} \rangle)\]
\[\pi_{\text{p}}(\langle \text{p} \rangle)\]
\[\bigcirc_{\text{p: Person}}(\langle \text{p} \rangle)\]
Incremental relational algebra tree (unwind)

\[ \Omega_{p.\text{name}, \text{lang}} (\langle p.\text{name}, \text{speaks} \rangle) \langle \rangle \langle \langle 0 \rangle p.\text{name}, 1 \rangle p.\text{name}, \rangle \]

\[ \pi_{p.\text{name}, \text{lang}} (\langle p.\text{name}, \text{speaks} \rangle) \langle \rangle \langle \langle 1 \rangle p.\text{name}, 0 \rangle p.\text{name}, \rangle \]

\[ \omega_{p.\text{speaks} \rightarrow \text{speaks}} (\langle p \rangle \langle p.\text{name} \rangle \langle 0 \rangle p.\text{name}, 1 \rangle p.\text{name}, \rangle) \]

\[ \pi_{p} (\langle p \rangle \langle p.\text{name} \rangle \langle 0 \rangle p.\text{name}, \rangle) \]

\[ \bigcirc_{\langle p : \text{Person} \rangle} (\langle p \rangle \langle p.\text{name} \rangle \langle 0 \rangle p.\text{name}, \rangle) \]
Appendix K

Railway verification

K.1 Queries

K.1.1 delete-query

Query specification (delete-query)

MATCH (t:Train) DELETE t

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (delete-query)

\( \chi_t \text{Dual} \nsubseteq \bigcirc_{(t: \text{Train})} \)

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (delete-query)
K.1. Queries

Incremental relational algebra tree (delete-query)

K.1.2 railway-1

Query specification (railway-1)

1. // close proximity
2. //MATCH (t1:Train)-[:ON]->(seg1:Segment)-[:NEXT*1..2]->(seg2:Segment)<-[[:ON]]-(t2:Train)
3. //MATCH (t1:Train)-[:ON]->(seg1:Segment)-[:NEXT]->()-[:NEXT]->(seg2:Segment)<-[[:ON]]-(t2:Train)
4. MATCH (t1:Train)-[:ON]->(seg1:Segment)-[:NEXT]->()-[:NEXT]->()-[:NEXT]->(seg2:Segment)<-[[:ON]]-(t2:Train)
5. RETURN t1.number, t2.number, seg1.name, seg2.name

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (railway-1)

\[ \pi_{t1.number, t2.number, seg1.name, seg2.name} \text{Dual} \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (railway-1)
K.1. Queries

1009

Incremental relational algebra tree (railway-1)

WHERE

MATCH

1. Number, [2 number, neglig] name, neglig2 name

2. [3 number, [4 number, neglig] name, neglig2 name]

3. [5 number, [6 number, neglig] name, neglig2 name]

4. [7 number, [8 number, neglig] name, neglig2 name]

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (railway-2)

\[ \pi_{t\text{.number}, sw\text{.id}}(sw\text{.position} = \text{"diverging"}) \times (\text{seg} \neq e_{198}, e_{199}) \downarrow (\text{seg} : \text{Switch}) \uparrow (\text{t} : \text{Train}) \]

QUERY specification (railway-2)

1 // trailing the Switch
2 MATCH (t:Train)-[:ON]->(seg:Segment)<[:STRAIGHT]-(sw:Switch)
3 WHERE sw.position = 'diverging'
4 RETURN t.number, sw.id

K.1.3 railway-2
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (railway-2)

\[ \Omega_{t.\text{number},sv.id} \]
\[ \pi_{t.\text{number},sv.id} \]
\[ \sigma_{sv\text{ position}=\text{"diverging"}} \]
\[ \neq_{\text{e198}_{\text{e199}}} \]
\[ \downarrow_{(sv:\text{Switch})[\text{e199}:\text{STRAIGHT}]} \]
\[ \uparrow_{(seg:\text{Segment})[\text{e198}:\text{ON}]} \]
\[ \circ_{(t:\text{Train})} \]
Incremental relational algebra tree (railway-2)

```
Ω_{t.number, sv.id}
  (t.number, sv.id)
    ()
      (0, t.number, _sv.id)
  π_{t.number, sv.id}
    (t.number, sv.id)
      ()
        (0, t.number, _sv.id)

σ_{sv.position='diverging'}
  (t._e198, seg, _sv_e199)
    (t.number, sv.id)
      (0, t._e198, _seg, t.number, _4sv, _5sv_e199, _6sv.id, _7sv.position)

≠_e198_e199
  (t._e198, seg, _sv_e199)
    (t.number, sv.id, sv.position)
      (0, t._1_e198, _2seg, _3t.number, _4sv_e199, _5sv.id, _6sv.position)

▷{seg}
  (t._e198, seg, _sv_e199)
    (t.number, sv.id, sv.position)
      (0, t._1_e198, _2seg, _3t.number, _4sv_e199, _5sv.id, _6sv.position)

(2) : (2)
```

K.1.4 update-query

Query specification (update-query)

```
1 MATCH (t:Train) -[:r:ON] -seg1:Segment -[:NEXT] -seg2:Segment
2 WHERE t.number = 2
3 DELETE r
4 CREATE (t) -[:ON] -seg2
```

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (update-query)

```
ζ_{e201} \chi_{r} \sigma_{t.number=2} Dual \bowtie_{e200, r} (seg2: Segment) -[e200: NEXT] - (seg1: Segment) [r: ON] \cup (t: Train)
```
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (update-query)
Incremental relational algebra tree (update-query)

\[ \Omega_{t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2} \\
(t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2) \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]

\[ \zeta_{e201} \\
(t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2) \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]

\[ \lambda_r \\
(t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2) \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]

\[ \sigma_{t.number=2} \\
(t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2) \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]

\[ \neq_{e200,r} \\
(t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2) \\
(t.number) \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]

\[ \bowtie \{seg1\} \\
(t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2) \\
(t.number) \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]

\[ \uparrow (seg1: Segment) \\
(t: Train) \\
(t.r.seg1) \\
(t.number) \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle t.r.seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]

\[ \uparrow (seg2: Segment) \\
[seg1: Segment] \\
(t: ON) \\
(seg1 \_e200: NEXT) \\
\langle seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \\
\langle \rangle \\
\langle 0 \rangle \\
\langle seg1 \_e200.seg2 \rangle \]
Appendix L

Network analysis

L.1 Queries

L.1.1 2-network-inventory

Query specification (2-network-inventory)

1. MATCH (n)
2. RETURN labels(n)[0] AS type, count(*) AS count, collect(n.host) AS names

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (2-network-inventory)

\[ \gamma \text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{type} \cdot \text{count} \rightarrow \text{count} \cdot \text{collect(n.host)} \rightarrow \text{names} \]

Dual ⊸ ≠ \( n \)

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (2-network-inventory)
Incremental relational algebra tree (2-network-inventory)

Ω

(\text{type}, \text{count}, \text{names})

\langle \rangle

(\text{type}, 0, 0, \text{count}, 0, \text{names})

\gamma \text{NULL} \rightarrow \text{type}, \text{count}, \ast \rightarrow \text{count}, \text{collect}(n.\text{host}) \rightarrow \text{names}

(\text{type}, \text{count}, \text{names})

\langle \rangle

(\# \text{type}, 1, 1, \text{count}, \# \text{names})

\tau \uparrow \text{Host}

γ \text{website}.\text{host}

\rightarrow \text{host}, \text{collect}(\text{downstream}.\text{host}) \rightarrow \text{Dependencies}

σ \text{website}.\text{system} = 'INTRANET'

\exists \# \text{e378} \uparrow (\text{downstream}) \text{[}_\text{e378}: \text{DEPENDS ON}] \bigcirc (\text{website})

L.1.2 3-direct-dependencies-internal

Query specification (3-direct-dependencies-internal)

1 MATCH (website)-[:DEPENDS_ON]->(downstream)
2 WHERE website.system = 'INTRANET'
3 RETURN website.host AS Host, collect(downstream.host) AS Dependencies
4 ORDER BY Host

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (3-direct-dependencies-internal)
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (3-direct-dependencies-internal)
Incremental relational algebra tree (3-direct-dependencies-internal)

L.1.3 5-most-depended-upon-component

Query specification (5-most-depended-upon-component)

1. MATCH (n)<-[[:DEPENDS_ON*]]-(dependent)
2. RETURN n.host AS Host, count(DISTINCT dependent) AS Dependents
3. ORDER BY Dependents DESC
4. LIMIT 1

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (5-most-depended-upon-component)

\[ \lambda_{\text{Dependents}} \left( \mathcal{R}_{\text{Host}} \right) \]
Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (5-most-depended-upon-component)
Incremental relational algebra tree (5-most-depended-upon-component)

**L.1.4 6-crm-dependency-chain**

Query specification (6-crm-dependency-chain)

```
MATCH (dependency)<-[[:DEPENDS_ON*]]-(dependent)
WITH dependency, count(DISTINCT dependent) AS Dependents
ORDER BY Dependents DESC
LIMIT 1
WITH dependency
MATCH p=(resource)-[[:DEPENDS_ON*]]->(dependency)
WHERE resource.system = 'CRM'
RETURN 
"[" + head(nodes(p)).host + "]" + reduce(s = ",", n in tail(nodes(p)) | s + " -> " + "[" + n.host + "]") AS Chain
```

Cannot parse query

Cannot parse query. This is probably a limitation in our current parser and not an error in the query specification.
L.1.5 9-hardware-server-removal-impact

Query specification (9-hardware-server-removal-impact)

1 MATCH (application:Application)-[:DEPENDS_ON*]->(server)
2 WHERE server.host = 'HARDWARE-SERVER-3'
3 RETURN application.type AS Type, application.host AS Host

Relational algebra expression for search-based evaluation (9-hardware-server-removal-impact)

\[ \pi_{\text{Type}, \text{Host}}(\text{application}) \rightarrow \text{Type}, \text{Host} \]
\[ \sigma_{\text{server}.host = 'HARDWARE-SERVER-3'} \]
\[ \uparrow (\text{application})[_e381: \text{DEPENDS\_ON}^\infty] \]
\[ \bigcirc (\text{application}: \text{Application}) \]

Relational algebra tree for search-based evaluation (9-hardware-server-removal-impact)
Incremental relational algebra tree (9-hardware-server-removal-impact)